Comparison between Resectable *Helicobacter pylori*-Negative and -Positive Gastric Cancers

Hee Jin Kim^{*}, Nayoung Kim[†], Hyuk Yoon[†], Yoon Jin Choi[†], Ju Yup Lee[†], Yong Hwan Kwon[†], Kichul Yoon[†], Hyun Jin Jo[†], Cheol Min Shin[†], Young Soo Park[†], Do Joong Park[‡], Hyung Ho Kim[‡], Hye Seung Lee[§], and Dong Ho Lee[†]

*Department of Internal Medicine, Myongji Hospital, Goyang, Departments of [†]Internal Medicine, [‡]Surgery, and [§]Pathology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea

See editorial on page 157.

Background/Aims: Controversy exists regarding the characteristics of Helicobacter pylori infection-negative gastric cancer (HPIN-GC). The aim of this study was to evaluate clinicopathologic features of HPIN-GC compared to H. pylori infection-positive gastric cancer (HPIP-GC) using a comprehensive analysis that included genetic and environmental factors. Methods: H. pylori infection status of 705 resectable gastric cancer patients was determined by the rapid urease test, testing for anti-H. pylori antibodies, histologic analysis and culture of gastric cancer tissue samples, and history of H. pylori eradication. HPIN-GC was defined as gastric cancer that was negative for H. pylori infection based on all five methods and that had no evidence of atrophy in histology or serology. Results: The prevalence of HPIN-GC was 4% (28/705). No significant differences with respect to age, sex, smoking, drinking, family history of gastric cancer or obesity were observed between the two groups. HPIN-GC tumors were marginally more likely to involve the cardia (14.3% for HPIN-GC vs 5.3% for HPIP-GC, p=0.068). The Lauren classification, histology, and TNM stage did not differ according to H. pylori infection status. Microsatellite instability was not different between the two groups, but p53 overexpression in HPIN-GC was marginally higher than in HPIP-GC (56.0% for HPIN-GC vs 37.0% for HPIP-GC, p=0.055). Conclusions: The prevalence of HPIN-GC was extremely low, and its clinicopathologic characteristics were similar to HPIP-GC. (Gut Liver 2016;10:212-219)

Key Words: *Helicobacter pylori*; Stomach neoplasms; Negative infection; Atrophy

INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori is well recognized as a class I carcinogen that causes gastric cancer.¹ *H. pylori* infection induces superficial gastritis, which progresses to atrophic gastritis with loss of acid secretion and then to dysplasia and cancer.² A few prospective studies suggested that no gastric cancer developed in *H. pylori*-negative subjects.^{3,4} However, several studies reported that no evidence of *H. pylori* infection was found in some patients with gastric cancer.⁵⁻¹²

The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection-negative gastric cancer (HPIN-GC) is considered very low, 13.8% in Italy⁶ and 24.6% in Germany⁵ and 0.66% to 10.6% in Korea^{7,11,12} and Japan.⁸⁻¹⁰ Previous studies have shown that HPIN-GC has known to have different clinicopathologic characteristics and prognosis compared to *H. pylori*-positive gastric cancer (HPIP-GC),⁵⁻⁷ but those studies have not shown the consistent results because of the difference in the definition of *H. pylori* infection status. Determination of *H. pylori* infection status for gastric cancer is problematic because of false negatives for *H. pylori* tests and spontaneous disappearance in severely atrophic mucosa.^{13,14} Some studies have focused on histologic and serologic atrophy in the definition of HPIN-GC in which patients with gastric cancer with severe gastric atrophy were considered as having a previous *H. pylori* infection.^{5,7-9,11}

Our research group determined the *H. pylori* infection status using various methods (histology, rapid urease test, culturing, serology, and history of *H. pylori* eradication) and considered gastric atrophy assessing serum pepsinogen (PG) test and histologic evaluation of gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia to exclude the gastric cancer patients with possible past infection.^{7,11} In our recent research, clinicopathologic features and

Correspondence to: Nayoung Kim

Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, 82 Gumi-ro 173beon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 463-707, Korea Tel: +82-31-787-7008, Fax: +82-31-787-4051, E-mail: nayoungkim49@empas.com

Received on October 24, 2014. Revised on December 18, 2014. Accepted on January 6, 2015. Published online June 19, 2015 pISSN 1976-2283 eISSN 2005-1212 http://dx.doi.org/10.5009/gnl14416

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

molecular markers of gastric cancer were not significantly different according to *H. pylori* infection.¹¹

In fact, gastric carcinogenesis is a complex and multifactorial process, in which infection with *H. pylori* plays a major role, resulting from the interplay between host genetic susceptibility factors and environmental factors. Several environmental factors including smoking,¹⁵ salted and nitrated foods,¹⁶ and heavy alcohol consumption¹⁷ have known to independent strong risks for gastric cancer, and obesity has been recognized as a contributory factor in gastric cardia carcinogenesis.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Family history of gastric cancer²¹ also has been found to be associated with the risk of developing gastric cancer. Besides, accumulations of genetic and epigenetic alterations that activate oncogenic and/ or inactivate tumor-suppressor pathways also play crucial roles in the cellular tumorigenesis.

Therefore, more comprehensive study is needed to determine the characteristics of HPIN-GC, considering environmental factors, genetic susceptibility factors and molecular factors as well *H. pylori* infection. However, our previous study concluded the features and prognosis of HPIN-GC without assessing the important lifestyle factors such as smoking, drinking, obesity and family history of gastric cancer. To our knowledge, there is no extensive study on HPIN-GC assessing various factors, especially conducted in a large cohort.

From this background, we analyzed the clinicopathologic and molecular characteristics of HPIN-GC compared to those of HPIP-GC after added more patients to our existing cohort and surveyed the data about smoking, drinking, obesity and family history of gastric cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

Between February 2006 and July 2014, 800 patients diagnosed as gastric cancer by endoscopic biopsy were prospectively enrolled at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, South Korea. All patients were ethnically Koreans. Ninety-five patients who met the following criteria were excluded from this study: (1) patients who had not received endoscopic or surgical resection; (2) patients who had been lost from follow-up and had incomplete medical records; (3) patients who had not evaluated for serum PG. All patients provided informed consent, and this study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB number: B-1011/115-005).

2. Evaluation of gastric atrophy by serum PG test and histologic findings

In fasting serum collected from each patient, the concentrations of PG I and II were measured using a Latex-enhanced Turbidimetric Immunoassay (Shima Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan). Patients with PG I/II ratio \leq 3.0 were regarded as the presence of atrophic gastritis.

Atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia were determined by hematoxylin and eosin staining of the four biopsy specimens from each patient (one each from greater and lesser curvatures of antrum and body) for *H. pylori* evaluation. The histological features of the gastric mucosa were recorded using the updated Sydney scoring system (i.e., 0=none, 1=mild, 2=moderate, 3=severe). When the specimens were not prepared well enough to evaluate full-thickness gastric mucosa due to problems such as improper fixation, inaccurate orientation, and section inappropriateness, or whenever inflammation prevented a clear distinction between nonatrophic and atrophic phenotypes, samples were classified as "nonapplicable."

3. Determination of H. pylori infection status

Ten biopsy specimens were taken for three types of *H. pylori* testing (histology, rapid urease test, and culture) to determine the status of *H. pylori* infection.²² Two biopsy specimens were taken from the greater curvature of both the antrum and body of the stomach, respectively, and three from both the lesser curvature of the antrum and body, respectively. Among the 10 specimens, two from the antrum and two from the body were fixed in formalin, and assessed for the presence of *H. pylori* by modified Giemsa staining. Another four specimens from the four gastric mucosa areas mentioned above were used for *H. pylori* culturing. The remaining two specimens from the lesser curvature of the antrum and body were used for the rapid urease test (CL0 test; Delta West, Bentley, Australia). Current *H. pylori* low for these three tests.²²

To identify past *H. pylori* infection, the sero-positivity and eradication history were investigated. Sero-positivity was assessed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for immunoglobulin G (IgG) specific for *H. pylori* antibody in each patient's serum (Genedia *H. pylori* ELISA; Green Cross Medical Science Corp., Eumsung, Korea). In addition, eradication history was evaluated in each patient by a questionnaire. If the patient has a history of *H. pylori* eradication or *H. pylori* serology was positive but no bacteria were found by histology, the rapid urease test, or culturing, the patient was diagnosed with a past *H. pylori* infection without current ongoing infection.

Because there was a high possibility of eliminating *H. py-lori* infection owing to hostile mucosal condition,²³ cases with gastric atrophy were regarded as a past *H. pylori* infection. Therefore, among the patients with negative results from three biopsy-based tests, negative *H. pylori* serology and no history of *H. pylori* eradication, the true *H. pylori*-negative infection was diagnosed according as followed: PG I/II ratio >3 and (1) absent atrophy and intestinal metaplasia both in the antrum and body; (2) present mild atrophy either in the antrum or body but absent intestinal metaplasia both in the antrum or body but absent plicable for atrophy either in the antrum or body but absent

intestinal metaplasia both in the antrum and body; (4) absent atrophy both in the antrum and body, but present mild intestinal metaplasia either in the antrum or body. We determined the pathologic criteria of true *H. pylori*-negative infection base on the Operative Link on Gastritis Assessment (OLGA)²⁴ and Operative Link on Intestinal Metaplasia Assessment (OLGIM)²⁵ scoring system using the Updated Sydney Scoring System. Stage 0–1 OLGA and stage 0–1 OLGIM scores were classified as *H. pylori*negative infection. If either or both samples in the antrum and corpus were nonapplicable for atrophy (four cases), having stage 0 OLGIM scores were classified as *H. pylori*-negative infection.

4. p53 immunohistochemistry

Sections 4-µm thick were cut from each tissue array block and then deparaffinized and dehydrated. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using an automatic immunostainer (BenchMark[®] XT; Ventana Medical Systems Inc., Tucson, AZ, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The primary antibody used was mouse monoclonal antibody for p53 (D07; Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA). An antigen retrieval process was performed using microwave. Immunostaining 10% or more nuclear staining of tumor cells was considered positive.²⁶

5. Microsatellite instability testing

Tumor DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded tissues of tumors from individual patients. Normal DNA was extracted from the surrounding normal tissue. Five microsatellite markers (BAT-25, BAT-26, D2S123, D5S346, and D17S250), recommended by a National Cancer Institute workshop on microsatellite instability (MSI), were used to analyze paired normal and tumor DNA.²⁷ Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using a DNA auto-sequencer (ABI 3730 genetic analyzer; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The shift of PCR products from tumor DNA was compared to that of DNA from normal mucosa. The size of each fluorescent PCR product was calculated using GeneMapper software (Applied Biosystems). According to the guideline of the National Cancer Institute, cases positive for ≥ 2 markers were considered as high-frequency MSI (MSI-H), while cases positive for <2 markers as lowfrequency MSI (MSI-L) or microsatellite stable (MSS).^{27,28}

6. Antiparietal cell antibody

Antiparietal cell antibody (APCA) was measured by indirect immunofluorescence on fixed tissue sections of mouse kidney stomach slide (Kallestad[™]; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Redmond, WA, USA).

7. Clinicopathologic characteristics

Baseline characteristics of subjects including age, gender, cigarette smoking, alcohol intake and familial history of gastric cancer in first-degree relatives were obtained by the questionnaire under the supervision of a well-trained interviewer. The subjects were classified into never, past and current smoker or drinker according to their smoking and alcohol histories about previous 6 months. The subjects' height and weight were checked or measured at the time of endoscopy in the endoscopy room, and the body mass index (BMI) was computed as weight in kilograms per square surface area in square meters (kg/m^2) . According to the International Obesity Task Force criteria for the Asia-Pacific population, BMI scores were classified as follows: normal (<23), overweight (\geq 23 and <25), and obese (\geq 25 and <30).²⁹ The location, size, histologic features of the tumor, and TNM stage defined according to the seventh edition of AJCC Cancer Staging Manual were determined.³⁰ In patients underwent surgical resection, T and N classification were assessed based on the final pathological result and M classification was determined by surgical findings or computed tomography (CT) results. In patients underwent endoscopic resection, T classification was assessed by the final pathologic results, N and M classification were determined by CT findings. Early gastric cancer was defined as a tumor that was confined to the mucosa or submucosa regardless of lymph node (LN) involvement. Advanced gastric cancer was defined as a tumor that invaded the proper muscle or beyond.

8. Statistical analysis

To compare the clinicopathologic and molecular characteristics, Student t-test or chi-square test (Fisher exact test) was used for continuous variables and categorical variables, respectively. All analyses were carried out using the SPSS for Windows version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The results were considered statistically significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

RESULTS

1. Determination of the H. pylori infection status

A total of 705 patients with gastric cancer were enrolled during the study period. By the three biopsy-based tests, 445 patients were diagnosed with current *H. pylori* infection. One hundred sixty-four patients were diagnosed with past infection by anti-*H. pylori* IgG positivity or eradication history of *H. pylori*. In the 96 patients initially classified as HPIN-GC, we determined final 28 HPIN-GC patients according to our strict definition. Finally, of 705 patients with gastric cancer, 28 patients (4.0%) were categorized as HPIN-GC. The remaining 677 patients were categorized as HPIP-GC.

2. Clinicopathologic characteristics between *H. pylori*infection positive and -negative gastric cancers

The clinical features between HPIP-GC group and HPIN-GC group were compared (Table 1). No statistical significant differences with regard to age, gender, familial history of gastric cancer in first-degree relatives, smoking status, alcohol status, and BMI categories were observed between two groups.

H. pvlori-

Clinical analysis, total	<i>H. pylori-</i> positive (n=677)	<i>H. pylori-</i> negative (n=28)	p-value
Male sex	452 (66.8)	18 (64.3)	0.785
Age, yr	59.3 <u>+</u> 12.0	56.0 <u>+</u> 13.6	0.161
Smoker			0.941
Never*	244 (36.1)	11 (39.3)	
Past	283 (41.9)	11 (39.3)	
Current	148 (21.9)	6 (21.4)	
Drinker*			0.688
Never	190 (28.2)	10 (35.7)	
Past	107 (15.9)	4 (14.3)	
Current	377 (55.9)	14 (50.0)	
BMI, kg/m ²			0.589
<23	312 (46.1)	14 (50.0)	
≥23 or <25	179 (26.4)	5 (17.9)	
≥25	186 (27.5)	9 (32.1)	
Family history of gastric cancer	139 (20.7)	5 (17.9)	0.717
Serum PG test			
PG I, ng/mL	67.2±50.7	66.6 <u>+</u> 35.9	0.949
PG II, ng/mL	25.6 <u>+</u> 28.8	11.8 <u>+</u> 5.5	< 0.001 [†]
PG I/II ratio	3.2 <u>+</u> 2.1	5.7±1.7	< 0.001 [†]
Treatment modalities			0.340
ESD	111 (16.4)	7 (25.0)	
Curative surgery	555 (82.0)	20 (71.4)	
Palliative surgery	11 (1.6)	1 (3.6)	

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the 705 Patients with Gastric Cancer according to the Final *Helicobacter pylori* Status

Table 2. Pathologic Characteristics of the 705 Patients with Gastric Cancer according to the Final *Helicobacter pylori* Status

H. pvlori-

Clinical analysis, total	positive (n=677)	negative (n=28)	p-value
Lauren histotype			0.522
Intestinal	380 (56.1)	14 (50.0)	
Diffuse or mixed	297 (43.9)	14 (50.0)	
Histologic type			0.937
Tubular ADC, W/D	130 (19.2)	5 (17.9)	
Tubular ADC, M/D	229 (33.8)	9 (32.1)	
Tubular ADC, P/D	180 (26.6)	9 (32.1)	
Signet ring cell carcinoma	116 (17.1)	5 (17.9)	
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	13 (1.9)	0	
Others*	9 (1.3)	0	
Tumor location			0.068
Cardia [†]	36 (5.3)	4 (14.3)	
Noncardia	641 (94.7)	24 (85.7)	
Tumor size, cm	3.7 <u>+</u> 3.0	3.3 <u>±</u> 2.1	0.633
Depth of invasion			0.435
pT1-T2	504 (74.4)	19 (67.9)	
pT3-T4	173 (25.6)	9 (32.1)	
Lymph node metastases			0.611
pNO	466 (68.8)	18 (64.3)	
pN1-N3	211 (31.2)	10 (35.7)	
Distant metastases			1.000
Absent	650 (96.0)	27 (96.4)	
Present	27 (4.0)	1 (3.6)	
TNM stage			0.539
Ι	449 (66.3)	17 (60.7)	
II, III, IV	228 (33.7)	11 (39.3)	

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).

ADC, adenocarcinoma; W/D, well differentiated; M/D, moderately differentiated; P/D, poorly differentiated.

*Includes papillary adenocarcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, and undifferentiated carcinoma; [†]Located within 2 cm below the gastroesophageal junction.

3. Molecular characteristics between *H. pylori*-infection positive and -negative gastric cancers

We examined p53 immunohistochemistry and PCR-based MSI testing in gastric cancer tissues. Some patients were excluded from this analysis because of incomplete of record or insufficient remaining tissue. MSI-H was more frequently observed in HPIN-GC group, but it was not significantly different (16.7% for HPIN-GC vs 8.3% for HPIP-GC, p=0.195) (Table 3). Positivity of p53 expression was more frequent in HPIN-GC group than HPIP-GC group without statistical significance (56.0% for HPIN-GC vs 37.0% for HPIP-GC, p=0.055) (Table 3).

Data are presented as mean±SD or number (%).

BMI, body mass index; PG, pepsinogen; ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection.

*Some data are missing, and missing values are not included; [†]Indicate statistical significance.

Table 2 showed the comparison of pathological characteristics between HPIP-GC group and HPIN-GC group. There were no statistical significant differences in tumor size, Lauren histotype and histologic type between HPIP-GC group and HPIN-GC group. We observed a higher frequency of cardia location in HPIN-GC group, but there was no significant difference (14.3% for HPIN-GC vs 5.3% for HPIP-GC, p=0.068). The depth of invasion was more advanced in HPIN-GC group (pT3-pT4, 32.1% for HPIN-GC vs 25.6% for HPIP-GC, p=0.611) without statistical significance. The proportion of lymph node metastasis in HPIN-GC group was more than that in HPIP-GC group, but there was no statistical significance (pN1-N3, 35.7% for HPIN-GC vs 31.2% for HPIP-GC, p=0.611). There was no significant difference in TNM stage although more advanced TNM stage was observed in HPIN-GC group compared with HPIP-GC group (stage II-IV, 39.9% for HPIN-GC vs 33.3% for HPIP-GC, p=0.973).

Table 3. Molecular Characteristics of the Gastric Cancer Patients according to the Final Helicobacter pylori Status

Characteristic	H. pylori-positive*	H. pylori-negative*	p-value
MSI			0.195
MSI-high	41 (8.3)	3 (16.7)	
MSI-low/MSS	453 (91.7)	15 (83.3)	
Total	494 (100.0)	18 (100.0)	
p53			0.055
Positive	240 (37.0)	14 (56.0)	
Negative	408 (63.0)	11 (44.0)	
Total	648 (100.0)	25 (100.0)	

Data are presented as number (%).

MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable. *Some data were missing, and missing values were not included.

4. APCA in H. pylori-positive gastric cardia cancer

APCAs were measured in the 30 patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastric cardia cancers to exclude the autoimmune atrophic gastritis. No one was positive for APCA.

DISCUSSION

There have been a few studies on the clinicopathologic features and prognosis of gastric cancer according to H. pylori infection status.⁵⁻¹² The prevalence of HPIN-GC varied among studies according to definition of *H. pylori*-negative infection. In fact, there are many methods provided for detecting *H. pylori*, including histologic examination, rapid urease test, culture, anti-H. pylori antibody. However, diagnostic tests have been challenged because H. pylori bacteria are spontaneously disappeared in severely atrophic mucosa and advanced gastric diseases once they have caused gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia after long-time colonization,²³ and the spontaneous seroconversion of anti-H. pylori antibodies often occurs.¹³ Therefore, it is difficult to determine whether patients with gastric cancer who had advanced gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia have had previous H. pylori infection based on conventional H. pylori test. To overcome this problem, the absence of serologic atrophy (PG I \leq 70 ng/mL and/or PG I/II ratio \leq 3.0) and histologic atrophy was included to define HPIN-GC in Korean and Japanese studies and the prevalence of HPIN-GC in these studies were found to less than 10%.^{7,9,11} Moreover, in recent two studies conducted in Japan, cases with even active gastritis with neutrophil infiltration but without H. pylori detection in the histologic examination⁸ or cases with endoscopic atrophy without serologic and histologic atrophy¹⁰ were considered as a previous *H. pylori* infection, the prevalence of HPIN-GC was extremely low (0.42% and 0.66%).

In the present study, the presence of moderate/severe atrophy and intestinal metaplasia in histology and serologic atrophy (PG $I/II \leq 3.0$) were regarded as possible past *H. pylori* infection to detect past infection with *H. pylori* more precisely. Therefore, the actual prevalence of HPIN-GC in present study was calculated as 4.0% (28/705) which was comparable to those reported in previous Korean and Japanese studies.

Recently, Kwak *et al.*¹² reported the prevalence of HPIN-GC was 2.3% using histology, rapid urease test, anti-*H. pylori* antibody and histologic examination of gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia, but serum PG level and culturing were not included.¹² Also they compared the characteristics of HPIN-GC each to those of gastric cancer with current *H. pylori* infection and past *H. pylori* infection, and did not show the data about the family history of gastric cancer and lifestyle factors such as smoking, drinking, and obesity.

In the present study, HPIN-GC did not have different characteristics with regard to well-known independent risk factors of gastric cancer such as age, gender, and family history of gastric cancer. Smoking and alcohol status were not significantly different between two groups, and obesity was not significantly more common in HPIN-GC. No previous studies comparing the features of HPIN-GC and those of HPIP-GC have shown the data about obesity, smoking, drinking, and family history of gastric cancer yet. But we did not have data available regarding salty and nitrated food intake, further researches including dietary habit are needed to establish the impact of lifestyle factors on HPIN-GC.

In the literature, the advanced T and N stages,^{6,7,11,31} and histologically diffuse type^{8,12} were frequently reported in HPIN-GC but the results were not consistent among the studies. In addition, the negative H. pylori infection was reported as an independent poor prognostic factor for survival in gastric cancer in two Western studies, but these studies did not consider gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia.5,6 In our earlier research11 and present research in which histologic and serologic gastric atrophy were considered, the advanced T and N stages were found in HPIN-GC, but there was no significant difference. Although we could not collect patient survival data in the present study, considering the TNM stage of their disease, poor prognosis was not expected in HPIN-GC. And the pathologic features of HPIN-GC such as histology and Lauren's classification were not different from those of HPIN-PC. A higher frequency of cardia location was observed in HPIN-GC although there is no significant difference (14.3% for HPIN-GC vs 5.3% for HPIP-GC, p=0.068) in present study. Some previous studies reported that the HPIN-GC arose more often upper location.^{5,6,32} There is a possibility that the no statistical significances might be originated from the small number of HPIN-GC, therefore a cardia location in HPIN-GC would be significantly frequent with large enough numbers of HPIN-GC patients.

The genetic and epigenetic changes in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, cell cycle regulators, and DNA repair genes have known to contribute to gastric carcinogenesis.³³ Recent molecular biological studies have revealed that there are at least two distinct genetic pathways for gastrointestinal carcinogenesis, the suppressor and mutator pathways.³⁴ Mutator pathway, characterized by MSI, is suggested to play an important role in the tumorigenesis of the foveolar type, whereas the suppressor pathway, represented by p53 alteration, could participate in the tumorigenesis of complete-type intestinal metaplastic phenotype gastric adenocarcinomas.³⁴ MSI is defined as length changes of microsatellites, which are repeating sequences of 1-6 base pairs of DNA and caused by an impairment of DNA mismatch repair system.³³ The frequency of MSI in gastric cancer has been reported from 9.5% to 44% and some studies have shown associations between MSI-H in gastric cancer and intestinal type, older age, distal location, lower prevalence of LN metastasis, and better prognosis.33 Though the number of cases with HPIN-GC was limited in the present study, the proportion of MSI-H was not significantly different between HPIN-GC and HPIP-GC.

The alteration or inactivation of p53 tumor suppressor gene, which is the most frequently mutated gene in human cancers, allows a cell with damaged DNA to escape from normal growth, resulting in cancer development.^{33,35} The positivity rate of p53 expression in gastric cancer has been reported to range from 4% to 71%, and the association with intestinal-type gastric cancer,^{26,36,37} higher frequency of cardia cancer^{38,39} and poor prognosis⁴⁰ has also been reported. Recent in vivo studies have demonstrated that H. pylori can affect p53 function through mutationindependent mechanism as well as mutational mechanism,⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ especially common in patients infected with cytotoxin-associated gene A positive strain of H. pylori. Because of prolonged half-life of the mutant p53 protein compared with the wild-type p53, immunochemical overexpression of p53 protein in tumors has been interpreted as a surrogate for p53 mutation. There have been a few studies that showed a higher immunochemical staining of p53 expression in HPIP-GC than in HPIN-GC.45-47 In current study, genetic studies for the confirmed detection of p53 mutations were not conducted, but no statistical significant difference in expression of p53 were observed between HPIN-GC and HPIP-GC (56.0% for HPIN-GC vs 37.0% for HPIP-GC, p=0.055). The higher frequency of p53 overexpression in HPIN-GC might be due to the features of cardia cancer because cardia cancer among HPIN-GC in this study was relatively high (14.3%). If the number of HPIN-GC is increased then there might be a possibility of difference. Thus further research is necessary.

We considered atrophy and intestinal metaplasia as a result of long-standing *H. pylori* infection. However, autoimmune gastritis also causes atrophic gastritis.⁴⁸ Autoimmune atrophic gastritis is characterized by a chronic atrophic gastritis limited to the mucosa of the corpus and fundus and by a marked diffuse atrophy of parietal and chief cells. It is associated with serum antiparietal and anti-intrinsic factor antibodies and the cause of pernicious anemia. In current study, APCAs were measured in the 30 patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastric cardia cancers, but no one was positive in APCA. Three of 36 patients with *H. pylori*-positive gastric cardia cancers had negative *H. pylori* tests but had gastric atrophy. Although APCA was measured in only one patient, we could exclude autoimmune gastritis in these three patients because chronic atrophic gastritis was present in the antrum.

Gastric cancer could develop in the hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, irrespective of *H. pylori* infection. Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer is extreme rare in Korea⁴⁹ and we did not find any suspected hereditary diffuse gastric cancer patient. That is, we could not find any gastric cancer patient below 50 years old who has at least three gastric cancer family members over grandfather, father and son or daughter.

Our study has several limitations. Although we used the combination of multiple diagnostic methods to minimize the possibility of false-negative *H. pylori* testing results, a PCR-based assay was not available. PCR may have been more sensitive compared with the other diagnostic techniques but is not usually used in the clinical setting. Only gastric cancers treated by endoscopic and surgical resection were included in this study. The advanced-stage gastric cancers that underwent palliative chemotherapy or conservative treatment might be excluded selectively.

In this study, we showed that gastric cancers that are not associated with *H. pylori* infection are rare in Korea and according to *H. pylori* infection status, gastric cancers contain similar clinicopathologic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and molecular features such as MSI and p53. This finding suggests that biological and genetic factors except for MSI and p53 might be involved in gastric cancer regardless of *H. pylori* infection. Therefore, to understand the exact carcinogenesis of HPIN-GC and to identify early diagnostic markers of HPIN-GC, further studies on molecular cancer genetics are crucial.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant for the Global Core Research Center (GCRC) funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (number: 2011-0030001). No author has any conflict of interest or financial arrangements that could potentially influence the described research.

REFERENCES

1. Schistosomes, liver flukes and Helicobacter pylori. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, 7-14 June 1994. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 1994;61: 1-241.

- Correa P. Human gastric carcinogenesis: a multistep and multifactorial process. First American Cancer Society Award Lecture on cancer epidemiology and prevention. Cancer Res 1992;52:6735– 6740.
- Uemura N, Okamoto S, Yamamoto S, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection and the development of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med 2001;345:784-789.
- Ohata H, Kitauchi S, Yoshimura N, et al. Progression of chronic atrophic gastritis associated with Helicobacter pylori infection increases risk of gastric cancer. Int J Cancer 2004;109:138-143.
- Meimarakis G, Winter H, Assmann I, et al. Helicobacter pylori as a prognostic indicator after curative resection of gastric carcinoma: a prospective study. Lancet Oncol 2006;7:211-222.
- Marrelli D, Pedrazzani C, Berardi A, et al. Negative Helicobacter pylori status is associated with poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. Cancer 2009;115:2071-2080.
- Yoon H, Kim N, Lee HS, et al. Helicobacter pylori-negative gastric cancer in South Korea: incidence and clinicopathologic characteristics. Helicobacter 2011;16:382-388.
- Matsuo T, Ito M, Takata S, Tanaka S, Yoshihara M, Chayama K. Low prevalence of Helicobacter pylori-negative gastric cancer among Japanese. Helicobacter 2011;16:415-419.
- Kato S, Matsukura N, Tsukada K, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection-negative gastric cancer in Japanese hospital patients: incidence and pathological characteristics. Cancer Sci 2007;98:790-794.
- Ono S, Kato M, Suzuki M, et al. Frequency of Helicobacter pylorinegative gastric cancer and gastric mucosal atrophy in a Japanese endoscopic submucosal dissection series including histological, endoscopic and serological atrophy. Digestion 2012;86:59-65.
- Kim HJ, Hwang SW, Kim N, et al. Helicobacter pylori and molecular markers as prognostic indicators for gastric cancer in Korea. J Cancer Prev 2014;19:56-67.
- Kwak HW, Choi IJ, Cho SJ, et al. Characteristics of gastric cancer according to Helicobacter pylori infection status. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;29:1671-1677.
- Kokkola A, Kosunen TU, Puolakkainen P, et al. Spontaneous disappearance of Helicobacter pylori antibodies in patients with advanced atrophic corpus gastritis. APMIS 2003;111:619-624.
- 14. Kiyohira K, Yoshihara M, Ito M, Haruma K, Tanaka S, Chayama K. Serum pepsinogen concentration as a marker of Helicobacter pyloriinfection and the histologic grade of gastritis; evaluation of gastric mucosa by serum pepsinogen levels. J Gastroenterol 2003; 38:332-338.
- 15. La Torre G, Chiaradia G, Gianfagna F, et al. Smoking status and gastric cancer risk: an updated meta-analysis of case-control studies published in the past ten years. Tumori 2009;95:13-22.
- D'Elia L, Rossi G, Ippolito R, Cappuccio FP, Strazzullo P. Habitual salt intake and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Clin Nutr 2012;31:489–498.

- Shin CM, Kim N, Cho SI, Kim JS, Jung HC, Song IS. Association between alcohol intake and risk for gastric cancer with regard to ALDH2 genotype in the Korean population. Int J Epidemiol 2011; 40:1047-1055.
- Chen Y, Liu L, Wang X, et al. Body mass index and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of a population with more than ten million from 24 prospective studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013;22:1395-1408.
- O'Doherty MG, Freedman ND, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. A prospective cohort study of obesity and risk of oesophageal and gastric adenocarcinoma in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study. Gut 2012;61:1261-1268.
- Kim HJ, Kim N, Kim HY, et al. Relationship between body mass index and the risk of early gastric cancer and dysplasia regardless of Helicobacter pylori infection. Gastric Cancer 2015;18:762-773.
- 21. Shin CM, Kim N, Yang HJ, et al. Stomach cancer risk in gastric cancer relatives: interaction between Helicobacter pylori infection and family history of gastric cancer for the risk of stomach cancer. J Clin Gastroenterol 2010;44:e34-e39.
- Kim SE, Park YS, Kim N, et al. Effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication on functional dyspepsia. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2013; 19:233-243.
- Kang HY, Kim N, Park YS, et al. Progression of atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia drives Helicobacter pylori out of the gastric mucosa. Dig Dis Sci 2006;51:2310-2315.
- 24. Rugge M, Genta RM; OLGA Group. Staging gastritis: an international proposal. Gastroenterology 2005;129:1807-1808.
- 25. Capelle LG, de Vries AC, Haringsma J, et al. The staging of gastritis with the OLGA system by using intestinal metaplasia as an accurate alternative for atrophic gastritis. Gastrointest Endosc 2010; 71:1150-1158.
- Lee HK, Lee HS, Yang HK, et al. Prognostic significance of Bcl-2 and p53 expression in gastric cancer. Int J Colorectal Dis 2003; 18:518-525.
- Oh JR, Kim DW, Lee HS, et al. Microsatellite instability testing in Korean patients with colorectal cancer. Fam Cancer 2012;11:459-466.
- 28. Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 1998;58:5248–5257.
- 29. Low S, Chin MC, Ma S, Heng D, Deurenberg-Yap M. Rationale for redefining obesity in Asians. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2009;38: 66-69.
- Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. Ann Surg Oncol 2010;17:1471-1474.
- Qiu HB, Zhang LY, Keshari RP, et al. Relationship between H. pylori infection and clinicopathological features and prognosis of gastric cancer. BMC Cancer 2010;10:374.
- 32. Kakinoki R, Kushima R, Matsubara A, et al. Re-evaluation of his-

togenesis of gastric carcinomas: a comparative histopathological study between Helicobacter pylori-negative and H. pylori-positive cases. Dig Dis Sci 2009;54:614-620.

- Jang BG, Kim WH. Molecular pathology of gastric carcinoma. Pathobiology 2011;78:302-310.
- Endoh Y, Sakata K, Tamura G, et al. Cellular phenotypes of differentiated-type adenocarcinomas and precancerous lesions of the stomach are dependent on the genetic pathways. J Pathol 2000; 191:257-263.
- 35. Zafirellis K, Karameris A, Milingos N, Androulakis G. Molecular markers in gastric cancer: can p53 and bcl-2 protein expressions be used as prognostic factors? Anticancer Res 2005;25:3629-3636.
- Deveci MS, Deveci G. Prognostic value of p53 protein and MK-1 (a tumor-associated antigen) expression in gastric carcinoma. Gastric Cancer 2007;10:112-116.
- Goncalves AR, Carneiro AJ, Martins I, et al. Prognostic significance of p53 protein expression in early gastric cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 2011;17:349-355.
- Flejou JF, Gratio V, Muzeau F, Hamelin R. p53 abnormalities in adenocarcinoma of the gastric cardia and antrum. Mol Pathol 1999;52:263-268.
- 39. Rugge M, Shiao YH, Busatto G, et al. The p53 gene in patients under the age of 40 with gastric cancer: mutation rates are low but are associated with a cardiac location. Mol Pathol 2000;53:207-210.
- 40. Al-Moundhri MS, Nirmala V, Al-Hadabi I, et al. The prognostic significance of p53, p27 kip1, p21 waf1, HER-2/neu, and Ki67 proteins expression in gastric cancer: a clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study of 121 Arab patients. J Surg Oncol

2005;91:243-252.

- Wei J, Nagy TA, Vilgelm A, et al. Regulation of p53 tumor suppressor by Helicobacter pylori in gastric epithelial cells. Gastroenterology 2010;139:1333-1343.
- 42. Wei J, Zaika E, Zaika A. p53 Family: role of protein isoforms in human cancer. J Nucleic Acids 2012;2012:687359.
- 43. Wei J, Noto JM, Zaika E, et al. Bacterial CagA protein induces degradation of p53 protein in a p14ARF-dependent manner. Gut 2015;64:1040-1048.
- 44. Wang F, Meng W, Wang B, Qiao L. Helicobacter pylori-induced gastric inflammation and gastric cancer. Cancer Lett 2014;345: 196-202.
- 45. Salih BA, Gucin Z, Bayyurt N. A study on the effect of Helicobacter pylori infection on p53 expression in gastric cancer and gastritis tissues. J Infect Dev Ctries 2013;7:651-657.
- 46. Meng WD, Chu RX, Wang BZ, Wang LP, Ma LL, Wang LX. Helicobacter pylori infection and expressions of apoptosis-related proteins p53, ASPP2 and iASPP in gastric cancer and precancerous lesions. Pathol Biol (Paris) 2013;61:199-202.
- Li JH, Shi XZ, Lv S, Liu M, Xu GW. Effect of Helicobacter pylori infection on p53 expression of gastric mucosa and adenocarcinoma with microsatellite instability. World J Gastroenterol 2005; 11:4363-4366.
- Neumann WL, Coss E, Rugge M, Genta RM. Autoimmune atrophic gastritis: pathogenesis, pathology and management. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;10:529-541.
- 49. Lee HJ, Yang HK, Ahn YO. Gastric cancer in Korea. Gastric Cancer 2002;5:177-182.