

Online Submissions: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327office wjg@wjgnet.com doi:10.3748/wjg.v17.i21.2611 World J Gastroenterol 2011 June 7; 17(21): 2611-2617 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

Hoon Jai Chun, MD, PhD, AGAF, Professor, Series Editor

# Worldwide experiences of endoscopic submucosal dissection: Not just Eastern acrobatics

Kwang Bum Cho, Won Joong Jeon, Jae J Kim

Kwang Bum Cho, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu 700-712, South Korea

Won Joong Jeon, Department of Internal Medicine, Cheju Halla General Hospital, Cheju 690-766, South Korea

Jae J Kim, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul 135-710, South Korea

Author contributions: Cho KB drafted the manuscript; Jeon WJ gathered the data; Kim JJ reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Correspondence to: Jae J Kim, MD, PhD, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 50, Irwon-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-710, South Korea. jjkim@skku.edu

Telephone: +82-2-34103404 Fax: +82-2-34106983 Received: June 26, 2010 Revised: September 2, 2010 Accepted: September 9, 2010 Published online: June 7, 2011

# Abstract

The high incidence of gastric cancer has led to the initiation of cancer screening programs. As a result, the number of early gastric cancer cases has increased and consequentially, the cancer mortality rate has decreased. Moreover, the development of minimally invasive endoscopic treatment has been introduced for these early lesions. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is now recognized as one of the preferred treatment modalities for premalignant gastrointestinal epithelial lesions and early gastric cancer without lymph node metastasis. We review the results of ESD including experiences in Japan and Korea, as well as western countries.

© 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.

Key words: Experiences; Endoscopic submucosal dissection

Peer reviewer: Nageshwar D Reddy, Professor, Asian Institute

of Gastroenterology, 6-3-652, Somajiguda, Hyderabad-500 082, India

Cho KB, Jeon WJ, Kim JJ. Worldwide experiences of endoscopic submucosal dissection: Not just Eastern acrobatics. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011; 17(21): 2611-2617 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v17/i21/2611.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i21.2611

# INTRODUCTION

The high incidence of gastric cancer in Japan and Korea has led to the initiation of national cancer screening programs. As a result, the number of early gastric cancer (EGC) cases has increased dramatically and accounts for up to 50% of all gastric cancer diagnoses<sup>[1,2]</sup>. In addition, as a result of these programs, the mortality rate from gastric cancer has decreased<sup>[3]</sup>. Moreover, the development of minimally invasive endoscopic treatment has been introduced for these early lesions.

Initially, EGC was treated using endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) techniques that included an injection that lifts the lesions, which are then cut. EMR can be used with a cap (EMR-C) and with ligation (EMR-L). These techniques are limited by the size of the lesions; only lesions of a relatively small size (< 2 cm) can be resected *en bloc*, due to the restricted size of the snare, cap and ligation devices. If EMR is attempted for lesions > 2 cm, the risk of piecemeal resection might increase, which makes it difficult to determine whether the lateral resection margins are free of disease. Previous studies of EMR have reported an approximately 75% *en bloc* resection rate. However, there is a high risk of local recurrence (2%-35%) with this procedure, especially when EMR cannot achieve *en bloc* resection<sup>[4-7]</sup>.

To avoid the problems associated with EMR, endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) has been introduced for safe *en bloc* resection. ESD can be used for larger lesions and those with ulceration, regardless of their location<sup>[7-9]</sup>. Although ESD techniques require advanced skill and might have a higher complication rate, including bleeding and perforation, they increase the rate of *en bloc* resection and complete histological analysis, and might ultimately reduce local disease recurrence rate<sup>[7,10]</sup>.

ESD is now recognized as one of the preferred treatment modalities for premalignant gastrointestinal epithelial lesions and EGC without lymph node metastasis. The ESD procedure starts by making several marking dots around the lesion; a lifting solution is injected into the submucosal layer, followed by endoscopic circumferential incision of the lesion with various knives; dissection starts at the lateral edges, and proceeds through the lifted submucosal layer until the lesion is resected in one piece. According to a PubMed search, a total of 517 articles on ESD have been published up to January 2010. Most of the studies have been published from Asia, mainly Japan; however, recently there have been an increasing number of papers from Korea and China.

In this chapter, ESD for EGC is reviewed, including experiences from Japan and Korea, as well as western countries.

# BACKGROUND OF ENDOSCOPIC RESECTION

EGC is defined by tumor invasion confined to the mucosa or submucosa, regardless of lymph node metastasis<sup>[11]</sup>. According to the outcomes after gastrectomy, 5- and 10-year survival rates for patients that have a diagnosis of mucosal EGC have been reported to be 96% and 92%, respectively<sup>[12]</sup>. The long-term outcomes after EMR for EGC < 2 cm has demonstrated excellent results with disease-specific 5- and 10-year survival rates of 99% and 99%, respectively; this was even with patients that had major organ complications, who were not good candidates for surgery<sup>[13]</sup>. Clinical experience suggests that complete resection of the cancer is possible, and cure can be achieved as long as the potential for metastatic spread is accurately excluded.

The accepted indications for EMR and ESD are lesions diagnosed as well-differentiated adenocarcinoma by histology, which are elevated and < 2 cm in diameter, and small ( $\leq 10$  mm), depressed, well-differentiated tumors without ulcer formation<sup>[14]</sup>. However, these indications are rather strict, which leads to many patients being subjected to unnecessary surgery. Actually, endoscopic resection has also been used for larger lesions without lymph node metastasis by many Japanese investigators. Lymphovascular involvement, ulcer formation, and tumor size > 3 cm are independent risk factors for lymph node metastasis in EGC that is limited to the mucosa<sup>[15]</sup>. A large study on post-gastrectomy outcomes with lymph node dissection has shown that the overall risk for lymph node metastasis among patients with EGC that involved the mucosa was 2.7%. The risk increased to 18.6% when the cancer invaded the submucosa. The absence of submucosal lympho-

vascular involvement in moderately or well-differentiated adenocarcinoma was found to correlate with a nominal risk for lymph node metastasis. In lesions < 3 cm, the risk of lymph node metastasis is very low regardless of the presence of ulceration. In lesions without ulceration, the risk is unaffected by the size of the tumor<sup>[16]</sup>. Therefore, according to the Treatment Guidelines for Gastric Cancer in Japan, the expanded criteria for ESD are as follows: (1) a differentiated mucosal cancer without ulceration, no lymphatic-vascular invasion, regardless of size; (2) a differentiated mucosal cancer with ulceration, no lymphaticvascular invasion, tumor < 3 cm; (3) an undifferentiated type of mucosal cancer without ulceration and tumor < 2 cm in diameter, and absence of lymphatic-vascular invasion; and (4) when a differentiated adenocarcinoma, which has not invaded deeper than submucosal level 1 (< 500 µm) and lymphovascular invasion is absent, additional lymph node dissection is not necessary<sup>[14]</sup>.

However, expanding the indications for endoscopic resection remains controversial because the long-term outcomes of these indications have not been fully documented. Several publications have reported lymph node metastasis in EGC that meet the extended criteria<sup>[17-19]</sup>. Jee *et al*<sup>[19]</sup> have reported lymph node status in a total of 181 patients who met expanded indications for ESD and had undergone surgical resection. They reported lymph node metastasis in 2.3% of 129 patients with mucosal cancer. This included one ulcerated differentiated cancer < 3 cm in diameter, and two undifferentiated cancers < 2 cm in diameter without ulceration. Also, in a study of lymph node metastasis in 4% of 52 patients with submucosal cancer, those were two differentiated tumors.

Therefore, considering the indications for ESD, surgery is preferentially recommended for undifferentiated mucosal cancer, although several recent studies have shown that the rate of lymph node metastasis is negligible in small and undifferentiated mucosal cancer<sup>[20-24]</sup>.

# WORLDWIDE ESD RESULTS

The results of recent studies have suggested that the technique of ESD achieves a high rate of *en bloc* resection (92%-97%) and complete resection (73.6%-94.7%) with various rates of complications including bleeding (0.1%-15.6%) and perforation (1.2%-9.7%). Furthermore, they have revealed excellent long-term outcomes (5-year overall and disease-specific survival rates of 97.1% and 100%, respectively) (Table 1).

## Japan

Oda *et al*<sup>[6]</sup> have performed a multicenter retrospective study to determine the nationwide results of endoscopic resection for EGC. Seven hundred and fourteen EGCs (EMR, 411; ESD, 303) that met the expanded criteria, except for the undifferentiated type of mucosal cancer, from 655 consecutive patients and 11 Japanese institutions were evaluated. Technically, 71.6% of the lesions were resected in one piece. The rate of *en bloc* resection by ESD (92.7%) was significantly higher than by EMR (56.0%). The rate of



Amount of the field         Amount of thight         Amount of thight	uthor	۲	No. (lesion/	Method	En bloc	Complete	Follow-up	Complic	ations (%)	Local recurrence	3-yr residual/	3-yr overall	5-yr overall	5-yr disease-
			pauenc)		rate (%)	resecuon rate (%)	(mo/range)	Bleeding	Perforation	rate (%)	rree recurrence rate (%)	survival rate (%)	Survival rate (%)	specific survive rate (%)
	da <i>et al</i> <sup>[6]</sup>	2006	714/655	EMR 411	56.0	61.1	38 (6-60)	0.1	1.2	7.5	92.5	99.2	NA	NA
	Re	strospective		ESD 303	92.7	73.6			3.6	2.4	97.6			
	ka <i>et al<sup>[7]</sup></i>	2006	1025/896	EMR 825/711	43.4	24.6	83.2	3.9	0.5	3.5 (31/825)	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Re	strospective		ESD 195/185	92.8	92.8	19.4	6.2	9.7	0(0/195)				
	nagawa <i>et al<sup>[8]</sup></i>	2006	196/185	ESD	93.0	84.0	17.6 (1.3-45.7)		6.1	0(0/164)	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Re	strospective												
	ing et al <sup>[28]</sup>	2007	1327/NA	EMR-P 775	NA	91.0	NA	6.3	0.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Re	strospective		ESD 552		95.1		7.6	2.7					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	akenaka <i>et al</i> <sup>[54]</sup>	2008	306/275	ESD	NA	80.4	26 (26-64)	0.6	5.2	0(0/177)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Retrospective         Solution	P: omoto et al <sup>[10]</sup>	rospective 2009	5897551	ESD	94.9	94.7	30 (6-89)		4 L	0 (0/468)	NA	C 20 <	97.1	100
Goto $ta^{[23]}$ Neurospective         276/231         ESD         96.7         91.7         36 (2-93)         5.1         4.0         0.9 (2/212)         99.1         96.2         96.2         96.7         91.7         36 (2-93)         5.1         4.0         0.9 (2/212)         99.1         96.2         96.2         96.7         91.7         36 (2-93)         5.1         4.0         0.9 (2/212)         99.1         96.2         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.1         96.2         96.2         96.4         96.2	D								2	loss lab a			1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	oto <i>et al<sup>[25]</sup></i>	2009 2009	276/231	ESD	96.7	91.7	36 (2-93)	5.1	4.0	0.9 (2/212)	99.1	96.2	96.2	100
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Re	strospective												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	akamoto <i>et al<sup>[26]</sup></i>	2009	202/177	EMR 80	53.8	37.5	54 (12-89)			17.5(14/80)	$82.5^{1}$	100	100	100
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Re	strospective		ESD 122	94.3	92.6	34 (14-62)	1.6	2.5	0	0			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	hung et al <sup>[29]</sup>	2009	1000/952	ESD	95.3	87.7	NA	15.6	1.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Re	strospective												
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ng et al <sup>[30]</sup>	2009	402/402	ESD	89.7	87.9	30 (9-49)	7.4	2.9	5.1(10/198)	94.9	NA	NA	NA
Min et $a^{[31]}$ 2009         346/243         EMR-P 103         77.7         75.7         29 (4-44)         3.9         1.9         0 (0/80)         NA	Re	strospective (1	07 LGD/97 HGD/198 EGC)											
Retrospective         ESD 243         95.9         88.9         17 (4-37)         5.3         4.5         0 (0/191)           Chang of all <sup>[32]</sup> 2010         70/70         FSD         91.4         92.8         NA         5.7         4.3         2.8         NA         NA <td>fin <i>et al</i><sup>[31]</sup></td> <td>2009</td> <td>346/243</td> <td>EMR-P 103</td> <td>77.7</td> <td>75.7</td> <td>29 (4-44)</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>0(0/80)</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td> <td>NA</td>	fin <i>et al</i> <sup>[31]</sup>	2009	346/243	EMR-P 103	77.7	75.7	29 (4-44)	3.9	1.9	0(0/80)	NA	NA	NA	NA
$C_{hano of a}^{(22)}$ 2000 70/70 ESD 914 928 NA 57 43 28 NA NA	Re	strospective		ESD 243	95.9	88.9	17 (4-37)	5.3	4.5	0(0/191)				
	hang <i>et al</i> <sup>[32]</sup>	2009	70/70	ESD	91.4	92.8	NA	5.7	4.3	2.8	NA	NA	NA	NA
Retrospective	Re	strospective												



Table 1 De

#### Cho KB et al. Experiences of ESD worldwide

curative resection by ESD (73.6%) was significantly higher than by EMR (61.1%). Bleeding was found in 0.1% of cases. The frequency of perforation with ESD and EMR was 3.6% and 1.2%, respectively. All complications were managed endoscopically, and there was no procedurerelated mortality. The median follow-up period was 3.2 years. The 3-year cumulative residual-free/recurrence-free rate in the ESD group (97.6%) was significantly higher than that in the EMR group (92.5%).

Oka *et al*<sup>7</sup> have reported on a comparative study between EMR and ESD of 1020 EGCs that met the expanded criteria. Eight hundred and twenty-five EMRs and 195 ESDs were performed. In cases without ulceration, the *en bloc* and curative resection rates were significantly higher with ESD (both 92.8%) than with EMR (43.4% and 24.6%), regardless of tumor size. The average operation time was significantly longer for ESD than for EMR (84.4 min *vs* 12.6 min), regardless of tumor size. In addition, the frequency of intraoperative bleeding was significantly higher with ESD (22.6%) than with EMR (7.6%). The frequency of delayed bleeding did not differ. No patient experienced recurrence after ESD.

Imagawa *et al*<sup>8</sup> have analyzed 196 EGCs that met the expanded criteria and were treated by ESD, in relation to lesion size, location and the presence or absence of ulceration. The rate of en bloc resection was 93%, the curative resection rate was 84%, with a perforation rate of 6.1%, and a mean procedure time of 68 min. The rate of curative en bloc resection differed significantly depending on the location of the lesion (upper vs middle vs lower, 74% vs 77% *vs* 91%), as well as on the size of the lesion (> 20 mm *vs*  $\leq$ 20 mm, 59% vs 89%). There were also significant differences in the mean procedure time in relation to the location of the lesion (upper vs middle vs lower, 105 min vs 81 min vs 45 min) and the size of the lesion (> 20 mm  $vs \le 20$  mm, 124 min vs 55 min), as well as the presence of ulceration (positive vs negative, 97 min vs 65 min). They showed that the difficulty of ESD depends on the location and size of the lesion, as well as on the presence of ulceration.

Isomoto *et al*<sup>[10]</sup> have published the first long-term follow-up results of 589 EGCs treated by ESD, which met the expanded criteria. *En bloc* resection was achieved in 94.9%. Curative resection was achieved in 94.7%. *En bloc* resection was the only significant factor associated with curative ESD. Patients with a non-curative resection developed local recurrence more frequently. The 5-year overall and disease-specific survival rates were 97.1% and 100%, respectively.

Goto *et al*<sup>25]</sup> have carried out a retrospective investigation of ESD to determine long-term outcomes. Two hundred and seventy-six node-negative EGCs that met the expanded criteria, except for the undifferentiated type of mucosal cancer, were enrolled. The *en bloc* and complete resection rates were 96.7% and 91.7%, respectively. During a median follow-up of 3 years, there were two local recurrences (0.9%). The 5-year overall and disease-specific survival rates were 96.2% and 100%, respectively.

Nakamoto et al<sup>26]</sup> have performed a comparative study

of EMR and ESD for 202 EGCs. The overall *en bloc* and complete resection rates were lower in patients undergoing EMR compared to ESD (*en bloc*: 53.8% *vs* 94.3%, complete: 37.5% *vs* 92.6%). The overall 5-year recurrence-free rate was lower in the EMR group than in the ESD group (82.5% *vs* 100%). However, with regard to tumor size, EMR was comparable to ESD for the small lesions (< 5 mm).

#### Korea

The number of publications on ESD in Korea has been increasing. The results of ESD including the *en bloc* resection rate, complete resection rate, and long-term follow-up survival rates are similar to those from Japan. The Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service of Korea have reported that 74 institutions, mainly tertiary hospitals, have performed ESD in 2008.

Kim *et al*<sup>[27]</sup> have published the first multicenter retrospective study of endoscopic resection in Korea. They collected 514 EGCs in 506 patients during January 2000 to December 2002 by use of the on-line database registry system. The most commonly used technique was circumferential precutting followed by snare resection (EMR-P, 52.3%). The second most common procedure was the injection and cut technique (24.3%). ESD was used only in 6.6% of cases at that time. Complete resection was confirmed in 77.6% of the lesions, and the mean tumor size was 1.76 cm. However, ESD is now in the mainstream for endoscopic resection of early gastric lesions in Korea.

Jung *et al*<sup>[28]</sup> have reported an 11-year experience of endoscopic resection performed by a single endoscopist. Seven hundred and seventy-five EMR procedures were performed after precutting (EMR-P) during the first 9 years and 552 ESDs over the following 2 years. The median specimen sizes were 33 mm for EMR-P and 45 mm for ESD. The complete resection rates were 91% and 95.1% and the respective complication rates were 7.1% and 10.7%.

The Korean ESD study group has published a retrospective six university hospital experience with ESD for 1000 gastric neoplasms<sup>[29]</sup>. The rate of *en bloc* resection and complete resection was 95.3% and 87.7%, respectively. The rate of delayed bleeding and perforation was 15.6% and 1.2%, respectively. The rate of *en bloc* resection differed significantly based on the location of the lesions and presence of a scar. Procedure times were increased in cases in the upper stomach that had a large lesion (> 40 mm), with the presence of an ulcer, and the presence of a scar.

Jang *et al*<sup>30]</sup> have reported the results of ESD for 402 gastrointestinal neoplasms at a single hospital. *En bloc* resection and complete resection were achieved in 89.7% and 87.9%, respectively, and the local recurrence rate was 5.1%. The 3-year cancer-free survival rate was 94.9%.

Min *et al*<sup>[31]</sup> have published a comparative study of ESD and EMR after circumferential precutting (EMR-P) of 346 EGCs. *En bloc* resection and complete resection were achieved in 77.7% and 75.7% of the EMR-P group, respectively, and 95.9% and 88.9% of the ESD group. For EGCs  $\geq$  20 mm, ESD demonstrated a significantly higher *en bloc* resection and complete resection rate compared to

EMR-P. In cases with completely resected differentiated cancer, neither group showed local recurrence during a median 29 and 17 mo follow-up, respectively.

#### Taiwan

Chang *et al*<sup>[32]</sup> have published a retrospective multicenter review of ESD of 70 EGCs in Taiwan. The *en bloc* resection rate was 91.4%. The bleeding and perforation rates were 5.7% and 4.3%, respectively. Emergency surgery was performed in the patients with perforations. The local recurrence rate was 2.8%. Another small study has been published in Taiwan<sup>[33]</sup>; however, the results have indicated that the procedure requires more experience.

#### Western countries

As a result of the low incidence of EGC in the west, relatively few institutions use ESD and there have been only a few clinical studies on the outcomes<sup>[34-42]</sup>. However, the reported outcomes of western studies have not been substantially different from those in eastern countries. Cardoso *et al*<sup>135</sup> have published an initial experience with ESD for 15 EGCs < 30 mm with no ulceration or scaring from Brazil. The mean procedure time was 140 min. The en bloc and complete resection rate was 80% and perforations occurred in 20% of cases. Catalano et al<sup>[36]</sup> have published their experience with EMR and ESD on 48 gastric lesions in Italy. After an initial experience with 36 EMRs, the procedure was changed to ESD. Out of 36 EMR procedures, en bloc and complete resection were achieved in 72% and 56%, respectively. However, among the 12 ESD cases, the rates both increased to 92%. Bleeding and perforation occurred in one case each. Dinis-Ribeiro et al<sup>[37]</sup> have published the results of ESD for 19 gastric lesions in Europe. ESD was performed under general anesthesia with a 79% en bloc resection rate. Probst et al<sup>[38]</sup> have published ESD results for 71 epithelial or submucosal tumors, and have demonstrated a learning curve that resulted in a decrease in the procedure duration and increased rate of complete en bloc resection over time (65.7% to 72.2%). Although there have been a limited number of studies outside Asia, the number of endoscopy centers that are performing ESD is slowly increasing worldwide<sup>[43]</sup>.

# WHAT IS UNIQUE ABOUT ESD IN EASTERN ASIA?

In Eastern Asia, endoscopic resection methods have been widely accepted as the standard treatment for gastric tumors, and many trained endoscopists are familiar with EMR techniques. Compared to other organs including the esophagus or colon, tumors of the stomach are relatively easy to remove by endoscopic resection. The basic techniques of EMR overlap with those of ESD. This allows for a stepwise approach to a variety of lesions. For example, one might start with the frequently encountered easier lesions in the distal portion of the stomach, move to lesions in the proximal stomach, and then lesions in the esophagus or colon as the final step<sup>[9,44]</sup>. However, in the west, because of the lower frequency of gastric cancer, endoscopists have relatively less experience with EMR techniques. In addition, the high incidence of Barrett's esophagus, the treatment for which is technically demanding, makes it difficult for beginners to gain extensive experience with  $\text{ESD}^{[45]}$ . Training programs specifically aimed at advancing experience with ESD are useful<sup>[46,47]</sup>. Although data on the learning curve for ESD are limited, Choi *et al*<sup>[48]</sup> have shown that, for an experienced endoscopist, approximately 40 cases of gastric EMR with a circumferential mucosal incision in a low risk location are necessary for satisfactory training.

Kakushima *et al*<sup>[49]</sup> have reported a retrospective study on the learning curve for 383 ESD procedures by two principal operators and 11 (< 30 cases) endoscopists with less experience. For the two main operators, there was no significant difference between 25 consecutive patients with regard to the en bloc resection and complication rates. The size of the lesions increased as the number of patients increased, whereas the average procedure time decreased significantly. For the endoscopists with less experience, there was a similar treatment outcome and complication rate, mainly due to the easier location of the tumors in their cases. A constant rate of both treatment outcomes and complications was achieved over a 5-year period of experience with ESD. A decrease in the procedure time was found to be a marker for operator proficiency with this technique. Yamamoto et al<sup>[44]</sup> have reported on the learning curve for three resident endoscopists that had already learned the basic procedures. They performed ESD under supervision for 30 consecutive lesions each. They obtained a good overall complete resection rate of 93%, with an acceptable complication rate of 4.4% with appropriate supervision; however, there was difficulty in achieving a sufficient rate of finishing up alone for submucosal dissection.

ESD was first performed in Korea in 1999. The Korean Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (KSGE) organized the ESD research group to investigate and expand the ESD procedure nationwide in 2003. Prior to 2006, only 22 hospitals had the facilities to perform ESD. The KSGE developed ESD hands-on courses and traveled nationwide to introduce the ESD procedure and the devices with animal models on eight occasions<sup>[50]</sup>. As a result, the number of registered ESD facilities increased to 77 according to data from National Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service in 2008. Furthermore, an international ESD live demonstration has been held every year since 2006. Such support from the KSGE, including the ESD research group, a joint symposium with the Korean Pathology Society, ESD live demonstrations, multicenter studies, and training models for teaching ESD have made it possible to standardize ESD guidelines and techniques in Korea.

## ESD EAST TO WEST

Minimally invasive approaches provide a substantially better quality of life compared to conventional open surgery. There is currently not enough long-term follow-up outcome data on ESD compared to open surgery; however,



#### Cho KB et al. Experiences of ESD worldwide

if careful patient selection is maintained, excellent oncological outcomes with ESD are attainable by experienced endoscopists. Although ESD procedures have not been performed in western countries with as much experience as in eastern countries, improved techniques can be achieved with additional experience. In spite of the low incidence of gastric lesions in the west, there is a relatively high incidence of colon lesions, including large sessile and flat polyps. The novice might start with a modified EMR technique including the adoption of some of the methods used for ESD, such as circumferential marginal incision, which has been used to teach ESD techniques during the past decade in Korea. Furthermore, training programs can be developed to teach ESD<sup>[47]</sup>, and close collaboration between western and Asian centers and attending live demonstrations can help to gain such experience. On the other hand, modern medical science and technology continue to develop at a rapid pace. The recent development of accessories and traction devices might help in the acquisition of the skill and experience needed to make ESD easier to learn and apply<sup>[51-53]</sup>

# CONCLUSION

ESD is an effective and safe therapeutic modality for management of early gastrointestinal tract neoplasms, although it has a relatively longer operation time and high risk of complications. In spite of the late start for ESD in the west, the results have been being similar to those from Japan. In the same manner, although there is a problem to overcome the flat learning curve in view of the low number of detected cases in western countries, close collaboration between western and Asian centers is required for improvement of the ESD technique and its clinical application.

## REFERENCES

- Nakamura K, Ueyama T, Yao T, Xuan ZX, Ambe K, Adachi Y, Yakeishi Y, Matsukuma A, Enjoji M. Pathology and prognosis of gastric carcinoma. Findings in 10,000 patients who underwent primary gastrectomy. *Cancer* 1992; **70**: 1030-1037
- 2 Park IS, Lee YC, Kim WH, Noh SH, Lee KS, Kim H. Clinicopathologic characteristics of early gastric cancer in Korea. *Yonsei Med J* 2000; **41**: 607-614
- 3 **Tsubono Y**, Hisamichi S. Screening for gastric cancer in Japan. *Gastric Cancer* 2000; **3**: 9-18
- 4 **Kojima T**, Parra-Blanco A, Takahashi H, Fujita R. Outcome of endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer: review of the Japanese literature. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998; **48**: 550-554; discussion 550-554
- 5 Soetikno R, Kaltenbach T, Yeh R, Gotoda T. Endoscopic mucosal resection for early cancers of the upper gastrointestinal tract. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 4490-4498
- 6 Oda I, Saito D, Tada M, Iishi H, Tanabe S, Oyama T, Doi T, Otani Y, Fujisaki J, Ajioka Y, Hamada T, Inoue H, Gotoda T, Yoshida S. A multicenter retrospective study of endoscopic resection for early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2006; 9: 262-270
- 7 Oka S, Tanaka S, Kaneko I, Mouri R, Hirata M, Kawamura T, Yoshihara M, Chayama K. Advantage of endoscopic submucosal dissection compared with EMR for early gastric cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006; 64: 877-883
- 8 Imagawa A, Okada H, Kawahara Y, Takenaka R, Kato J,

Kawamoto H, Fujiki S, Takata R, Yoshino T, Shiratori Y. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: results and degrees of technical difficulty as well as success. *Endoscopy* 2006; **38**: 987-990

- 9 Gotoda T, Yamamoto H, Soetikno RM. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol 2006; 41: 929-942
- 10 Isomoto H, Shikuwa S, Yamaguchi N, Fukuda E, Ikeda K, Nishiyama H, Ohnita K, Mizuta Y, Shiozawa J, Kohno S. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: a large-scale feasibility study. *Gut* 2009; 58: 331-336
- 11 Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma 2nd English Edition. Gastric Cancer 1998; 1: 10-24
- 12 Itoh H, Oohata Y, Nakamura K, Nagata T, Mibu R, Nakayama F. Complete ten-year postgastrectomy follow-up of early gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 1989; **158**: 14-16
- 13 Uedo N, Iishi H, Tatsuta M, Ishihara R, Higashino K, Takeuchi Y, Imanaka K, Yamada T, Yamamoto S, Yamamoto S, Tsukuma H, Ishiguro S. Longterm outcomes after endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer* 2006; **9**: 88-92
- 14 Shimada Y. JGCA (The Japan Gastric Cancer Association). Gastric cancer treatment guidelines. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2004; 34: 58
- 15 Yamao T, Shirao K, Ono H, Kondo H, Saito D, Yamaguchi H, Sasako M, Sano T, Ochiai A, Yoshida S. Risk factors for lymph node metastasis from intramucosal gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 1996; 77: 602-606
- 16 Gotoda T, Yanagisawa A, Sasako M, Ono H, Nakanishi Y, Shimoda T, Kato Y. Incidence of lymph node metastasis from early gastric cancer: estimation with a large number of cases at two large centers. *Gastric Cancer* 2000; **3**: 219-225
- 17 Ishikawa S, Togashi A, Inoue M, Honda S, Nozawa F, Toyama E, Miyanari N, Tabira Y, Baba H. Indications for EMR/ ESD in cases of early gastric cancer: relationship between histological type, depth of wall invasion, and lymph node metastasis. *Gastric Cancer* 2007; **10**: 35-38
- 18 Nagano H, Ohyama S, Fukunaga T, Hiki N, Seto Y, Yamaguchi T, Kato Y, Yamaguchi A. Two rare cases of node-positive differentiated gastric cancer despite their infiltration to sm1, their small size, and lack of lymphatic invasion into the submucosal layer. *Gastric Cancer* 2008; **11**: 53-57; discussion 57-58
- 19 Jee YS, Hwang SH, Rao J, Park DJ, Kim HH, Lee HJ, Yang HK, Lee KU. Safety of extended endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection following the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association treatment guidelines. *Br J Surg* 2009; 96: 1157-1161
- 20 Abe N, Watanabe T, Sugiyama M, Yanagida O, Masaki T, Mori T, Atomi Y. Endoscopic treatment or surgery for undifferentiated early gastric cancer? *Am J Surg* 2004; 188: 181-184
- 21 Ha TK, An JY, Youn HK, Noh JH, Sohn TS, Kim S. Indication for endoscopic mucosal resection in early signet ring cell gastric cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2008; **15**: 508-513
- 22 **Park YD**, Chung YJ, Chung HY, Yu W, Bae HI, Jeon SW, Cho CM, Tak WY, Kweon YO. Factors related to lymph node metastasis and the feasibility of endoscopic mucosal resection for treating poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the stomach. *Endoscopy* 2008; **40**: 7-10
- 23 Ye BD, Kim SG, Lee JY, Kim JS, Yang HK, Kim WH, Jung HC, Lee KU, Song IS. Predictive factors for lymph node metastasis and endoscopic treatment strategies for undifferentiated early gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 23: 46-50
- 24 Kang HY, Kim SG, Kim JS, Jung HC, Song IS. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection for undifferentiated early gastric cancer. *Surg Endosc* 2010; 24: 509-516
- 25 Goto O, Fujishiro M, Kodashima S, Ono S, Omata M. Outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer with special reference to validation for curability criteria. *Endoscopy* 2009; **41**: 118-122
- 26 Nakamoto S, Sakai Y, Kasanuki J, Kondo F, Ooka Y, Kato K,

Arai M, Suzuki T, Matsumura T, Bekku D, Ito K, Tanaka T, Yokosuka O. Indications for the use of endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer in Japan: a comparative study with endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Endoscopy* 2009; **41**: 746-750

- 27 Kim JJ, Lee JH, Jung HY, Lee GH, Cho JY, Ryu CB, Chun HJ, Park JJ, Lee WS, Kim HS, Chung MG, Moon JS, Choi SR, Song GA, Jeong HY, Jee SR, Seol SY, Yoon YB. EMR for early gastric cancer in Korea: a multicenter retrospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2007; 66: 693-700
- 28 Jung HY, Choi KD, Song HJ, Lee GH, Kim JH. Risk management in endoscopic submucosal dissection using needle knife in Korea. *Dig Endosc* 2007; **19** Suppl 1: S5-S8
- 29 Chung IK, Lee JH, Lee SH, Kim SJ, Cho JY, Cho WY, Hwangbo Y, Keum BR, Park JJ, Chun HJ, Kim HJ, Kim JJ, Ji SR, Seol SY. Therapeutic outcomes in 1000 cases of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric neoplasms: Korean ESD Study Group multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 69: 1228-1235
- 30 Jang JS, Choi SR, Qureshi W, Kim MC, Kim SJ, Jeung JS, Han SY, Noh MH, Lee JH, Lee SW, Baek YH, Kim SH, Choi PJ. Long-term outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection in gastric neoplastic lesions at a single institution in South Korea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2009; 44: 1315-1322
- 31 Min BH, Lee JH, Kim JJ, Shim SG, Chang DK, Kim YH, Rhee PL, Kim KM, Park CK, Rhee JC. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for treating early gastric cancer: comparison with endoscopic mucosal resection after circumferential precutting (EMR-P). *Dig Liver Dis* 2009; **41**: 201-209
- 32 Chang CC, Lee IL, Chen PJ, Wang HP, Hou MC, Lee CT, Chen YY, Cho YP, Lin JT. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric epithelial tumors: a multicenter study in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc 2009; 108: 38-44
- 33 Lee IL, Wu CS, Tung SY, Lin PY, Shen CH, Wei KL, Chang TS. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancers: experience from a new endoscopic center in Taiwan. J Clin Gastroenterol 2008; 42: 42-47
- 34 Rösch T, Sarbia M, Schumacher B, Deinert K, Frimberger E, Toermer T, Stolte M, Neuhaus H. Attempted endoscopic en bloc resection of mucosal and submucosal tumors using insulated-tip knives: a pilot series. *Endoscopy* 2004; 36: 788-801
- 35 **Cardoso DM**, Campoli PM, Yokoi C, Ejima FH, Barreto PA, de Brito AM, Mota ED, de Fraga Júnior AC, da Mota OM. Initial experience in Brazil with endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer using insulation-tipped knife: a safety and feasibility study. *Gastric Cancer* 2008; **11**: 226-232
- 36 Catalano F, Trecca A, Rodella L, Lombardo F, Tomezzoli A, Battista S, Silano M, Gaj F, de Manzoni G. The modern treatment of early gastric cancer: our experience in an Italian cohort. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 1581-1586
- 37 Dinis-Ribeiro M, Pimentel-Nunes P, Afonso M, Costa N, Lopes C, Moreira-Dias L. A European case series of endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric superficial lesions. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 69: 350-355
- 38 Probst A, Golger D, Arnholdt H, Messmann H. Endoscopic submucosal dissection of early cancers, flat adenomas, and submucosal tumors in the gastrointestinal tract. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 7: 149-155
- 39 Cipolletta L, Rotondano G, Bianco MA, Garofano ML, Meucci C, Prisco A, Cipolletta F, Piscopo R. Self-assembled hydro-jet system for submucosal elevation before endoscopic resection of nonpolypoid colorectal lesions (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 70: 1018-1022

- 40 **Hurlstone DP**, Atkinson R, Sanders DS, Thomson M, Cross SS, Brown S. Achieving R0 resection in the colorectum using endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Br J Surg* 2007; **94**: 1536-1542
- 41 Hurlstone DP, Fu KI, Brown SR, Thomson M, Atkinson R, Tiffin N, Cross SS. EMR using dextrose solution versus sodium hyaluronate for colorectal Paris type I and 0-II lesions: a randomized endoscopist-blinded study. *Endoscopy* 2008; 40: 110-114
- 42 Smith LA, Baraza W, Tiffin N, Cross SS, Hurlstone DP. Endoscopic resection of adenoma-like mass in chronic ulcerative colitis using a combined endoscopic mucosal resection and cap assisted submucosal dissection technique. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2008; 14: 1380-1386
- 43 **Neuhaus H**. Endoscopic submucosal dissection in the upper gastrointestinal tract: present and future view of Europe. *Dig Endosc* 2009; **21** Suppl 1: S4-S6
- 44 Yamamoto S, Uedo N, Ishihara R, Kajimoto N, Ogiyama H, Fukushima Y, Yamamoto S, Takeuchi Y, Higashino K, Iishi H, Tatsuta M. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer performed by supervised residents: assessment of feasibility and learning curve. *Endoscopy* 2009; **41**: 923-928
- 45 **Bergman JJ**. How to justify endoscopic submucosal dissection in the Western world. *Endoscopy* 2009; **41**: 988-990
- 46 Neuhaus H, Costamagna G, Devière J, Fockens P, Ponchon T, Rösch T. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) of early neoplastic gastric lesions using a new double-channel endoscope (the "R-scope"). *Endoscopy* 2006; 38: 1016-1023
- 47 Vázquez-Sequeiros E, de Miquel DB, Olcina JR, Martín JA, García M, Lucas DJ, Garrido E, González C, Blanco AP, Arnau MR, Buenadicha A, Vicente VM, de Argila CM, Milicua JM. Training model for teaching endoscopic submucosal dissection of gastric tumors. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2009; **101**: 546-552
- 48 Choi IJ, Kim CG, Chang HJ, Kim SG, Kook MC, Bae JM. The learning curve for EMR with circumferential mucosal incision in treating intramucosal gastric neoplasm. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2005; 62: 860-865
- 49 Kakushima N, Fujishiro M, Kodashima S, Muraki Y, Tateishi A, Omata M. A learning curve for endoscopic submucosal dissection of gastric epithelial neoplasms. *Endoscopy* 2006; 38: 991-995
- 50 Cho JY, Cho WY. Toward the global standardization of endoscopic submucosal dissection proposal for 10 years from now - present and future view of Korea. *Dig Endosc* 2009; 21 Suppl 1: S2-S3
- 51 **Gotoda T**, Oda I, Tamakawa K, Ueda H, Kobayashi T, Kakizoe T. Prospective clinical trial of magnetic-anchor-guided endoscopic submucosal dissection for large early gastric cancer (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; **69**: 10-15
- 52 Jeon WJ, You IY, Chae HB, Park SM, Youn SJ. A new technique for gastric endoscopic submucosal dissection: peroral traction-assisted endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2009; 69: 29-33
- 53 Sakurazawa N, Kato S, Miyashita M, Kiyama T, Fujita I, Yamashita N, Saitou Y, Tajiri T, Uchida E. An innovative technique for endoscopic submucosal dissection of early gastric cancer using a new spring device. *Endoscopy* 2009; 41: 929-933
- 54 Takenaka R, Kawahara Y, Okada H, Hori K, Inoue M, Kawano S, Tanioka D, Tsuzuki T, Yagi S, Kato J, Uemura M, Ohara N, Yoshino T, Imagawa A, Fujiki S, Takata R, Yamamoto K. Risk factors associated with local recurrence of early gastric cancers after endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008; 68: 887-894

S- Editor Sun H L- Editor Kerr C E- Editor Ma WH

» WJG | www.wjgnet.com