

The effects of obesity and *HER-2* polymorphisms as risk factors for endometrial cancer in Korean women

S-Y Tong,^{a,*} S-Y Ha,^{b,*} K-D Ki,^a J-M Lee,^a S-K Lee,^a K-B Lee,^c M-K Kim,^d C-H Cho,^e S-Y Kwon^f

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, East-West Neo Medical Center, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea ^b Department of Pathology, Gachon University, Gil Medical Center, Incheon, Korea ^c Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gachon University, Gil Medical Center, Incheon, Korea ^d Carcinogenesis Branch, Division of Basic Sciences, National Cancer Center, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea ^e Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu, Korea ^f Department of Pathology, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu, Korea

Correspondence: J-M Lee, MD, PhD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, East-West Neo Medical Center, Kyung Hee University, #149 Sangil-Dong, Gangdong-Gu, Seoul 134-890, Korea. Email kgo02@hanmail.net

Accepted 8 February 2009. Published Online 11 May 2009.

Objective To evaluate the relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the *HER-2* gene, body mass index (BMI) and the risk of endometrial cancer.

Design Case-control study.

Setting Medical centres in Korea.

Sample DNA samples and medical histories were obtained from 125 endometrial cancer cases and 302 controls.

Methods The genotypes evaluated in *HER-2* at positions -423, -655, -776, -857, -1170, -1177, -1253 of the coding region and two SNPs located in an intron by SNP-IT assay using SNPstream Ultra-high throughput system.

Main outcome measures Odd ratio for endometrial cancer associated with *HER-2* polymorphisms and BMI.

Results Cases had a significantly higher BMI than controls and the obese subjects had a 2.65-fold increased risk for endometrial cancer. However, *HER-2* polymorphism was not associated significantly with the risk of endometrial cancer. Subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² who carried rs1801200 AA, rs1801200 GA/GG, rs1810132 CT/CC, rs2517951 CT/TT and rs1058808 CG/GG genotype had significantly increased risk of endometrial cancer than subjects with a normal BMI (*P* for linear trend <0.05). However, the risk in the subjects with the variant allele for *HER-2* genotypes did not differ significantly compared to those with homozygous wild-type allele within specific BMI subgroups.

Conclusions Endometrial cancer risk increased significantly in proportion to BMI. However, *HER-2* polymorphism did not affect significantly on the risk of endometrial cancer.

Keywords Body mass index, endometrial neoplasms, *HER-2*, polymorphism, risk factors, single nucleotide.

Please cite this paper as: Tong S, Ha S, Ki K, Lee J, Lee S, Lee K, Kim M, Cho C, Kwon S. The effects of obesity and *HER-2* polymorphisms as risk factors for endometrial cancer in Korean women. BJOG 2009;116:1046-1052.

Introduction

Endometrial cancer is the most common malignancy of the female genital tract in many developed countries¹ and has become more prevalent in Korea during the last decade.² There are two different pathogenetic types in endometrial cancer, such as endometrioid and non-endometrioid histological subtype. Of them, endometrioid subtype is estro-

gen-dependent and has been known to be associated with obesity, which might cause hyperestrogenism.³

The risk of endometrial cancer may be greater in women who have relatives with endometrial cancer^{4,5} and in patients with multiple primary tumours⁶ resulting from conditions such as hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome.⁷ These studies suggest that genetic factors play a role in the aetiology of the disease and that they may work in concert with environmental risk factors.

HER-2 (also known as *erbB-2* or *neu*), a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family, is located at

*Seo-Yun Tong and Seung-Yeon Ha contributed equally to this work as first authors.

chromosome 17q21 and encodes a transmembrane glycoprotein (i.e. p185) with tyrosine kinase activity.^{8–10} The *HER-2* gene activation was suggested as a risk factor in the development of several cancers.^{11–13} Similarly, the *HER-2* proto-oncogene is thought to increase susceptibility to endometrial cancer.¹⁴ In addition, *HER-2* over-expression is correlated with a poor prognosis in endometrial cancer.^{15,16}

A polymorphic change of the *HER-2* gene may alter protein tyrosine kinase activity.¹⁷ Several clinical studies have associated the *HER-2* polymorphism with increased risk for breast, prostate and gastric cancer.^{18–21} However, few studies have explored the correlation between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the development of endometrial cancer,^{22,23} and the effect of obesity on this correlation.

Thus, we conducted a case–control study to evaluate the hypothesis that *HER-2* polymorphisms may affect the risk of endometrial cancer and obesity may modulate these correlation in Korean women.

Materials and methods

Study subjects

The study population was identified from tumour registry databases of participating medical centres in Korea from 1998–2006, after obtaining approval from their institutional review board. The study included 125 Korean women who underwent complete surgical staging for histologically confirmed endometrioid adenocarcinoma. The control group consisted of 302 Korean women with benign gynaecologic disease, such as myoma uteri or benign ovarian tumour, who underwent hysterectomy at the same hospital during the same period. Medical records were reviewed to determine age, body mass index (BMI) and tumour grade, stage and size. Tumours were staged according to the FIGO stag-

ing system. BMI was calculated as body weight divided by the square of the height (kg/m^2). In this study, we evaluated the risk of cancer associated with being overweight (BMI, 23.0 to 24.9 kg/m^2) or obese (BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), with the BMI level between 18.5 and 22.9 kg/m^2 as a referent group.²⁴

To examine the relationship between *HER-2* polymorphisms and histological features of cancer, we defined the low-risk group as patients with grade 1 and stage IA–IB tumours, while the high-risk group was composed of the remaining patients.

Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) selection and genotyping of *HER-2*

We genotyped nine SNPs of the *HER-2* gene, as determined from the National Center for Biotechnology Information database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=snp&cmd=search&term=). Of these, five SNPs were removed because they were either monomorphic or had low allele frequencies ($<10\%$). Consequently, four SNPs [i.e. rs1801200 (I655V), rs1810132, rs2517951, rs1058808 (P1170A)] with allele frequencies greater or equal to 10% were included in the final analysis (Table 1). The observed genotype frequency in the control subjects was in agreement with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($P > 0.05$).

Genomic DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded tissue in tumour-free regions of the uterus^{25,26} using a commercially available DNA isolation kit (MagAttract DNA Mini M48 kit; Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA, USA.), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Genotype identification was performed with the GenomeLab SNPstream (Ultra-high throughput; UHT system²⁷) system, which uses multiplexed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in conjunction with tag array single-base extension

Table 1. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) selected for genotyping in 427 Korean women

SNP database rs no.	Allele*	Function	Minor allele frequency**	HWE***
1801200	A/G	Coding, nonsynonymous (I655V)	0.102	0.41
1810132	C/T	Intron	0.376	0.85
2517951	C/T	Intron	0.390	0.49
1058808	C/G	Coding, nonsynonymous (P1170A)	0.387	0.44
28933369	G/A	Coding, nonsynonymous (G776S)	0	–
28933370	A/G	Coding, nonsynonymous (A857S)	0	–
35757908	C/T	Coding, synonymous (S423S)	0.002	–
36085723	G/A	Coding, nonsynonymous (V1253M)	0	–
4252656	G/A	Coding, synonymous (L1177L)	0	–

*Major alleles are listed first; minor alleles are listed second.

**Among all controls.

***HWE: *P* values from chi-square test for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

genotyping (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). This system and its accompanying SNPstream software have been described by Demomme and Van Oene.²⁸ PCR was performed on an ABI Gene Amp 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using Taq Gold DNA polymerase. Multiplexed PCR and genotyping were performed in homogeneous reactions and assay results were read by direct two-colour fluorescence on a SNPstream UHT Array Imager. To ensure quality control, genotyping was blinded to case-control status and a 10% masked, random sample of subjects was repeatedly tested. Results were concordant for all masked duplicated sets. Genotyping data were obtained from 125 cases and 302 controls; results were reviewed and manually confirmed by experienced researchers.

Statistical analysis

The comparison for age and BMI between cases and controls was performed using the Student's *t* test. Unconditional logistic regression analysis was conducted to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and their 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for associations between BMI and endometrial cancer risk. To measure the associations between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the risk of endometrial cancer, individuals were grouped according to genotype. Subjects who carried the homozygous wild-type genotype were considered the reference group. Logistic regression was used to estimate the ORs and 95% CIs after adjustment for age and BMI and to assess whether common polymorphisms of *HER-2* are associated with the risk of endometrial cancer. We evaluated whether BMI could modify the risk of endometrial cancer with the lowest BMI (<23 kg/m²) subjects in each SNPs group as the reference. Test for linear trend across BMI categories were performed using a series of logistic regression analysis. Interactions were tested by using the log likelihood ratio test. In addition, we evaluated whether *HER-2* polymorphisms could modify endometrial cancer risk within a specific BMI subgroup to identify a further subjects at a higher risk of endometrial cancer using unconditional logistic regression models with the subjects with homozygous for the common allele as the reference. We also evaluated the association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and histopathological features in the subgroup analyses by stage (i.e. IA, IB versus ≥ IC), tumour grade (i.e. 1 versus 2, 3), and tumour size (i.e. <2 cm versus ≥2 cm). All *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS 8.0 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The mean ages for cases and controls were 53.7 and 44.0 years respectively (*P* < 0.001). Cases were more likely

than controls to be overweight and mean BMI was higher in cases than in controls (*P* < 0.001) and the subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² had a 2.65-fold increased risk for endometrial cancer compared to those with BMI <23 kg/m² (adjusted OR = 2.65; 95% CI, 1.44–4.89) (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the risk of endometrial cancer, as well as the genotype frequencies of *HER-2* polymorphisms in the study subjects. There were no significant differences in allele frequencies and genotype distributions of the SNPs between the two groups. When *HER-2* polymorphisms were examined individually, it was not significantly associated with the risk of endometrial cancer.

Given that BMI is a major risk factor for endometrial cancer, we examined the effect of BMI on endometrial cancer risk within specific *HER-2* genotypes (Table 4). Compared with a normal BMI (<23 kg/m²) who carried the rs1801200 AA genotype, those obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) who carried the rs1801200 AA genotype had a 2.61-fold higher risk of endometrial cancer (adjusted OR = 2.61; 95% CI, 1.27–5.39). Compared with subjects with a normal BMI who carried the rs1801200 GA or GG genotype,

Table 2. Characteristics of study subjects

Variables	Controls, <i>n</i> = 302 (%)	Cases, <i>n</i> = 125 (%)	<i>P</i> value
Age (years)			
Mean ± SD	44.0 ± 5.35	53.7 ± 11.5	<0.001
(range)	(26–64)	(29–81)	
BMI*(%) (kg/m²)			
<23	118 (39.1)	30 (24.0)	1.0 (ref.)**
23–25	103 (34.1)	34 (27.2)	1.19 (0.62–2.29)***
≥25	81 (26.8)	61 (48.8)	2.65 (1.44–4.89)***
Mean ± SD	23.7 ± 2.20	25.4 ± 3.58	<0.001
(range)	(18.2–34.1)	(19.5–49.9)	
Stage			
IA	–	24 (19)	
IB	–	54 (43)	
IC	–	22 (18)	
II	–	15 (12)	
III	–	10 (8)	
Grade			
1	–	85 (68)	
2	–	30 (24)	
3	–	10 (8)	
Tumour size			
<2 cm	–	17 (18)	
≥2 cm	–	78 (82)	

*BMI, body mass index.

**Reference category.

***Age-adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) was calculated via unconditional logistic regression.

Table 3. Association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the risk of endometrial cancer

SNP	Genotype	Controls	Cases	OR (95% CI)*
		N (%)	N (%)	
rs1801200	AA	241 (81)	90 (80)	1 (ref.)
	GA	53 (18)	23 (20)	1.13 (0.59–2.16)
	GG	4 (1)	0 (0)	–
rs1810132	CC	118 (39)	47 (41)	1 (ref.)
	CT	134 (45)	55 (47)	1.41 (0.82–2.42)
	TT	48 (16)	14 (12)	0.97 (0.44–2.14)
rs2517951	CC	118 (39)	47 (39)	1 (ref.)
	CT	132 (44)	53 (44)	1.32 (0.76–2.29)
	TT	51 (17)	20 (17)	1.40 (0.68–2.88)
rs1058808	CC	117 (39)	49 (40)	1 (ref.)
	CG	135 (45)	54 (44)	1.16 (0.67–2.00)
	GG	49 (16)	19 (16)	1.39 (0.68–2.87)

*ORs and 95% CIs were calculated via unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age and body mass index.

subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² who carried the rs1801200 GA or GG genotype also had a increased risk of endometrial cancer (adjusted OR = 4.46; 95% CI, 0.98–20.17). Thus, the risk of endometrial cancer was found to increase significantly in proportion to BMI in the subjects with rs1801200 AA (*P* for linear trend = 0.008) or GA/GG

(*P* for linear trend = 0.033) genotype and the interaction between BMI and *HER-2* genotypes in regard to the risk of endometrial cancer was significant (*P* for interaction <0.05). However, some different findings were observed for other SNPs. For women with the variant allele for rs1810132, rs2517951 and rs1058808 genotypes, the subjects with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² had a significantly increased risk of endometrial cancer compared to subjects with a normal BMI (*P* for linear trend <0.05). However, the effect of BMI in regard to the risk of endometrial cancer was not statistically significant in the subjects with homozygous wild-type allele for rs1810132, rs2517951 and rs1058808 genotypes (*P* for linear trend >0.05). Then, we compared endometrial cancer risk in the subjects with homozygous wild-type or variant allelic genotype to assess the effect of *HER-2* SNPs in regard to endometrial cancer risk within specific BMI subgroups to identify a further subjects at a higher risk of endometrial cancer (Table 5). The risk of endometrial cancer in the subjects with the variant allele for *HER-2* genotypes did not differ significantly compared to those with homozygous wild-type allele.

We also evaluated whether *HER-2* polymorphisms influence histopathological characteristics of endometrial cancer, such as grade, stage and tumour size. *HER-2* polymorphisms were not significantly associated with the risk of advanced stage, poorly differentiated tumours and large tumour size (Table 6).

Table 4. Association of body mass index and endometrial cancer risk within specific *HER-2* genotypes

			BMI* < 23	23 ≤ BMI* < 25	BMI* ≥ 25	<i>P</i> for linear trend	Interaction <i>P</i> -value
rs1801200	AA	N (control/case)	(94/20)	(81/23)	(63/38)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.24 (0.58–2.67)	2.61 (1.27–5.39)	0.008	0.019
	GA/GG	N (control/case)	(20/3)	(21/4)	(15/15)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	0.96 (0.16–5.71)	4.46 (0.98–20.17)	0.033	0.049
rs1810132	CC	N (control/case)	(44/11)	(41/16)	(32/19)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.45 (0.50–4.22)	2.83 (0.98–8.19)	0.052	0.138
	CT/TT	N (control/case)	(71/13)	(62/14)	(46/36)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.13 (0.47–2.69)	2.99 (1.37–6.56)	0.005	0.008
rs2517951	CC	N (control/case)	(44/13)	(41/16)	(32/16)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.18 (0.41–3.35)	2.09 (0.72–6.08)	0.175	0.351
	CT/TT	N (control/case)	(72/13)	(62/15)	(47/37)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.24 (0.52–2.92)	2.96 (1.35–6.47)	0.005	0.011
rs1058808	CC	N (control/case)	(44/14)	(40/16)	(32/17)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.34 (0.48–3.72)	2.27 (0.8–6.43)	0.123	0.288
	CG/GG	N (control/case)	(72/13)	(63/15)	(47/37)		
		OR (95% CI)**	1***	1.12 (0.47–2.67)	2.91 (1.33–6.37)	0.006	0.009

*BMI, body mass index; expressed as kg/m².

**ORs and 95% CIs were calculated via unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age.

***Reference category.

Table 5. Association of *HER-2* genotypes and endometrial cancer risk within specific body mass index subgroups

		BMI* < 23		23 ≤ BMI* < 25		BMI* ≥ 25	
rs1801200		AA	GA/GG	AA	GA/GG	AA	GA/GG
	N (control/case)	(94/20)	(20/3)	81/23	(21/4)	(63/38)	(15/15)
	OR(95% CI)**	1***	0.92 (0.23–3.63)	1***	0.73 (0.18–2.91)	1***	1.60 (0.63–4.07)
rs1810132		CC	CT/TT	CC	CT/TT	CC	CT/TT
	N (control/case)	(44/11)	(71/13)	(41/16)	(62/14)	(32/19)	(46/36)
	OR(95% CI)**	1***	1.12 (0.42–2.99)	1***	1.01 (0.39–2.66)	1***	1.32 (0.58–3.01)
rs2517951		CC	CT/TT	CC	CT/TT	CC	CT/TT
	N (control/case)	(44/13)	(72/13)	(41/16)	(62/15)	(32/16)	(47/37)
	OR(95% CI)**	1***	0.98 (0.37–2.56)	1***	1.21 (0.46–3.20)	1***	1.52 (0.65–3.56)
rs1058808		CC	CG/GG	CC	CG/GG	CC	CG/GG
	N (control/case)	(44/14)	(72/13)	(40/16)	(63/15)	(32/17)	(47/37)
	OR(95% CI)**	1***	0.99 (0.38–2.58)	1***	0.94 (0.36–2.45)	1***	1.39 (0.60–3.21)
			0.9782		0.8990		0.4417

*BMI, body mass index; expressed as kg/m².

**ORs and 95% CIs were calculated via unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age.

***Reference category.

Table 6. Association between *HER-2* genotype and histopathological features of endometrioid endometrial cancer

		rs1801200		rs1810132		rs2517951		rs1058808	
		AA	GA/GG	CC	CT/TT	CC	CT/TT	CC	CG/GG
Control(normal)	N (%)	241 (81)	57 (19)	118 (39)	182 (61)	118 (39)	183 (61)	117 (39)	184 (61)
Stage									
IA and IB	N (%)	56 (81)	13 (19)	29 (41)	42 (59)	31 (41)	44 (59)	32 (42)	45 (58)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	1.22 (0.55–2.69)	1.00**	1.03 (0.55–1.92)	1.00**	1.04 (0.56–1.94)	1.00**	1.05 (0.57–1.96)
≥IC	N (%)	34 (77)	10 (23)	18 (40)	27 (60)	16 (36)	29 (64)	17 (38)	28 (62)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	1.18 (0.45–3.13)	1.00**	1.35 (0.60–3.03)	1.00**	1.51 (0.66–3.45)	1.00**	1.13 (0.51–2.49)
Grade									
1	N (%)	60 (80)	15 (20)	33 (41)	47 (59)	32 (39)	50 (61)	34 (40)	49 (60)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	0.92 (0.44–1.92)	1.00**	1.12 (0.63–1.97)	1.00**	1.23 (0.70–2.18)	1.00**	1.09 (0.62–1.91)
2 and 3	N (%)	30 (79)	8 (21)	14 (39)	22 (61)	15 (39)	23 (61)	15 (38)	24 (62)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	1.75 (0.59–5.18)	1.00**	1.73 (0.66–4.57)	1.00**	1.67 (0.64–4.40)	1.00**	1.66 (0.63–4.35)
Tumour size									
<2 cm	N (%)	17 (81)	4 (19)	11 (46)	13 (54)	12 (48)	13 (52)	12 (48)	13 (52)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	1.18 (0.34–4.10)	1.00**	1.06 (0.43–2.66)	1.00**	0.96 (0.39–2.36)	1.00**	0.95 (0.39–2.34)
≥2 cm	N (%)	50 (78)	14 (22)	26 (39)	40 (61)	25 (37)	42 (63)	27 (40)	41 (60)
	OR (95% CI)*	1.00**	1.22 (0.55–2.69)	1.00**	1.16 (0.60–2.25)	1.00**	1.24 (0.64–2.42)	1.00**	1.05 (0.55–2.02)

*ORs and 95% CIs were calculated via unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age and body mass index.

**Reference category.

Discussion

HER-2 proto-oncogene has been suggested as an important risk factor in several cancers including endometrial cancer.^{11–14} In addition, *HER-2* polymorphism has been

reported to be associated with an increased risk of breast, prostate and gastric cancer.^{18–21} The present case–control study examined common SNPs in the *HER-2* gene and showed a lack of evidence of association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the risk of endometrioid endometrial

cancer. Furthermore, there was a lack of association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the histopathological features of endometrioid endometrial cancer. Only few studies have examined the correlation between *HER-2* polymorphisms and the development of endometrial cancer.^{22,23} These studies, conducted in Japanese²² and Swedish²³ populations, also found that *HER-2* polymorphisms are not associated with endometrial cancer.

The present study, however, showed the significant association between obesity (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) and endometrioid endometrial cancer risk using logistic regression analysis model. This finding is consistent with the results of several epidemiologic studies that obesity is an important risk factor for endometrial cancer.^{29,30} Women who weigh 9–22 kg more than their ideal body weight have a three-fold increased risk and women who weigh more than 22 kg above their ideal body weight have a nine-fold increased risk of developing endometrial cancer when compared with matched controls of women at their ideal body weight, because extraovarian estrogen, derived from androgens aromatised in adipose tissue, plays an important role in the development of endometrial cancer.³¹

To our knowledge, the joint association between *HER-2* polymorphism and obesity in regard to the risk of endometrial cancer has not been assessed. In the present study, using lean (i.e. BMI < 23 kg/m²) variant type allele carriers for rs1810132, rs2517951 and rs1058808 as references, we found that obese (i.e. BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) variant allele carriers had approximately three-fold significantly increased risk of endometrial cancer, whereas their obese wild-type counterparts had no statistically significant increased risk. At the first glance, our findings seem to suggest that obese carriers with minor variant allele of the *HER-2* polymorphism may have an increased risk of endometrial cancer than non-obese carriers with variant type allele and may be consistent with that the *HER-2* I655V minor allele variant may be functionally important.¹⁸ However, the risk of endometrial cancer in the subjects with the variant allele for *HER-2* genotypes did not differ significantly compared to those with homozygous wild-type allele within specific BMI subgroups. Thus, the effect of *HER-2* polymorphism on the risk of endometrial cancer was not significant, even in combining with BMI.

As the mechanism of *HER-2* gene amplification has not yet been identified, it remains unclear whether genetic polymorphisms may act in concert with epidemiologic factor such as obesity to affect amplification of this gene.¹⁸

The present study is limited by the relatively small sample size. However, this is the first study to describe the association between *HER-2* polymorphisms and epidemiologic factor such as BMI on the risk of endometrial cancer. Although the present study may provide informative and supportive data relating to the clinical significance

of obesity and genetic polymorphism in endometrial cancer, future large studies should explore this putative gene–epidemiology interaction to explain the development of endometrioid endometrial cancer.

Disclosure of interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Contribution to authorship

Each author has participated actively and sufficiently in this study. L.J.M., T.S.Y. and H. S.Y. were the main contributors to the conception, design and preparation of manuscripts. K.K.D., L.K.B., L.S.K., C.C.H. and K.S.Y. have contributed materials and data management. Kim MK was responsible for verification of the statistical calculations. L.J.M. supervised the project and edited the manuscripts to produce the final draft. Each author revised critically the manuscript and provided final approval of the version to be published.

Details of ethics approval

Ethics approval was obtained from the institutional committee of East-West Neo medical centre. (Ref No KHNMC IRB 2007-003).

Funding

This study was supported by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund, KRF-2007- I00458-E00287).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from the Korean Research Foundation, funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund, KRF-2007- I00458-E00287). ■

References

- 1 Parkin DM, Pisani P, Ferlay J. Estimates of the worldwide incidence of 25 major cancers in 1990. *Int J Cancer* 1999;80:827–41.
- 2 SOG Gynecologic Oncology Committee. Annual Report of Gynecologic Cancer Registry Program in Korea for 2004 (Jan. 1st, 2004–Dec. 31st, 2004). *Korean J Obstet Gynecol* 2007;50:28–78.
- 3 Henderson BE, Feigelson HS. Hormonal carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 2000;21:427–33.
- 4 Parazzini F, La Vecchia C, Moroni S, Chatenoud L, Ricci E. Family history and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1994;59:460–2.
- 5 Sandles LG, Shulman LP, Elias S, Photopoulos GJ, Smiley LM, Posten WM, et al. Endometrial adenocarcinoma: genetic analysis suggesting heritable site-specific uterine cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 1992;47:167–71.
- 6 Schwartz Z, Ohel G, Birkenfeld A, Anteby SO, Schenker JG. Second primary malignancy in endometrial carcinoma patients. *Gynecol Oncol* 1985;22:40–5.

- 7 Lynch HT, Lanspa S, Smyrk T, Boman B, Watson P, Lynch J. Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndromes I & II). Genetics, pathology, natural history, and cancer control, Part I. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1991;53:143–60.
- 8 Semba K, Kamata N, Toyoshima K, Yamamoto T. A v-erbB-related protooncogene, c-erbB-2, is distinct from the c-erbB-1/epidermal growth factor-receptor gene and is amplified in a human salivary gland adenocarcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1985;82:6497–501.
- 9 Yamamoto T, Ikawa S, Akiyama T, Semba K, Nomura N, Miyajima N, et al. Similarity of protein encoded by the human c-erbB-2 gene to epidermal growth factor receptor. *Nature* 1986;319:230–4.
- 10 Di Fiore PP, Pierce JH, Kraus MH, Segatto O, King CR, Aaronson SA. erbB-2 is a potent oncogene when overexpressed in NIH/3T3 cells. *Science* 1987;237:178–82.
- 11 Slamon DJ, Godolphin W, Jones LA, Holt JA, Wong SG, Keith DE, et al. Studies of the HER-2/neu proto-oncogene in human breast and ovarian cancer. *Science* 1989;244:707–12.
- 12 Jardines L, Weiss M, Fowble B, Greene M. neu(c-erbB-2/HER2) and the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in breast cancer. *Pathobiology* 1993;61:268–82.
- 13 Hynes NE, Stern DF. The biology of erbB-2/neu/HER-2 and its role in cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1994;1198:165–84.
- 14 Rolitsky CD, Theil KS, McGaughey VR, Copeland LJ, Niemann TH. HER-2/neu amplification and overexpression in endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* 1999;18:138–43.
- 15 Busse D, Doughty RS, Arteaga CL. HER-2/neu (erbB-2) and the cell cycle. *Semin Oncol* 2000;27:3–8; discussion 92–100.
- 16 Anderson NG, Ahmad T. ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors as therapeutic agents. *Front Biosci* 2002;7:d1926–40.
- 17 Fleishman SJ, Schlessinger J, Ben-Tal N. A putative molecular-activation switch in the transmembrane domain of erbB2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:15937–40.
- 18 Xie D, Shu XO, Deng Z, Wen WQ, Creek KE, Dai Q, et al. Population-based, case-control study of HER2 genetic polymorphism and breast cancer risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92:412–7.
- 19 Montgomery KG, Gertig DM, Baxter SW, Milne RL, Dite GS, McCredie MR, et al. The HER2 1655V polymorphism and risk of breast cancer in women <age 40 years. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2003;12:1109–11.
- 20 Benusiglio PR, Lesueur F, Luccarini C, Conroy DM, Shah M, Easton DF, et al. Common ERBB2 polymorphisms and risk of breast cancer in a white British population: a case-control study. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7:R204–9.
- 21 McKean-Cowdin R, Kolonel LN, Press MF, Pike MC, Henderson BE. Germ-line HER-2 variant and breast cancer risk by stage of disease. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:8393–4.
- 22 Kitao K, Yoshida S, Kennedy S, Takemura N, Sugimoto M, Deguchi M, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 gene polymorphisms in endometrial cancer in a Japanese population. *Reprod Sci* 2007;14:349–57.
- 23 Einarsdottir K, Humphreys K, Bonnard C, Li Y, Li Y, Chia KS, et al. Effect of ATM, CHEK2 and ERBB2 TAGSNPs and haplotypes on endometrial cancer risk. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007;16:154–64.
- 24 World Health Organization, International Association for the Study of Obesity, International Obesity Task Force. *The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and its Treatment*. Caulfield, VIC, Australia: International Diabetes Institute, 2000.
- 25 Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1988;16:1215.
- 26 Thyagarajan B, Anderson KE, Kong F, Selk FR, Lynch CF, Gross MD. New approaches for genotyping paraffin wax embedded breast tissue from patients with cancer: the Iowa women's health study. *J Clin Pathol* 2005;58:955–61.
- 27 Bell PA, Chaturvedi S, Gelfand CA, Huang CY, Kochersperger M, Kopla R, et al. SNPstream UHT: ultra-high throughput SNP genotyping for pharmacogenomics and drug discovery. *BioTechniques* 2002;Suppl:70–72, 74, 76–77.
- 28 Denomme GA, Van Oene M. High-throughput multiplex single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis for red cell and platelet antigen genotypes. *Transfusion* 2005;45:660–6.
- 29 Furberg AS, Thune I. Metabolic abnormalities (hypertension, hyperglycemia and overweight), lifestyle (high energy intake and physical inactivity) and endometrial cancer risk in a Norwegian cohort. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104:669–76.
- 30 Goodman MT, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Lyu LC, McDuffie K, Liu LQ, et al. Diet, body size, physical activity, and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer Res* 1997;57:5077–85.
- 31 Parazzini F, La Vecchia C, Bocciolone L, Franceschi S. The epidemiology of endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 1991;41:1–16.