YMJ

# Long-Term Clinical Outcomes according to Initial Management and Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction Risk Score in Patients with Acute Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction

Hae Chang Jeong,<sup>1</sup> Youngkeun Ahn,<sup>1</sup> Myung Ho Jeong,<sup>1</sup> Shung Chull Chae,<sup>2</sup> Seung Ho Hur,<sup>3</sup> Taek Jong Hong,<sup>4</sup> Young Jo Kim,<sup>5</sup> In Whan Seong,<sup>6</sup> Jei Keon Chae,<sup>7</sup> Jay Young Rhew,<sup>8</sup> In Ho Chae,<sup>9</sup> Myeong Chan Cho,<sup>9</sup> Jang Ho Bae,<sup>10</sup> Seung Woon Rha,<sup>11</sup> Chong Jin Kim,<sup>12</sup>
Donghoon Choi,<sup>13</sup> Yang Soo Jang,<sup>13</sup> Junghan Yoon,<sup>14</sup> Wook Sung Chung,<sup>15</sup> Jeong Gwan Cho,<sup>1</sup> Ki Bae Seung,<sup>16</sup> Seung Jung Park,<sup>17</sup> and Other Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry Investigators

Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry (KAMIR) Study Group of Korean Circulation Society <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Hospital, Gwangju; <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu; <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center, Daegu; <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan; <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yeungnam University Hospital, Daegu; <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon; <sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju; <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Jeonju Presbyterian Medical Center, Jeonju; <sup>9</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam; <sup>10</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Note University, Cheongju; <sup>11</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Konyang University, Daejeon; <sup>12</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Guro Hospital, Seoul; <sup>13</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Kyung Hee University Hospital, Seoul; <sup>14</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju Hospital, Wonju; <sup>15</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Hospital, Wonju; <sup>16</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Catholic University Hospital, Seoul; <sup>17</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea.

Received: February 3, 2009 Revised: May 3, 2009 Accepted: May 6, 2009 Corresponding author: Dr. Youngkeun Ahn, Cardiovascular Medicine, Stem Cell Research Center for Cardiovascular and Neurologic disorders, Program in Gene and Cell Therapy, The Heart Center of Chonnam National University Hospital, 8 Hak-dong, Dong-gu, Gwangju 501-757, Korea. Tel: 82-62-220-4764, Fax: 82-62-223-3105 E-mail: cecilyk@hanmail.net

• The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

© Copyright: Yonsei University College of Medicine 2010 Purpose: There is still debate about the timing of revascularization in patients with acute non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). We analyzed the long-term clinical outcomes of the timing of revascularization in patients with acute NSTEMI obtained from the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry (KAMIR). Materials and Methods: 2,845 patients with acute NSTEMI ( $65.6 \pm 12.5$  years, 1,836 males) who were enrolled in KAMIR were included in the present study. The therapeutic strategy of NSTEMI was categorized into early invasive (within 48 hours,  $65.8 \pm 12.6$  years, 856 males) and late invasive treatment (65.3  $\pm$  12.1 years, 979 males). The initial- and long-term clinical outcomes were compared between two groups according to the level of Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score. Results: There were significant differences in-hospital mortality and the incidence of major adverse cardiac events during one-year clinical follow-up between two groups (2.1% vs. 4.8%, p < 0.001, 10.0% vs. 13.5%, p = 0.004, respectively). According to the TIMI risk score, there was no significant difference of longterm clinical outcomes in patients with low to moderate TIMI risk score, but significant difference in patients with high TIMI risk score ( $\geq$  5 points).

**Conclusion:** The old age, high Killip class, low ejection fraction, high TIMI risk score, and late invasive treatment strategy are the independent predictors for the long-term clinical outcomes in patients with NSTEMI.

Key Words: Myocardial infarction, non-ST-segment elevation, invasive treatment, TIMI risk score, prognosis

## **INTRODUCTION**

The recent guidelines of the American College of Cardiology-American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) recommended an early invasive approach for the high-risk patients who suffer from acute coronary syndromes without STsegment elevation.<sup>14</sup> Despite these recommendations, it is not clear whether an early invasive strategy reduces mortality in non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) patients. Recent Invasive versus Conservative Treatment in Unstable Coronary Syndromes (ICTUS) trial did not show superiority of an early invasive strategy for NSTEMI patients at the 1-year and 4-year clinical follow-up.5,6 However, such recent advances in medical therapy as the early use of clopidogrel and intensive lipid-lowering therapy have been shown to improve the prognosis for patients suffering from acute coronary syndrome.7,8

Therefore, we undertook the present study to analyze the clinical efficacy of the timing of revascularization and to test the hypothesis that an early invasive strategy is superior to a late invasive strategy for treating the NSTEMI patients who are registered in the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry (KAMIR). We compared two large patient cohorts obtained from the 50 multi-center KAMIR; one consisting of consecutive acute myocardial infarction patients treated with early invasive strategy and the other patients treated with late invasive strategy.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients population and study design

The KAMIR is a prospective, multi-center, observational registry designed to examine current epidemiology, inhospital management, and outcome of patients with acute MI in Korea for the commemoration of the 50th anniversary of the Korean Circulation Society.<sup>9,10</sup> Fifty high volume university, community centers with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) facilities and on-site cardiac surgery comprise the KAMIR. 2,845 patients ( $65.6 \pm 12.5$  years, 1,836 males) who were followed-up for one-year were included in the present study. Eligible patients for this study were required to have all three of the following: 1) symptoms of ischemia increasing or occurring at rest, 2) an elevated cardiac troponin I level ( $\geq 2.0$  ng/mL) or CK-MB (19 U/L, exceeding twice the upper limit of normal), and 3) ischemic changes assessed by electrocardiography, defined as ST-segment elevation, depression, or T-wave inversion of  $\geq 0.2$  mV in two contiguous leads.

We analyzed baseline demographic and clinical characteristics, relevant laboratory results, and pharmacotherapy. And we calculated Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score<sup>11</sup> of each patients at admission to Emergency Department. Echocardiography was performed in all patients before discharge. Major adverse cardiac events (MACE) at the six-month and one-year clinical follow-up were evaluated and were defined as the composite of 1) all cause death, 2) non-fatal MI, and 3) re-PCI or coronary artery bypass graft. Re-infarction was defined as the recurrence of symptoms or electrocardiographic changes in association with a rise in cardiac enzymes above the normal upper limit. All data were recorded on a standardized, electronic, web-based registry at http://www.kamir.or.kr.

#### Pre and post-intervention management

Prior to the index intervention, all patients received 300 mg of aspirin and 450 to 600 mg of clopidogrel. After the procedure, patients were maintained on aspirin 100-200 mg indefinitely. Clopidogrel 75 mg per day was prescribed for a minimum of 4 weeks in patients treated with bare metal stent (BMS) and for a minimum of 6 months in patients treated with drug-eluting stent (DES). The duration of clopidogrel therapy and triple anti-platelet therapy, including cilostazol, after the procedure was left to the discretion of the operator and referring physicians.

#### Treatment strategy

The patients who were assigned to the early invasive strategy group (Group I:  $65.8 \pm 12.6$  years, 856 males) were scheduled to undergo angiography within 48 hours after hospitalization and then PCI was performed when appropriate; the decision to perform PCI was based on the coronary anatomy. The patients who were assigned to the late invasive strategy group (Group II:  $65.3 \pm 12.1$  years, 979 males) were treated medically first. These patients were scheduled to undergo angiography and subsequent revascularization only if they had refractory angina despite

Table 1. Baseline Clinical Characteristics and Hemodynan	nics
--	------

Chamatonistics	Group I	Group II	
Characteristics	(n = 1,234)	(n = 1, 611)	р
Mean age (yrs)	$65.8\pm12.6$	$65.3\pm12.1$	0.361
Male (%)	856 (69.4)	979 (60.8)	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$24.3\pm3.6$	$23.5\pm3.2$	0.866
Past history (%)			
Hypertension	647 (52.5)	573 (54.2)	0.271
Diabetes mellitus	401 (32.4)	551 (34.2)	0.051
Smoking	704 (57.1)	750 (46.6)	< 0.001
Hyperlipidemia	148 (12.0)	157 (9.7)	0.057
Family history of heart disease	98 (7.9)	70 (4.4)	< 0.001
Prior angina	106 (8.6)	126 (7.8)	0.368
Prior myocardial infarction	65 (5.3)	91 (5.6)	0.627
Prior percutaneous coronary intervention	98 (7.9)	119 (7.4)	0.507
Prior coronary artery bypass graft	11 (0.9)	30 (1.9)	0.027
Symptom to door time (min)	$1,\!444.0\pm401.3$	$1,\!488.4\pm 349.2$	0.761
Symptoms and hemodynamic on admission			
Dyspnea (%)	315 (25.5)	583 (36.2)	< 0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$132.5\pm26.3$	$132.1\pm29.8$	0.686
Heart rate (beats/min)	$78.2\pm25.6$	$79.8\pm22.6$	0.566
Killip class (%)			
Ι	973 (78.8)	1,065 (66.1)	< 0.001
II	139 (11.3)	255 (15.8)	< 0.001
III	92 (7.5)	224 (13.9)	< 0.001
IV	30 (2.4)	67 (4.2)	< 0.001
TIMI risk score	$3.4\pm1.3$	$3.4\pm1.3$	0.646
Low risk (TIMI risk score 0 - 2)	310 (25.1)	432 (26.8)	0.308
Moderate risk (TIMI risk score 3 - 4)	687 (55.7)	869 (53.9)	0.358
High risk (TIMI risk score 5 - 7)	237 (19.2)	310 (19.2)	0.980
Electrocardiogram findings			
ST segment depression $\geq 0.1 \text{mV}$	486 (39.4)	645 (40.0)	0.480
Echocardiogram findings			
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	$54.6\pm12.1$	$54.6\pm24.5$	0.803
Total wall motion score	$17.4\pm10.2$	$18.2\pm10.4$	0.442
Laboratory findings			
Creatine clearance (mL/min)	$880.8 \pm 1,\!254.3$	$658.6 \pm 1{,}238.9$	< 0.001
Creatine kinase-MB (U/L)	$101.2\pm307.8$	$53.9\pm84.6$	< 0.001
Troponin I (ng/mL)	$26.6\pm57.2$	$18.1\pm42.7$	< 0.001
Troponin T (ng/mL)	$8.4\pm84.4$	$7.2\pm 66.0$	0.111
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	$184.6\pm44.6$	$180.4\pm47.3$	0.018
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	$136.1\pm106.6$	$126.5\pm85.1$	0.013
High density lipoprotein-cholesterol (mg/dL)	$46.1\pm26.8$	$45.0\pm16.4$	0.188
Low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (mg/dL)	$119.6\pm43.3$	$115.0\pm43.8$	0.251
High sensitivity C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	$2.2\pm8.6$	$2.1\pm10.3$	0.873
N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (pg/mL)	$2,\!381.9\pm5,\!920.8$	$5,\!149.6\pm9,\!320.7$	< 0.001

TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.

optimal medical treatment or they had hemodynamic or rhythmic instability.

## Statistical analysis

We compared the in-hospital mortality, the admission duration of coronary care unit, and the incidence of MACE during one-year clinical follow-up between two groups. The subgroup analysis was done according to each TIMI risk score and several baseline clinical features including age, gender, the presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, the presence or absence of ST-segment deviation, or the level of glomerular filtration rate. And the predictive factors for MACE at one-year clinical follow-up were calculated by multiple logistic regression analysis.

The statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 15.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all analyses. Continuous variables with normal distributions were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and they were

Medical therapy (%)	Group I	Group II	n
	(n = 1,234)	(n = 1,611)	P
Aspirin	1,174 (95.1)	1,521 (94.4)	0.271
Clopidogrel	1,136 (92.1)	1,481 (92.0)	0.284
Cilostazol	375 (30.4)	371 (23.0)	< 0.001
Statin	912 (73.9)	1,176 (73.0)	0.068
Beta blocker	861 (69.8)	1,125 (69.8)	0.707
Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor	737 (59.7)	937 (58.2)	0.402
Angiotensin receptor blocker	251 (20.3)	278 (17.3)	0.041

## Table 2. Medical Therapy at Discharge

## **Table 3.** Baseline Coronary Angiographic Variables

Variable	Group I	Group II	n
Variable	(n = 1,234)	(n = 1,611)	p
Coronary angiogram (%)	1,234 (100.0)	1,506 (93.5)	0.076
Coronary artery disease (%)			
1 vessel	431 (34.9)	539 (35.8)	0.147
2 vessels	406 (32.9)	503 (33.4)	0.076
3 vessels	344 (27.9)	398 (26.4)	0.885
Left main, isolated	6 (0.5)	6 (0.4)	0.966
Left main, complex	47 (3.8)	60 (3.9)	0.373
Infarct-related artery (%)			
Left main	48 (3.9)	55 (3.4)	0.070
Left anterior descending artery	480 (38.9)	585 (38.8)	0.927
Right coronary artery	327 (26.5)	467 (31.0)	0.021
Left circumflex artery	379 (30.7)	429 (28.4)	0.044
Lesion type (%)*			
А	60 (4.9)	104 (6.9)	0.044
B1	225 (18.2)	248 (16.5)	0.284
B2	337 (27.3)	389 (25.8)	0.398
С	612 (49.6)	765 (50.8)	0.541
TIMI flow grade (%)			
0	399 (32.3)	317 (21.0)	< 0.001
1	169 (13.7)	197 (13.1)	0.677
2	249 (20.2)	245 (16.3)	0.017
3	417 (33.8)	747 (49.6)	< 0.001

TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction.

\*Lesion type according to American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association classification.

compared with the use of an unpaired Student's t-test. Categorical variables were compared with the use of the chi-square test, where appropriate. The relative risks were calculated by dividing the Kaplan-Meier estimated rate of an event in the early invasive strategy group by that of the late invasive strategy group. The 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk was calculated with the use of the standard errors from the Kaplan-Meier curve. A p less than 0.05 was deemed as significant. We performed a propensity score analysis to adjust for imbalances in baseline characteristics between early invasive group and late invasive group. We used logistic regression model to derive a propensity score for early invasive strategy that included 63 variables.

## RESULTS

**Baseline clinical characteristics of the study population** Among the 2,845 patients with NSTEMI who were followed-up during one-year there were 1,234 early invasive treatment strategy patients and 1,611 late invasive strategy patients. The baseline clinical characteristics of the study population are described in Table 1.

#### Medical therapy during hospitalization

For medical therapy at discharge, significant differences in the proportions of patients receiving particular drugs were observed. Cilostazol and angiotensin receptor blocker were more frequently used in group I, as shown in Table 2. And other medications at discharge are also shown in Table 2.

### Coronary angiographic findings

In the early invasive strategy group, coronary angiography was done in 100% of patients during hospitalization, compared with 93.5% in the late invasive strategy group. The baseline coronary angiographic characteristics are shown in Table 3. Approximately 65% of the patients had multi-vessel diseases. The most common infarct-related artery was the left anterior descending artery in both groups. A lesion type  $B_2$  or C, according to the ACC/AHA classification, was present in 76.9% of group I patients and 76.6% of group II patients. A TIMI flow grade 2 or 3 was observed in 54.0% of group I patients and 65.9% of group II patients.

#### **Procedural characteristics**

As shown in Table 4, PCI was tried in 100% of patients in group I, during hospitalization. The mean door to balloon

Table 4. Procedural Characteristics			
Voriable	Group I	Group II	12
variable	(n = 1,234)	(n = 1, 611)	p
Percutaneous coronary intervention	1,234 (100.0)	1,145 (71.1)	< 0.001
Door to balloon time (min)	$1,\!144.5\pm1,\!202.6$	$6,\!360.9\pm5,\!010.5$	< 0.001
Procedural success (%)	1,177 (95.4)	1,089 (95.1)	0.254
Stent type (%)			
Bare metal stent	76 (6.8)	76 (7.4)	0.661
Drug eluting stent	1,044 (93.2)	954 (92.6)	0.305
Stent size (mm)	$3.1\pm 0.4$	$3.1\pm 0.4$	0.227
Stent length (mm)	$25.2\pm6.4$	$25.6\pm7.4$	0.219
Number of stents implanted per patients	$1.66\pm0.972$	$1.69\pm0.917$	0.573
Final TIMI flow grade (%)			
0	23 (1.9)	34 (3.0)	0.109
1	7 (0.6)	18 (1.6)	0.017
2	40 (3.2)	30 (2.6)	0.378
3	1,164 (94.3)	1,063 (92.8)	0.157
Coronary artery bypass graft (%)	14 (1.1)	131 (8.1)	< 0.001
Revascularization (%)			
Complete revascularization	176 (14.2)	245 (15.2)	0.545
Revascularization of single IRA	442 (35.8)	433 (26.9)	< 0.001
Revascularization of only IRA in multi-vessel	394 (32.0)	340 (21.1)	< 0.001
Multi-vessel revascularization	179 (14.5)	251 (15.6)	0.471
No revascularization of IRA	43 (3.5)	342 (21.2)	< 0.001

TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction; IRA, infarct related artery.

time was 1,144 ± 1,202 minutes. PCI was done successfully in 95.4% patients. Coronary bypass graft surgery was done in 1.1% during hospitalization. Total revasculariza-tion rate was 96.5%. In group II, PCI was tried in 71.7% of the patients during hospitalization. The mean door to balloon time was 6,360 ± 5,010 minutes (vs. group I, p < 0.001). The success rate was 95.1%. Coronary bypass graft surgery was done in 8.1% (vs. group I, p < 0.001) during hospitalization. Total revascularization. Total revascularization rate was 78.8% (vs. group I, p < 0.001). The average diameter, length, and number of stent were not significantly different between two groups.

#### In hospital outcomes according to TIMI risk score

The estimated in-hospital mortality was 2.1% in group I and 4.8% in group II (relative risk: 2.36, 95% confidence interval: 1.51 to 3.71; p < 0.001) (Table 5). The patients in both groups were classified into 3 sub-groups according to the TIMI risk score: 742 patients (310 patients of group I and 432 patients of group II) had a TIMI risk score of 0-2 points (the low risk group), 1,556 patients (687 patients of group I and 869 patients of group II) had a TIMI risk score of 3-4 points (the moderate risk group) and 547 patients (237 patients of group I and 310 patients of group II) had a TIMI risk score of 5-7 points (the high risk group). For the

low and moderate and high risk patients, no significant differences of the in-hospital mortality (p = 0.872, p = 0.052, respectively) were observed between two groups. However, for the high risk patients, there was a significantly lower in-hospital mortality in group I (3.3% vs. 8.9%, respectively, p < 0.001). And the duration of admission to the coronary care unit was significantly longer in group II (3.1 vs. 5.2 days, p < 0.001).

# MACE at six-months and one-year according to TIMI risk score

The incidence of MACE was 10.0% in group I and 13.5% in group II (p = 0.004) at one-year clinical follow-up. The composite of MACE is described in Table 5. The rate of cardiac death was higher in group II during six-months and one-year clinical follow-up (p < 0.001, p < 0.001 respectively). However, the rate of re-PCI (especially, the rate of revascularization of non-target vessel) was higher in group I (p = 0.002 at six-months, p = 0.001 at one-year).

In the subgroup analysis according to each TIMI risk score, there was no significant difference in the incidence of MACE during one-year clinical follow-up in patients with TIMI risk score between 1 and 4. However, incidence of MACE was significantly lower in patients of group I

**Table 5.** Clinical Outcomes during One-Year Follow-Up

Variable	Group I	Group II	n
Vallable	(n = 1,234)	(n = 1,611)	p
Outcomes in-hospital period			
In-hospital death	26 (2.1)	77 (4.8)	< 0.001
Coronary care unit admission duration (days)	$3.1\pm3.1$	$5.2\pm5.7$	< 0.001
The composite of MACE at 6-months	106 (8.6)	200 (12.4)	< 0.001
Cardiac death	37 (3.0)	123 (7.6)	< 0.001
Non-cardiac death	5 (0.4)	17 (1.1)	0.050
Myocardial infarction	12 (1.0)	24 (1.5)	0.221
Re-percutneous coronary intervention	46 (3.7)	30 (1.9)	0.002
Target vessel revascularization	7 (0.6)	3 (0.2)	0.089
Non-target vessel revascularization	25 (2.0)	15 (0.9)	0.014
Target lesion revascularization	16 (1.3)	12 (0.7)	0.140
Coronary artery bypass graft	6 (0.5)	6 (0.4)	0.643
The composite of MACE at one-year	123 (10.0)	218 (13.5)	0.004
Cardiac death	38 (3.1)	125 (7.8)	< 0.001
Non-cardiac death	7 (0.6)	21 (1.3)	0.049
Myocardial infarction	16 (1.3)	29 (1.8)	0.286
Re-percutneous coronary intervention	55 (4.5)	37 (2.3)	0.001
Target vessel revascularization	10 (0.8)	5 (0.3)	0.068
Non-target vessel revascularization	29 (2.4)	18 (1.1)	0.011
Target lesion revascularization	18 (1.5)	15 (0.9)	0.193
Coronary artery bypass graft	7 (0.6)	6 (0.4)	0.445

MACE, major adverse cardiac event.



Fig. 1. Primary end point (one-year major adverse cardiac events) according to the Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score.



Fig. 2. Estimated rates and relative risk of the composite primary end points of death from cardiac or non cardiac causes, recurrent myocardial infarction, target vessel or non-target vessel or target lesion revascularization at one year according to subgroups.

	Odd ratio	95% confidence interval		
		Lower	Upper	р
Old age ( $\geq$ 65 age)	2.079	1.571	2.751	< 0.001
High Killip class (≥ class II)	1.749	1.546	1.977	< 0.001
Low ejection fraction ( $\leq 40\%$ )	1.027	1.016	1.037	0.001
Late invasive treatment strategy	1.393	1.100	1.764	0.006
High TIMI risk score ( $\geq$ 5 points)	1.290	1.045	1.591	0.018
Treatment of beta blocker	0.762	0.588	0.988	0.041
Treatment of statin	0.772	0.601	0.992	0.043
Diabetes mellitus	1.272	0.969	1.607	0.083
ST segment depression	1.262	0.944	1.686	0.117
High level of high sensitivity C-reactive protein	1.002	0.999	1.005	0.117
Treatment of platelet glycoprotein IIb / IIIa inhibitor	0.658	0.389	1.112	0.118
Treatment of angiotensin receptor blocker	0.771	0.550	1.080	0.130
Treatment of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor	0.818	0.621	1.076	0.151
High level of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide	1.161	0.817	1.649	0.405
Treatment of low molecular weighted heparin	0.918	0.720	1.171	0.492
High level of troponin I	0.999	0.996	1.003	0.687
High level of troponin T	1.000	0.997	1.002	0.803

Table 6. Multi-Variate Analysis for the Predictors of One-Year Major Adverse Cardiac Events

TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction

# Table 7. Comparison of the Estimated Early Invasive Strategy of One Year Outcome Using Multivariable Logistic Regression, Regression Adjustment with the Propensity Score

	No	Odds ratio	95% confidence internal	p value
Crude model	2,845	0.609	0.475 - 0.808	0.004
Multivariable model	2,845	0.718	0.492 - 0.850	0.006
Regression adjusted with propensity score (deciles multivariable)	2,845	0.505	0.280 - 0.910	0.023

with TIMI risk score between 5 and 7 (Fig. 1).

# Subgroup analysis according to age, gender, diabetes, renal function, and ST segment depression

For patients with old age (over 65 years), male, diabetes mellitus, lower GFR (below 60 mL/min), and the presence of ST segment depression, the incidence of MACE was lower in group I (relative risk: 1.577, 1.373, 2.014, 1.486, 1.402, p = 0.002, 0.040, < 0.001, 0.015, 0.037, respectively) (Fig. 2).

### Multi-variate analysis of predictors of one-year MACE

Multivariate analysis was conducted by using the meaningful factors in univariate analysis and the other factors that have been reported to improve the prognosis of patients with acute MI. These factors included administration of angiotensin receptor blocker, statin, platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, and low molecular weight heparin.

The predictors for one-year MACE were found to be old

age, a higher Killip class, a lower ejection fraction, a higher TIMI risk score, and late invasive treatment strategy (Table 6).

Early invasive strategy improved the one-year outcome in logistic regression model to derive a propensity score analysis (Table 7).

## DISCUSSION

Acute coronary syndrome has been categorized into unstable angina, NSTEMI, and STEMI.<sup>12</sup> The most effective treatment for acute coronary syndrome is revascularization via performing PCI.<sup>13</sup> Many clinical studies have been carried out to decide the optimal time for performing coronary intervention for NSTEMI patients. Our results demonstrated that an early invasive strategy is effective for reducing the long-term outcome in high-risk patients. The relative risks for long-term outcome in these patients were different according to age, gender, diabetes, ST-segment changes, and renal function. These findings were comparable to the previously reported clinical trials.<sup>9,14-17</sup>

In five large, randomized trials<sup>18-22</sup> [Veterans Affairs Non-Q-Wave Infarction Strategies in Hospital (VANQWISH), Fragmin and Fast Revascularization during Instability in Coronary Artery Disease (FRISC) II, Treat Angina with Aggrastat and Determine the Cost of Therapy with an Invasive or Conservative Strategy-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 18 (TACTICS-TIMI 18), TIMI IIIB and the Third Randomized Intervention Treatment of Angina (RITA-3)], a routine, early invasive strategy (early angiography followed by revascularization, depending on the angiographic findings) was compared with a "conservative" strategy (angiography and subsequent revascularization only if medical therapy failed or substantial residual ischemia was documented). An early invasive strategy was shown to be beneficial by the FRISC II, TACTICS-TIMI 18 and RITA-3 studies, especially in the subgroup of patients who were at a high risk, such as those patients presenting with an elevated cardiac troponin level. However, the most recent randomized ICTUS trial showed that an early invasive strategy was not superior to an early conservative strategy, even for the high risk patients, on the short-term and long-term clinical follow-up.5,6

Recent guidelines recommended an early invasive approach for the high-risk NSTEMI patients. In our study, an early invasive strategy was better than a late invasive strategy for patients with NSTEMI, especially for the high risk patients. We defined the high risk patient who had higher than 5 points of TIMI risk score and when early invasive strategy as door to balloon time was within 48 hours. Our present results were not in accordance with those of the previous trials owing to differences in the study design, particularly the risk profile of patients included and the definition of the end points. There are several possible explanations for the observed differences in outcome between the present study and the previous trials. First, the revascularization rate was higher in our study (96.5% for the early invasive strategy group and 78.8% for the late invasive strategy group during hospitalization) as compared with those in the ICTUS (76% vs. 40%, respectively), TIMI-IIIb (64% vs. 58%, respectively), VANQWISH (44% vs. 33%, respectively), FRISC II (77% vs. 37%, respectively), TACTICS-TIMI 18 (61% vs. 44%, respectively), and RITA-3 (57% vs. 28%, respectively). The patients in our study had higher cardiac troponin I levels (26.6 ng/mL in group I and 18.1 ng/mL in group II) as compared with those of other studies. Therefore, the patients who were enrolled in our study were generally at a higher risk. Second, myocardial damage that is related to the PCI is a disadvantage of early invasive treatment. The prognostic implications of peri-procedural myocardial damage are controversial.<sup>23,24</sup> However, some reports suggested that the prognosis of patients with such injury should be regarded to be similar to that of patients with spontaneous necrosis. Long term follow-up is necessary to determine whether the increased incidence of procedure-related myocardial damage in the early invasive strategy group in our study eventually results in a worse prognosis.

This study has some limitations. First, our study is multicenter prospective registry study, and it is not randomized, and controlled. Therefore, there was probably a selection bias when enrolling patients in both groups. The level of cardiac enzymes was higher in group I patients than that in group II patients. The patients who complained of ongoing chest pain were more frequent in group I as compared with group II. High levels of cardiac enzymes<sup>25,26</sup> and ongoing chest pain are representative markers of progressive myocardial ischemia. There was also a tendency for the doctors to perform early invasive treatment for patients with high levels of cardiac enzyme. Thus, the high risk patients could be included in group I. Second, the patients who underwent coronary bypass grafting were highly prevalent in group II. We thought that difficulty lesions were more common in group II. These findings might have affected the association of early invasive treatment with better clinical results. Finally, the duration of our study was relatively short. Our study is a comparison of the MACE at one-year. The ICTUS study had 4-years of follow-up data and the VANQWISH had 23-months of follow-up data. In conclusion, our findings suggested that an early invasive strategy could improve long-term outcome for the KAMIR patients with high risk (exceeding 5 points of TIMI risk score).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study was performed with the support of The Korean Society of Circulation in the memorandum of the 50th Anniversary of The Korean Society of Circulation.

## Korea Acute Myocardial infarction Registry (KAMIR) Study Group of Korean Circulation Society

Myung Ho Jeong, MD, Young Keun Ahn, MD, Shung Chull Chae, MD, Jong Hyun Kim, MD, Seung Ho Hur, MD, Young Jo Kim, MD, In Whan Seong, MD, Dong Hoon Choi, MD, Jei Keon Chae, MD, Taek Jong Hong, MD, Jae Young Rhew, MD, Doo Il Kim, MD, In Ho Chae, MD, Jung Han Yoon, MD, Bon Kwon Koo, MD, Byung Ok Kim, MD, Myoung Yong Lee, MD, Kee Sik Kim, MD, Jin Yong Hwang, MD, Myeong Chan Cho, MD, Seok Kyu Oh, MD, Nae Hee Lee, MD, Kyoung Tae Jeong, MD, Seung Jea Tahk, MD, Jang Ho Bae, MD, Seung Woon Rha, MD, Keum Soo Park, MD, Chong Jin Kim, MD, Kyoo Rok Han, MD, Tae Hoon Ahn, MD, Moo Hyun Kim, MD, Ki Bae Seung, MD, Wook Sung Chung, MD, Ju Young Yang, MD, Chong Yun Rhim, MD, Hyeon Cheol Gwon, MD, Seong Wook Park, MD, Young Youp Koh, MD, Seung Jae Joo, MD, Soo Joong Kim, MD, Dong Kyu Jin, MD, Jin Man Cho, MD, Byung Ok Kim, MD, Sang-Wook Kim, MD, Jeong Kyung Kim, MD, Tae Ik Kim, MD, Deug Young Nah, MD, Si Hoon Park, MD, Sang Hyun Lee, MD, Seung Uk Lee, MD, Hang-Jae Chung, MD, Jang Hyun Cho, MD, Seung Won Jin, MD, Yang Soo Jang, MD, Jeong Gwan Cho, MD, and Seung Jung Park, MD

## REFERENCES

- Bassand JP, Hamm CW, Ardissino D, Boersma E, Budaj A, Fernández-Avilés F, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 2007;28:1598-660.
- 2. Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, Califf RM, Cheitlin MD, Hochman JS, et al. ACC/AHA guideline update for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction 2002: summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients with Unstable Angina). Circulation 2002;106:1893-900.
- King SB 3rd, Smith SC Jr, Hirshfeld JW Jr, Jacobs AK, Morrison DA, Williams DO, et al. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA/ SCAI 2005 Guideline Update for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2008;117:261-95.
- Pollack CV Jr, Braunwald E. 2007 Update to the ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: implications for emergency department practice. Ann Emerg Med 2008;51:591-606.
- de Winter RJ, Windhausen F, Cornel JH, Dunselman PH, Janus CL, Bendermacher PE, et al. Early invasive versus selectively invasive management for acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1095-104.
- 6. Hirsch A, Windhausen F, Tijssen JG, Verheugt FW, Cornel JH, de Winter RJ. Long-term outcome after an early invasive versus selective invasive treatment strategy in patients with non-STelevation acute coronary syndrome and elevated cardiac troponin T (the ICTUS trial): a follow-up study. Lancet 2007;369:827-35.
- Mehta RH, Roe MT, Mulgund J, Ohman EM, Cannon CP, Gibler WB, et al. Acute clopidogrel use and outcomes in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:281-6.
- Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Rader DJ, Rouleau JL, Belder R, et al. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2004;

350:1495-504.

- Lee KH, Jeong MH, Ahn YK, Kim JH, Chae SC, Kim YJ, et al. Gender differences of success rate of percutaneous coronary intervention and short term cardiac events in Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry. Int J Cardiol 2008;130:227-34.
- 10. Kwon TG, Bae JH, Jeong MH, Kim YJ, Hur SH, Seong IW, et al. N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide is associated with adverse short-term clinical outcomes in patients with acute STelevation myocardial infarction underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Int J Cardiol 2009;133:173-8.
- Sabatine MS, Antman EM. The thrombolysis in myocardial infarction risk score in unstable angina/non ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:89S-95S.
- Tricoci P, Peterson ED, Roe MT. Patterns of guideline adherence and care delivery for patients with unstable angina and non-STsegment elevation myocardial infarction (from the CRUSADE Quality Improvement Initiative). Am J Cardiol 2006;98:30Q-5Q.
- Denardo SJ, Davis KE, Tcheng JE. Effectiveness and safety of reduced-dose enoxaparin in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome followed by antiplatelet therapy alone for percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol 2007;100: 1376-82.
- 14. Alexander KP, Newby LK, Armstrong PW, Armstrong PW, Gibler WB, Rich MW, et al. Acute coronary care in the elderly, part I: Non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndromes: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology: in collaboration with the Society of Geriatric Cardiology. Circulation 2007;115: 2549-69.
- Jones PH. Clinical significance of recent lipid trials on reducing risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Cardiol 2007; 99:133B-40B.
- 16. Yan AT, Yan RT, Tan M, Chow CM, Fitchett DH, Georgescu AA, et al. ST-segment depression in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes: quantitative analysis may not provide incremental prognostic value beyond comprehensive risk stratification. Am Heart J 2006;152:270-6.
- 17. Gibson CM, Dumaine RL, Gelfand EV, Murphy SA, Morrow DA, Wiviott SD, et al. Association of glomerular filtration rate on presentation with subsequent mortality in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; observations in 13,307 patients in five TIMI trials. Eur Heart J 2004;25:1998-2005.
- Effects of tissue plasminogen activator and a comparison of early invasive and conservative strategies in unstable angina and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction: results of the TIMI IIIB trial. Thrombolysis in Myocardial Ischemia. Circulation 1994;89: 1545-56.
- Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Crawford MH, Blaustein AS, Deedwania PC, Zoble RG, et al. Outcomes in patients with acute non-Q-wave myocardial infarction randomly assigned to an invasive as compared with a conservative anagement strategy. Veterans Affairs Non-Q-Wave Infarction Strategies in Hospital (VANQWISH) Trial Investigators. N Engl J Med 1998;338: 1785-92.
- 20. Wallentin L, Lagerqvist B, Husted S, Kontny F, Ståhle E, Swahn E. Outcome at 1 year after an invasive compared with a non-invasive strategy in unstable coronary-artery disease: the FRISC II invasive randomised trial. FRISC II Investigators. Fast Revascularisation during Instability in Coronary artery disease. Lancet 2000;356:9-16.

- 21. Cannon CP, Weintraub WS, Demopoulos LA, Vicari R, Frey MJ, Lakkis N, et al. Comparison of early invasive and conservative strategies in patients with unstable coronary syndromes treated with the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor tirofiban. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1879-87.
- 22. Fox KA, Poole-Wilson PA, Henderson RA, Clayton TC, Chamberlain DA, Shaw TR, et al. Interventional versus conservative treatment for patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. The British Heart Foundation RITA 3 randomised trial. Randomized Intervention Trial of unstable Angina. Lancet 2002;360:743-51.
- 23. Cavallini C, Savonitto S, Violini R, Arraiz G, Plebani M, Olivari Z, et al. Impact of the elevation of biochemical markers of myocardial damage on long-term mortality after percutaneous coronary

intervention: results of the CK-MB and PCI study. Eur Heart J 2005;26:1494-8.

- 24. Roe MT, Mahaffey KW, Kilaru R, Alexander JH, Akkerhuis KM, Simoons ML, et al. Creatine kinase-MB elevation after percutaneous coronary intervention predicts adverse outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J 2004; 25:313-21.
- 25. Westerhout CM, Fu Y, Lauer MS, James S, Armstrong PW, Al-Hattab E, et al. Short-and long-term risk stratification in acute coronary syndromes: the added value of quantitative ST-segment depression and multiple biomarkers. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006; 48:939-47.
- 26. Jaffe AS, Babuin L, Apple FS. Biomarkers in acute cardiac disease: the present and the future. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:1-11.