BRIEF REPORT

Relationship Between Perceived Cognitive Dysfunction and Objective Neuropsychological Performance in Persons With Rheumatoid Arthritis

SO YOUNG SHIN, PATRICIA KATZ, AND LAURA JULIAN

Objective. Research shows a gap between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in persons with chronic diseases. We explored this relationship in persons with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Methods. Individuals from a longitudinal cohort study of RA participated in a study visit that included physical, psychosocial, cognitive, and biologic metrics. Subjective cognitive dysfunction was assessed using the Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (PDQ; range 0–20, where higher scores = greater perceived impairment). Objective cognitive impairment was assessed using a battery of 12 standardized neuropsychological measures yielding 16 indices. On each test, subjects were classified as impaired if they performed 1 SD below the age-based population norms. Total cognitive function scores were calculated by summing the transformed scores (range 0–16, where higher scores = greater impairment). Multiple linear regression analyses determined the relationship of the total cognitive function score with the PDQ score, controlling for sex, race, marital status, income, education, disease duration, disease severity, depression, and fatigue.

Results. One hundred twenty subjects (mean \pm SD age 58.5 \pm 11.0 years) were included. Mean \pm SD scores of total cognitive function and the PDQ were 2.5 \pm 2.2 (range 0–10) and 5.8 \pm 3.8 (range 0–16), respectively. In multivariate analysis, there was no significant relationship between the total cognitive function score and the PDQ score. However, depression and fatigue (β = 0.32, P < 0.001 and β = 0.31, P = 0.001, respectively) were significantly associated with the PDQ score.

Conclusion. The findings emphasize the gap between subjective and objective measures of cognitive impairment and the importance of considering psychological factors within the context of cognitive symptoms in clinical settings.

Introduction

For persons with chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), intact cognitive function is critical for performing daily activities and adhering to self-management regimens (1). Few studies have examined cognitive function in RA using methods that extend beyond conventional bedside mental status screening examinations. In

Supported by the NIH/National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (grant P60-AR053308) and the NIH/National Center for Research Resources, University of California, San Francisco-Clinical and Translational Science Institute (grant UL1-RR024131).

So Young Shin, PhD, RN, GCNS-BC (current address: Keimyung University, Daegu, South Korea), Patricia Katz, PhD, Laura Julian, PhD: University of California, San Francisco.

Address correspondence to So Young Shin, PhD, RN, GCNS-BC, College of Nursing, Keimyung University, 1095 Dalgubeoldaero, Dalseo-Gu, 704-701, Daegu, South Korea. E-mail: syshin@kmu.ac.kr.

Submitted for publication March 8, 2012; accepted in revised form July 27, 2012.

one study, cognitive dysfunction was observed to be common in RA patients, with prevalence rates ranging from 38–71% (2). Appenzeller and colleagues (3) found cognitive impairment in 30% of a Brazilian RA cohort as compared to 8% of healthy controls. These studies have important implications in that they highlight the potential burden of cognitive impairment and its possible risk factors in RA patients who have not been widely investigated.

In clinical settings, evaluating cognitive function using objective measures holds particular challenges, including the limited access to neuropsychological services, length of examinations, and costs (4). One method of screening for cognitive impairment that has been especially appealing is the administration of self-reported assessments of perceived cognitive functioning. However, in some populations, research generally has shown a gap between self-reported cognitive dysfunction (i.e., subjective measure) and neuropsychological performance (i.e., objective measure). Although there are mixed findings, some studies have observed no significant relationship between subjective and objective measures of cognitive dysfunction (4—

482 Shin et al

Significance & Innovations

- Intact cognitive function is critical for performing daily activities and adhering to self-management regimens for persons with chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA).
- This is one of the few studies that assessed a wide spectrum of cognitive domains in RA patients using both subjective and objective neuropsychological tests.
- The findings of this study emphasize the gap between subjective and objective measures of cognitive impairment and the importance of considering depression and fatigue within the context of cognitive symptoms in clinical settings.

6). Instead, they found that psychological distress, i.e., depression or fatigue, was significantly correlated with perceived cognitive dysfunction.

To our knowledge, no study has explored the relationship between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in persons with RA. This question seems particularly important to address in RA given the increasing appreciation of the burden of cognitive impairment in RA combined with high levels of psychological distress (i.e., depression and fatigue) commonly observed in this health condition. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to explore the relationship between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in persons with RA, with particular consideration of symptoms of depression and fatigue as confounding factors.

Subjects and methods

Sample and setting. Subjects were drawn from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) RA Panel, which was initiated in 1982. Details about enrollment and data collection have been described previously (7). Briefly, a random sample of rheumatologists practicing in Northern California recruited participants with RA presenting in their offices over a 1-month period. Eight hundred twenty-two persons were enrolled between 1982 and 1983, supplemented with 4 additional recruitments from 1989—2003. Trained interviewers have conducted structured annual telephone interviews that included questions on sociodemographic characteristics, general health status, disease-related symptoms, medication use, psychological health status, physical function, and disability.

At the end of the telephone interviews in the study years 2007–2009, participants who lived in the San Francisco Bay area and were willing to travel to UCSF were recruited for in-person assessments at the UCSF Clinical Research Services (CRS) facility. In 2009, an additional 44 subjects were recruited from the UCSF rheumatology clinic and from individuals who had participated in another study of RA and had agreed to be contacted for other studies. In total, 144 individuals participated in the CRS research visits, 60% of those who were recruited and deemed eligible.

The CRS visits included a range of physical, psychosocial, cognitive, and biologic measures. Data from the CRS visits were merged with data collected during the standardized telephone interviews. Finally, 120 subjects who had complete data on all outcomes and covariates of interest were included in this study. The research protocol was approved by the UCSF Committee on Human Research, and all subjects gave their informed consent to participate.

Measures. Subjective measure of cognitive function. Perceived cognitive dysfunction was assessed using the Perceived Deficits Questionnaire (PDQ) 5-item version (8,9), which has been utilized as a reliable and valid tool in patients with chronic health conditions (10,11). The PDQ determines how often people have experienced perceived cognitive problems during the past 4 weeks, and covers 4 domains: attention/concentration, retrospective memory, prospective memory, and planning/organization. It includes 5 items, such as "how often did you have trouble getting things organized" and "how often did you have trouble concentrating on things like watching a television program or reading a book," each of which is scored on a 5-point scale (where 0 = never, 1 = rarely, 2 = sometimes, 3 = often, and 4 = almost always). The total PDQ score can be calculated by summing raw scores, ranging from 0-20 (where higher scores = greater perceived impairment).

Objective measure of cognitive function. Cognitive function was objectively assessed using a standardized neuropsychological battery that was modified from the American College of Rheumatology neuropsychological battery (12). It was initially developed and recommended for patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, and has been deemed reliable and valid (13,14). We modified it for use in RA to minimize or control for the effects of hand motor dysfunction in these patients.

Neuropsychological tests included the California Verbal Learning Test-II (15) Learning, Short Delay Free Recall, and Long Delay Free Recall; the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test (16) Copy Trial, Immediate Delay Recall, and Long Delay Recall; the Controlled Oral Word Association Test and the Animal Naming Test (17); the oral version of the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (18); the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function Scale, including the Card Sorting Test (total correct), Design Fluency Test (total correct), Trail Making Test (timing for sequencing/shifting condition), and Color Word Inference Test (19) Inhibition and Switching Conditions; the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III Digit Span Backwards Test (20); and the short form Judgment of Line Orientation Test (21,22). The duration of the neuropsychological battery was approximately 60-80 minutes in length.

Neuropsychological tests were scored to yield Z scores based on age-stratified population norms, and 16 neuropsychological indices were derived. Using conventional cut points, subjects were classified as impaired if they performed 1 SD below the age-stratified population norms for each cognitive index (13,23). The total cognitive function score was calculated by summing the transformed scores, ranging from 0–16 (where higher scores = greater

Table 1. Characteristics of	the sub	jects (n =	120)*
-----------------------------	---------	------------	-------

	Value			
Age, years	58.5 ± 11.0 (25–87)			
Women, no. (%)	77 (64.2)			
White, no. (%)	98 (81.7)			
Education <12 years, no. (%)	18 (15)			
Married/living with a partner,	75 (62.5)			
no. (%)				
Family income below \$20,000,	10 (8.3)			
no. (%)				
Duration of RA, years	$19.9 \pm 11.1 (0-56)$			
Severity of RA (RADAI)	$2.4 \pm 1.6 (0-6.7)$			
Depression (MINI), no. (%)	8 (6.7)			
Fatigue (MAF)	$4.73 \pm 2.48 (0.5 - 10)$			
Total cognitive function score	$2.53 \pm 2.18 (0-10)$			
Perceived cognitive dysfunction	$5.83 \pm 3.83 (0-16)$			
(PDQ)				

^{*} Values are the mean ± SD (range) unless otherwise indicated. RA = rheumatoid arthritis; RADAI = Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index; MINI = Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MAF = Multidimensional Assessment of Fatigue; PDQ = Perceived Deficits Questionnaire.

impairment). For subjects who completed $\geq 80\%$ of the 16 subtests (≥ 13), but did not complete up to 3 of the subtests, the mean Z score of the subtests that they did complete was substituted as the scores for the missing subtests before the total cognitive function score was created.

Covariates. Self-reported information on sociodemographics and disease characteristics were assessed as covariates. The severity of RA was assessed using the Rheu-

matoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index (RADAI) (24,25), a patient-assessed measure of disease activity in RA covering global disease activity in the past 6 months; current joint pain, tenderness, and swelling; and current duration of morning stiffness. The RADAI score ranges from 0–10, with higher scores reflecting greater disease activity. It has been shown to be reliable and valid (24,25).

Depression was assessed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (26,27), a short diagnostic structured interview corresponding to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Revised criteria for axis I psychiatric disorders. The MINI was administered by study clinical evaluators trained and supervised by a clinical psychologist (LJ). The MINI has been deemed reliable and valid across many populations (26,27).

Fatigue was assessed using the Multidimensional Assessment of Fatigue (MAF) scale, which consists of 16 items assessing 4 dimensions of fatigue for the past week, including severity, distress, degree of interference in activities of daily living, and timing (28,29). The MAF has been tested and found to be reliable and valid in many chronic conditions, including RA (30–32). For these analyses, we used the fatigue severity score, which ranges from 0–10, with higher scores indicating greater fatigue.

Statistical analysis. Bivariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were conducted to identify the relationship between total cognitive function and PDQ scores, controlling for other covariates (sex, race, marital status, income, education, disease duration, disease severity, de-

	Value
Verbal learning and memory impairment	
CVLT Learning	12 (10.0)
CVLT Short Delay Free Recall	24 (20.0)
CVLT Long Delay Free Recall	23 (19.2)
Visuospatial learning and memory impairment	
Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test Copy Trial	33 (27.5)
Rey-Osterrieth Immediate Delay Recall	16 (13.3)
Rey-Osterrieth Long Delay Recall	18 (15.0)
Fluency impairment	
Controlled Oral Word Association (phonemic fluency)	12 (10.0)
Animal Naming (semantic fluency)	10 (8.3)
Design Fluency	35 (29.2)
Executive function impairment	
Color Word Inference Inhibition	15 (12.5)
Color Word Inference Switching	12 (10.0)
Card Sorting	16 (13.3)
Trail Making Condition 4	25 (20.8)
Visuospatial impairment	
Judgment of Line Orientation	13 (10.8)
Working memory and speed processing impairment	
Symbol Digit Modalities	22 (18.3)
Digit Span Backwards	14 (11.7)
≥4 cognitive tests impaired	37 (30.8)
Total cognitive function score, mean ± SD (range)	$2.5 \pm 2.2 (0-1)$

 $^{^*}$ Values are the number (percentage) unless otherwise indicated. CVLT = California Verbal Learning Test.

484 Shin et al

cognitive deficit*						
	Bivariate		Multivariate			
	Standardized $oldsymbol{eta}$	P	Standardized $oldsymbol{eta}$	P		
Total cognitive function score Depression (MINI) Fatigue (MAF)	0.26 0.42 0.40	0.004 < 0.001 < 0.001	0.104 0.308 0.316	0.250 < 0.001† 0.001†		

Table 3. Relationship between objective cognitive impairment and subjective

pression, and fatigue). We also identified covariates that were significantly associated with PDQ scores. The limit for significance was set at a 2-tailed alpha level of 0.05. All analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the IBM SPSS Statistics, version 19.0.

Results

Subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean \pm SD age of 120 subjects was 58.5 ± 11.0 years. Sixty-four percent were women, 82% were white, and 63% were married/living with a partner. The mean ± SD education level was 15.3 \pm 2.2 years and the mean \pm SD disease duration was 19.9 \pm 11.1 years. The mean \pm SD MAF score was 4.7 ± 2.5 (range 0.5-10), and 7% met the criteria for major depressive disorder. The mean \pm SD PDQ score was 5.8 \pm 3.8 (range 0–16). The mean \pm SD total cognitive function score was 2.5 \pm 2.2 (range 0–10). The proportion of persons who were classified as cognitively impaired on each test ranged from 8% (semantic fluency test) to 29% (design fluency test). The proportion of persons classified as cognitively impaired on ≥4 of 16 subtests was 31% (Table 2).

In bivariate regression models, the total cognitive function score was significantly associated with the PDQ score $(\beta = 0.26, P = 0.004)$. Psychological factors, i.e., depression and fatigue, were also strongly correlated with the PDQ score ($\beta = 0.42$, P < 0.001 and $\beta = 0.40$, P < 0.001, respectively) (Table 3). A multivariate regression model with 10 covariates was statistically significant and accounted for 31% of the variance in perceived cognitive dysfunction. The total cognitive function score was not significantly associated with the PDQ score ($\beta = 0.104$, P = 0.250) after controlling for sex, race, marital status, income, education, disease duration, disease severity, depression, and fatigue. When examining the regression results for factors that were associated with perceived cognitive dysfunction, both depression and fatigue were significantly associated with the PDQ score ($\beta = 0.308$, P < 0.001 and $\beta = 0.316$, P = 0.001, respectively).

Discussion

In this study, we explored the relationship between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in persons with RA. Almost one-third of the subjects had cognitive impairment as determined by performance significantly below the age-based population norms on a comprehensive neuropsychological battery. The proportion of persons who were classified as cognitively impaired on each subtest ranged from 8-29%. In the multivariate model, there was no significant relationship between subjective and objective measures of cognitive impairment controlling for sociodemographics, disease characteristics, depression, and fatigue. This finding suggests that self-reported cognitive function may not be an adequate substitute for performance-based cognitive function.

The cognitive subdomains that were most commonly affected were design fluency (29%) and visuospatial learning/memory (28%). These results are analogous to previous studies by Appenzeller and colleagues (3), who found cognitive impairment in 30% of the RA cohort, with worse outcomes in domains evaluating verbal fluency and episodic memory. We found slightly lower prevalence rates in comparison to another study by Bartolini et al (2), who observed cognitive dysfunction in 38% (divided/sustained attention and mental flexibility) to 71% (visuospatial and planning functions) of their cohort of RA patients.

Discrepancies between subjective and objective measures of cognitive impairment have been reported in several other groups of patients, including persons with multiple sclerosis (4,6), cancer (33,34), and epilepsy (35). Our findings extend these previous results to patients with RA. Although the criteria and measures used to assess cognitive function were different, the gap between subjective and objective cognitive dysfunction was consistently found across the studies. Further studies evaluating these relationships in more diverse and representative subjects are warranted.

Depression and fatigue were both significantly associated with perceived cognitive dysfunction in this study. This result is analogous to the aforementioned studies (4-6,33-35) observing similar correlations of depression or fatigue with perceived cognitive symptoms, and emphasizes the potential role of other factors that may influence the perceptions and reports of cognitive dysfunction in persons with RA.

A routine neuropsychological evaluation would be beneficial for most patients with cognitive symptoms or suspected cognitive impairment. However, this method is not always available in clinical settings due to limited access

^{*} Covariates included sex, race, marital status, family income, educational level, duration of rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and severity of RA. MINI = Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MAF Multidimensional Assessment of Fatigue.

[†] Significant at P < 0.05.

to neuropsychological services, high costs, and time constraints (4). Therefore, there may be value in using selfreported measures, which are brief and cost-effective screening approaches to detect cognitive impairment efficiently. However, our study suggests some caution in using these approaches in RA and confirms previous studies observing stronger associations with other psychological factors, including depression and fatigue, as compared to objective neuropsychological performance. Therefore, these factors should be considered when interpreting the results of self-reported measures of cognitive dysfunction. Using measures of perceived cognitive impairment in combination with consideration of other factors that may contribute to a patient's reported cognitive functioning may help to identify patients who would benefit from a more comprehensive neuropsychological assessment and/or a mental health screening examination (36).

This study has significant implications for clinical practice. While self-reported measures of cognitive dysfunction may have limitations, they may still have clinical usability, and a patient's report of cognitive impairment should be considered clinically meaningful. These measures can capture a patient's experience of his/her cognitive performance and may have the potential to identify very mild cognitive changes noticeable to the patient but not yet detected on the conventional neuropsychological assessment (36). In addition, a comparison of self-reported measures to proxy-reported measures and objective neuropsychological performance can provide important information about a patient's insight into his/her own functioning.

This study may have some limitations. The sample for this study may not be representative of all patients with RA for several reasons. Many subjects were participants of a long-term prospective study of RA (active since 1983) and may be relatively healthy survivors who have been able to participate in long-term research studies. Only persons who lived in the San Francisco Bay area and were able to travel to the UCSF CRS visit were included in the study, perhaps also biasing the sample toward more healthy individuals. Subjects were primarily white, with relatively high education and income, which might limit the generalization of the study findings to certain groups. The lack of a matched control group limits our ability to attribute findings to RA specifically.

The classification criteria of cognitive impairment in this study (i.e., performance 1 SD below the age-adjusted population norms on each neuropsychological test) may be less conservative than some other studies, but also are comparable in stringency to other studies of rheumatic disease (13,23). It was our intent to evaluate the spectrum of cognitive impairment in this condition, since even mild levels of impairment can disrupt daily functioning. Additionally, this cohort was highly educated, and we wanted to minimize the risk of false-negatives in our criteria selection.

A cross-sectional study could not provide causal information among the variables. In spite of statistically significant findings, whether depression and fatigue caused perceived cognitive dysfunction could not be determined. A

longitudinal study design is required to identify the causal relationship between the variables of interest.

There was no significant relationship between perceived cognitive dysfunction and objective neuropsychological performance in patients with RA after controlling for covariates. Depression and fatigue, however, were significantly associated with perceived cognitive dysfunction. The findings of this study emphasize the gap between subjective and objective measures of cognitive impairment and the importance of considering depression and fatigue within the context of cognitive symptoms in clinical settings.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. Dr. Shin had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study conception and design. Shin, Katz, Julian.

Acquisition of data. Katz, Julian.

Analysis and interpretation of data. Shin, Julian.

REFERENCES

- Abeare CA, Cohen JL, Axelrod BN, Leisen JC, Mosley-Williams A, Lumley MA. Pain, executive functioning, and affect in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin J Pain 2010; 26:683–9.
- Bartolini M, Candela M, Brugni M, Catena L, Mari F, Pomponio G, et al. Are behaviour and motor performances of rheumatoid arthritis patients influenced by subclinical cognitive impairments? A clinical and neuroimaging study. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2002;20:491-7.
- Appenzeller S, Bertolo MB, Costallat LT. Cognitive impairment in rheumatoid arthritis. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol 2004;26:339-43.
- Julian L, Merluzzi N, Mohr D. The relationship among depression, subjective cognitive impairment, and neuropsychological performance in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2007;13: 81–6.
- Middleton LS, Denney DR, Lynch SG, Parmenter B. The relationship between perceived and objective cognitive functioning in multiple sclerosis. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2006;21: 487–94.
- Maor Y, Olmer L, Mozes B. The relation between objective and subjective impairment in cognitive function among multiple sclerosis patients: the role of depression. Mult Scler 2001;7:131–5.
- Katz PP, Morris A, Yelin EH. Prevalence and predictors of disability in valued life activities among individuals with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:763–9.
- Ritvo P, Fischer J, Miller D, Andrews H, Paty D, LaRocca N. MSQLI-Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory: a user's manual. New York: National MS Society; 1997.
- Fischer J, LaRocca N, Miller D, Ritvo P, Andrews H, Paty D. Recent developments in the assessment of quality of life in multiple sclerosis (MS). Mult Scler 1999;5:251–9.
- Dilorenzo T, Halper J, Picone MA. Reliability and validity of the Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory in older individuals. Disabil Rehabil 2003;25:891–7.
- 11. Marrie RA, Miller DM, Chelune GJ, Cohen JA. Validity and reliability of the MSQ LI in cognitively impaired patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler 2003;9:621–6.
- ACR Ad Hoc Committee on Neuropsychiatric Lupus Nomenclature. The American College of Rheumatology nomenclature and case definitions for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:599-608.
- 13. Kozora E, Ellison MC, West S. Reliability and validity of the

486 Shin et al

proposed American College of Rheumatology neuropsychological battery for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2004;51:810-8.

- Kozora E, Arciniegas DB, Zhang L, West S. Neuropsychological patterns in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with depression. Arthritis Res Ther 2007;9:R48.
- Delis D, Kramer J, Kaplan E, Ober B. California Verbal Learning Test: adult version. San Antonio (TX): Psychological Corporation; 1987.
- 16. Rey A, Osterrieth P. Translations of excerpts from Andre Rey's psychological examination of traumatic encephalopathy and P. A. Osterrieth's "The Complex Figure Copy Test." Clin Neuropsychol 1993;7:3–15.
- 17. Borkowski J, Benton A, Spreen O. Word fluency and brain damage. Neuropsychologia 1967;5:135-40.
- Smith A. Symbol Digit Modalities Test manual: revised 1982.
 Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services; 1982.
- 19. Delis D. Delis-Kaplan executive function scale. San Antonio (TX): Psychological Corporation; 2001.
- Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III (WAIS-III).
 San Antonio (TX): Psychological Corporation; 1997.
- Woodard JL, Benedict RH, Roberts VJ, Goldstein FC, Kinner KM, Capruso DX, et al. Short-form alternatives to the Judgment of Line Orientation Test. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1996; 18:898–904.
- 22. Benton AL. Contributions to neuropsychological assessment: a clinical manual. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1994.
- Kozora E, Thompson LL, West SG, Kotzin BL. Analysis of cognitive and psychological deficits in systemic lupus erythematosus patients without overt central nervous system disease. Arthritis Rheum 1996;39:2035–45.
- 24. Stucki G, Liang MH, Stucki S, Bruhlmann P, Michel BA. A self-administered Rheumatoid Arthritis Disease Activity Index (RADAI) for epidemiologic research: psychometric properties and correlation with parameters of disease activity. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38:795–8.
- Fransen J, Langenegger T, Michel B, Stucki G. Feasibility and validity of the RADAI, a self-administered rheumatoid arthri-

- tis disease activity index. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2000;39: 321-7.
- Lecrubier Y, Sheehan D, Weiller E, Amorim P, Bonora I, Harnett Sheehan K, et al. The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): a short diagnostic structured interview. Reliability and validity according to the CIDI. Eur Psychiatry 1997;12:224–31.
- 27. Sheehan D, Lecrubier Y, Harnett Sheehan K, Janavs J, Weiller E, Keskiner A, et al. The validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) according to the SCID-P and its reliability. Eur Psychiatry 1997;12:232–41.
- Belza BL, Henke CJ, Yelin EH, Epstein WV, Gilliss CL. Correlates of fatigue in older adults with rheumatoid arthritis. Nurs Res 1993;42:93–9.
- Tack BB. Dimensions and correlates of fatigue in older adults with rheumatoid arthritis. San Francisco: University of California, San Francisco; 1991.
- 30. Belza BL. Comparison of self-reported fatigue in rheumatoid arthritis and controls. J Rheumatol 1995;22:639-43.
- Fraser R, Johnson E, Clemmons D, Getter A, Johnson K, Gibbons L. Vocational rehabilitation in multiple sclerosis (MS): a profile of clients seeking services. Work 2003;21:69–76.
- Bormann J, Shively M, Smith TL, Gifford AL. Measurement of fatigue in HIV-positive adults: reliability and validity of the Global Fatigue Index. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care 2001;12: 75–83
- 33. Van Dam FS, Schagen SB, Muller MJ, Boogerd W, vd Wall E, Droogleever Fortuyn ME, et al. Impairment of cognitive function in women receiving adjuvant treatment for high-risk breast cancer: high-dose versus standard-dose chemotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:210-8.
- 34. Cull A, Hay C, Love SB, Mackie M, Smets E, Stewart M. What do cancer patients mean when they complain of concentration and memory problems? Br J Cancer 1996;74:1674–9.
- Vermeulen J, Aldenkamp AP, Alpherts WC. Memory complaints in epilepsy: correlations with cognitive performance and neuroticism. Epilepsy Res 1993;15:157–70.
- 36. Julian LJ. Cognitive functioning in multiple sclerosis. Neurol Clin 2011;29:507–25.