scientific reports



OPEN Incidence and risk factors for early postoperative stiffness after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair in patients without preoperative stiffness

Chul-Hyun Cho, Ki-Choer Bae & Du-Han Kim ^D[⊠]

The purpose of this study was to investigate the incidence and risk factors of early postoperative stiffness in patients without preoperative stiffness undergoing isolated arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR). Two hundred seventy-four patients who underwent primary ARCR were included. At 3 months after surgery, criteria for shoulder stiffness was set as follows: (1) passive forward flexion < 120°, or (2) external rotation at side < 30°. Patients with preoperative stiffness or who underwent additional procedures were excluded. Patients-related, radiological (muscle atrophy and fatty infiltration), and intraoperative (tear size, repair techniques, number of anchors used, and synovitis scores) risk factors were analyzed. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to identify risk factors for postoperative stiffness. Thirty-nine of 274 patients (14.2%) who underwent ARCR developed postoperative stiffness. Univariate analyses revealed that early postoperative stiffness was significantly associated with diabetes mellitus (p = 0.030). However, radiological and intraoperative factors did not affect postoperative shoulder stiffness (all p>0.05). Multivariate analyses revealed early postoperative stiffness was significantly associated with diabetes mellitus and timing of rehabilitation (p = 0.024, p = 0.033, respectively). The overall incidence of early postoperative stiffness following isolated ARCR in patients without preoperative stiffness was 14.2%. Diabetes mellitus and timing of rehabilitation were independent risk factors for early postoperative stiffness following ARCR.

Rotator cuff tears are a common clinical problem with multifactorial etiology including degenerative changes and trauma. A commonly accepted and widely used treatment approach for rotator cuff tears involves arthroscopic repair¹⁻³. Although most patients experience satisfactory clinical outcomes after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR), potential complications have also been reported from a number of studies⁴⁻⁷. Among reported complications following ARCR, shoulder stiffness is one of the most common, with an incidence ranging from 2.3 to 28.5%⁸⁻¹². Another frequently reported complication—shoulder stiffness—was more common in the early postoperative period (i.e., within 3 months after surgery) with a range from 11 to 35.4%^{8,13,14}. Despite an otherwise successful ARCR, postoperative stiffness may lead to a distressing situation and dissatisfaction for both the patient and surgeon¹³. Therefore, the ability to better assess the risk and prevent postoperative stiffness is crucial, particularly in the early postoperative period.

Various risk factors, such as preoperative shoulder stiffness, female, diabetes mellitus (DM), hypothyroidism, operative technique, additional procedures, prolonged immobilization, and glenohumeral joint (GHJ) synovitis, have been suggested as causes of postoperative stiffness after ARCR^{8,14-16}. Despite awareness of these risk factors, few studies have comprehensively analyzed patient-related, radiological, and intraoperative risk factors for early postoperative stiffness after ARCR. In addition, little is known about the incidence of early postoperative stiffness in patients without preoperative stiffness undergoing isolated ARCR.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the incidence and risk factors of early postoperative stiffness in patients without preoperative stiffness undergoing isolated ARCR. We hypothesized that the incidence of early postoperative stiffness would be higher than the previously reported values, and specific risk factors might be related to early postoperative stiffness.

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital, Keimyung University School of Medicine, 1035 Dalqubul-ro, Dalseo-qu, Daequ 42601, South Korea. 🖾 email: osmdkdh@gmail.com



Figure 1. Patient's chart flow.

Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board of our hospital (KMUDSH IRB No: 2020-07-080). The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients signed informed consent forms.

Between October 2013 and February 2020, 274 patients who underwent ARCR by a single surgeon were included in this study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with primary ARCR, (2) available medical records, and arthroscopic photos and videos. Exclusion criteria included patients who had: (1) preoperative shoulder stiffness, (2) open or mini-open rotator cuff repair, (3) additional procedures (e.g., biceps tenodesis, labrum repair, stabilization for instability, distal clavicle resection), (4) inadequate medical records (Fig. 1).

Surgical technique. A single shoulder surgeon performed all procedures with patients in the lateral decubitus position under general anesthesia. A standard arthroscopic GHJ examination through the posterior and anterior portals to evaluate intra-articular pathology was performed. Next, the arthroscope was placed in the subacromial space (SAS), and rotator cuff repair was carried out. The number of anchors and repair techniques (i.e., single row, double row, suture bridge repair) used depended on the tendon mobility, tear size, and pattern. Subacromial decompression for the removal of inflamed bursa tissue and acromioplasty confined to the anterolateral aspect of the acromion was performed in all patients¹⁷.

Factors associated with early postoperative shoulder stiffness.

1. Patient-related factors

The following baseline data were collected: age, sex, body mass index (BMI), DM, thyroid disease, hyperlipidemia, duration of symptoms, dominant arm, history of trauma, the intensity of labor, level of sports activity, preoperative University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) score, preoperative visual analog scale (VAS) pain score, and preoperative American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons' scale (ASES) score. The intensity of labor was divided into four groups for analysis: (1) heavy labor, (2) light labor (included office work), (3) unemployed, (4) others. The level of sports activity was also divided into four groups: (1) none, (2) overhead sports, (3) contact sports, (4) non-contact sports.

2. Radiological factors

Plain radiography and MRI (1.5 T scanner, Siemens Magnetom Avanto System; Siemens Medical, Erlangen, Germany) were performed before surgery. Preoperative muscle atrophy was evaluated according to Thomazeau classification for each tendon, and fatty infiltration of muscle was evaluated according to Goutallier classification of each tendon^{18,19}.

3. Intraoperative factors

Tear size was measured intraoperatively using a calibrated probe after debridement of the degenerated tendon edges. The anteroposterior dimension was measured at the lateral edge of the footprint, and medial retraction was estimated as the distance from the apex of the tear to the lateral footprint. According to the grading system proposed by Davis et al.²⁰, GHJ synovitis was graded, with total GHJ synovitis scores ranging from 0 to 6. According to the grading system proposed by Jo et al.²¹, SAS synovitis was graded, and total SAS synovitis scores ranged from 0 to 5.

Evaluation of a range of motion and definition of shoulder stiffness. To evaluate shoulder range of motion (ROM), passive motion in two directions (forward flexion, external rotation at the side) was measured with a goniometer. Forward flexion was measured in degrees between the arm and the thorax with the elbow held straight, and external rotation with 0° of shoulder abduction was measured with the elbow in 90° of flexion between the thorax and the forearm²². An independent research coordinator performed a clinical examination. Criteria for shoulder stiffness was set as follows: (1) passive forward flexion < 120°, or (2) external rotation at side < 30° according to the definition of Oh et al.²². Patients were included in the stiffness group if they exhibited either or both of these criteria at 3 months after surgery.

Postoperative rehabilitation. All patients underwent active ROM of the finger, elbow and hand exercise from the first day after operation. An abduction brace was applied, and the duration was based on the tear size measured at the time of surgery. Patients with small- to medium-sized rotator cuff tears wore the abduction brace for 4 to 6 weeks and were allowed passive ROM of the shoulder from 2 to 4 weeks postoperatively, while patients with large to massive tears wore the brace for 6 weeks and were allowed passive ROM from 4 to 6 weeks postoperatively. Patients began active ROM exercises at 6 weeks after surgery, rotator cuff strengthening exercises at 3 months after surgery, and manual labor and sports activities at 6 months after surgery.

Statistical analysis. The SPSS statistical package (version 25.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. A power analysis indicated that a sample consisting of 230 patients would provide 95% statistical power with an α of 0.05 for medium effect size (f² of 0.15) for multiple linear regression. The independent t-test and chi-square test were used to compare baseline demographics data between both groups. Univariate analysis was used to identify risk factors associated with postoperative stiffness. Significant associations observed in univariate analysis and significant variables reported in the literature were included in the multivariate analysis^{8,14,15,23,24}. Statistical significance was accepted for *p*-values of < 0.05.

Ethics declarations. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Keimyung University Dongsan Hospital (IRB No. 2020-07-080).

Consent to participate. All patients gave their oral and written consent for their clinical and radiological data to be analyzed and used in this study.

Consent to publish. All patients gave their oral and written consent for their clinical and radiological data to be published.

Results

Of the 274 patients treated with primary ARCR, 39 patients (14.2%) developed shoulder stiffness at 3 months after surgery.

Patient-related factors. There were no significant differences in age, sex, BMI, thyroid disease, hyperlipidemia, duration of symptom, dominant arm, trauma, the intensity of labor, or level of sports activity between the two groups (all p > 0.05), however, the prevalence of DM was significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.030). DM was observed in 20.5% (8/39) of the stiffness group and 8.9% (21/235) of the non-stiffness group. There were no significant differences in preoperative UCLA score, VAS pain score, ASES score, and the timing of rehabilitation between the two groups (all p > 0.05) (Table 1).

Radiographic and intraoperative factors. Muscle atrophy greater than grade 2 was observed in 20.4% (48/235) of patients in the non-stiffness group and 33.3% (13/39) of those in the stiffness group, however, this difference was not significant (p > 0.05). The grade of fatty infiltration was also not significantly different between the two groups (p > 0.05). An analysis of intraoperative factors revealed that there were no significant differences in tear size, repair techniques, number of anchors, total GHJ score, or total SAS score between the two groups (all p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Multivariable regression analysis. Multivariate analyses included age, sex, duration of symptom, DM, thyroid disease, total GHJ score, tear size, and timing of rehabilitation. In the multivariable regression model, DM and timing of rehabilitation were identified as independent risk factors for early postoperative stiffness (p=0.024 and p=0.033, respectively) (Table 3).

Discussion

The most important finding of the present study is that postoperative stiffness at 3 months after isolated ARCR in patients without preoperative stiffness is a common complication with an overall incidence of 14.2% (39/274). Additionally, DM and the timing of rehabilitation were independent risk factors for early postoperative stiffness following ARCR.

Postoperative shoulder stiffness following ARCR may affect functional outcomes and a patient's satisfaction for the procedure. Because of the clinical importance of postoperative shoulder stiffness, an improved understanding of its incidence and a consistent definition is crucial for orthopedic surgeons. In a review of the literature, the incidence of postoperative stiffness following ARCR varies from 2.3 to 28.5%⁸⁻¹². Reasons for this wide range were the potential subjectivity of the criteria, and inconsistent timing of measurements. Several authors

	Non-stiff group (n = 235)	Stiff group (n=39)	p value
Age, year	60.3±8.15	62.1±7.2	0.204
Sex, male/female, n	90/145	14/25	0.775
BMI, kg/m ²	25.0±3.1	25.2 ± 3.0	0.753
Diabetes mellitus, yes/no, n	21/214	8/31	0.030*
Thyroid disease, yes/no, n	29/206	8/31	0.167
Hyperlipidemia, yes/no, n	20/215	4/35	0.759
Duration of symptoms, months	27.0±32.5	26.1±25.6	0.877
Dominant arm. yes/no, n	174/61	32/7	0.284
History of trauma, yes/no, n	46/189	5/34	0.183
The intensity of labor			0.361
Heavy Light Unemployed Etc	91 61 80 3	13 9 17 0	
A level of sports activity			0.599
No Overhead Contact Non-contact	168 22 6 29	27 2 0 10	
Preoperative UCAL score	17.1±4.8	15.7 ± 4.3	0.090
Preoperative VAS score	5.9 ± 2.3	6.0±2.3	0.778
Preoperative ASES socre	46.8±17.6	44.0 ± 18.4	0.367
Timing of rehabilitation, days	24.1 ± 10.8	27.8±13.3	0.110

Table 1. Patient-related factors resulting in early postoperative shoulder stiffness. *BMI* body mass index,*UCLA* University of California, Los Angeles, VAS visual analog scale, ASES American Shoulder and ElbowSurgeons' Scale. *Statistically significant, p < 0.05.

	Non-stiff group (n = 235)	Stiff group (n = 39)	<i>p</i> value			
Radiologic factors						
Muscle atrophy			0.269			
Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3	187 40 8	26 13 0				
Fat infiltration			0.821			
Grade 0 Grade 1–2 Grade 3–4	17 187 31	2 32 5				
Arthroscopic factors						
Tear size			0.814			
Partial Small-medium Large-massive	29 123 83	5 19 15				
Repair technique			0.252			
Single row Double row Suture bridge	51 101 83	5 18 16				
Number of anchors	2.7±1.1	2.8±0.9	0.648			
GHJ total score SAS total score	3.5±1.3 2.0±1.9	3.5 ± 1.4 2.0 ± 1.2	0.889 0.883			

Table 2. Radiologic and intraoperative factors resulting in early postoperative shoulder stiffness. *GHJ* glenohumeral joint, *SAS* subacromial space. *Statistically significant, p < 0.05.

defined shoulder stiffness as passive forward flexion of less than 100° and external rotation less than 30°^{8,11,25}. Of the authors using this definition, Brislin et al.²⁵ reported that 23 of 263 patients (8.7%) had shoulder stiffness 3 months after ARCR, and Parsons et al.¹¹ noted that 10 of 43 patients (23.3%) experienced shoulder stiffness 6 to 8 weeks after ARCR. While Tan et al.⁸ reported that 32 of 290 patients (11%) experienced postoperative shoulder stiffness at their 3 months follow-up visit, 25/32 (78.1%) had resolution of stiffness by 9–12 months. Kim et al.²⁶ defined shoulder stiffness as forward flexion of less than 140° or external rotation with the arm in 90° abduction

Variable	В	Odds Radio	Unstandardized coefficient beta (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value
Sex	-0.098	0.907	0.431-1.908	0.797
Age	0.036	1.036	0.985-1.091	0.171
Symptom duration	0.000	1.000	0.989–1.012	0.956
Diabetes mellitus	1.090	2.975	1.155-7.661	0.024*
Thyroid disease	0.563	1.755	0.709-4.345	0.224
GHJ total score	-0.078	0.925	0.696-1.228	0.588
Tear size	-0.546	0.580	0.291-1.154	0.121
Timing of rehabilitation	0.040	1.041	1.003-1.080	0.033*

Table 3. Factors affecting early postoperative stiffness: results of multivariate logistic regression analysis. Variables that showed a significant relation with postoperative stiffness in univariate analyses and significant variables reported in the literature were included in this multivariate logistic regression analysis. β estimated regression coefficient, *CI* confidence internal, *GHJ* glenohumeral joint. *Statistically significant, p < 0.05.

of less than 40°. They noted that 74 of 209 patients (35.4%) experienced postoperative stiffness within 6 weeks after ARCR. Chung et al.¹⁴ set the criteria of shoulder stiffness for forward elevation at less than 120°, external rotation with the arm at the side at less than 30°, or internal rotation at the back as lower than the third lumbar vertebral level, as previously described by Oh et al.^{14,22}. According to these criteria, they reported that postoperative stiffness was observed in 18.8% (54/288) of patients at 3 months after ARCR, 2.8% (8/288) at 6 months, and 6.6% (19/288) at the final follow-up (mean 13.5 months)¹⁴. However, these previous studies included patients with preoperative stiffness or additional procedures that can affect postoperative stiffness. In the present study, 39 of 274 patients (14.2%) who underwent ARCR developed postoperative stiffness at 3 months after surgery. Unlike previous studies, our study included patients with ARCR only and excluded patients with preoperative stiffness was defined as forward flexion of less than 120° and external rotation with the arm at the side of less than 30° in our study. These criteria were selected because they are easy to examine in the outpatient clinic. Several studies have included a motion of internal rotation in the definition of stiffness^{4,22}. However, we excluded an internal rotation from the diagnostic criteria of postoperative stiffness because we thought that a hand-behind-the-back ROM might not accurately assess active and passive internal rotation of the shoulder²⁷.

Although the etiology of stiffness after ARCR might be multifactorial and not completely understood, various risk factors (e.g., female gender, younger in age, DM, preoperative stiffness, hypothyroidism, systemic lupus erythematosus) are reported to be associated with postoperative stiffness^{10,15,23,28}. In particular, DM—which is a risk factor for frozen shoulder—has been widely studied for stiffness after ARCR^{13–15,23,29}. Although some articles had not found an association between DM and postoperative stiffness^{13,14}, several studies have reported that DM could be a potent risk factor for postoperative stiffness^{15,23}. Blonna et al.¹⁵ reported that the overall incidence of postoperative stiffness was 29% (19/65) in patients who underwent ARCR or arthroscopic subacromial decompression. In their study, of the 12 patients who had DM or pre-diabetes conditions, 5 (42%) developed postoperative stiffness (relative risk=5.7, p=0.03). Burrus et al.²³ analyzed 232 of 19,229 patients (1.2%) who underwent lysis of adhesions or manipulation under anesthesia after isolated ARCR using the PearlDiver Patients Records Database. They reported that type-1 DM was a significant risk factor (odds ratio=2.7, p<0.0001). In the present study, it was also noted that DM was independently associated with postoperative stiffness at 3 months; 20.5% (8/39) of DM patients were in the stiffness group compared to 8.9% (21/235) in the non-stiffness group.

The present study revealed that rehabilitation was significantly associated with postoperative stiffness, and previous investigations also have shown that rehabilitation was closely related to postoperative stiffness²⁴. Parsons et al.¹¹ retrospectively evaluated 43 patients who underwent full-time sling immobilization without formal therapy for 6 weeks after ARCR. They concluded that slower rehabilitation does not result in increased long-term stiffness, but 23% (10/43) patients were determined to have postoperative shoulder stiffness at 6 to 8 weeks after surgery. Koo et al.¹⁰ performed primary ARCR in 152 patients and patients with risk factors identified in the previous study (i.e., calcific tendonitis, adhesive capsulitis, partial articular surface tendon avulsion type rotator cuff tear, concomitant labral repair, single-tendon cuff repair) were enrolled in a modified rehabilitation protocol that added early overhead closed-chain passive motion exercises. They reported that no patients experienced postoperative stiffness at a mean of 8-month follow-up compared to a control group (7.8%). However, Galatz et al.³⁰ found that early motion, even passive motion, may result in devastating consequences. This group reported a high percentage (94.4%) of recurrent defects in patients with early passive rehabilitation after ARCR. Another potential variable is GHJ synovitis or SAS bursitis^{8,31-33}. Tan et al.⁸ reported that the GHJ synovi-

Another potential variable is GHJ synovitis or SAS bursitis^{8,31-33}. Tan et al.⁸ reported that the GHJ synovitis score was independently associated with postoperative shoulder stiffness at 3 months after ARCR. Tauro⁹ analyzed 72 patients with rotator cuff tears and concomitant preoperative shoulder stiffness who underwent ARCR and also found that bursitis and articular synovitis were more advanced in the group with severe stiffness. However, scores of GHJ synovitis and SAS bursitis were not significantly different between both groups in the present study.

This study has several limitations. First, it is a retrospective analysis, however, our data were collected prospectively by a single research coordinator. The second limitation is the short follow-up. Patients were followed up for 3 months. But, this decision was made since our primary goal was to analyze the incidence and risk factors of early postoperative stiffness. Third, patients in this study were not routinely assessed with postoperative imaging for the presence of cuff re-tear. Nevertheless, the strength of this study is that patients treated with isolated ARCR were included, and patients who underwent additional procedures or preoperative stiffness were excluded. Furthermore, the investigation was performed in a homogenous group of patients who underwent ARCR by a single surgeon, with a relatively large number of cases (274 patients) with various potential risk factors.

Conclusion

The overall incidence of early postoperative stiffness following isolated ARCR in patients without preoperative stiffness was 14.2%. DM and timing of rehabilitation were identified as independent risk factors for early postoperative stiffness after ARCR. These findings may allow surgeons to adjust postoperative management in an attempt to prevent early postoperative stiffness. Additionally, surgeons may consider discussing these risk factors with patients before surgery.

Data availability

All data and materials used in this work are available.

Received: 19 August 2021; Accepted: 7 February 2022 Published online: 24 February 2022

References

- Tashjian, R. Z. Epidemiology, natural history, and indications for treatment of rotator cuff tears. *Clin. Sports Med.* 31, 589–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csm.2012.07.001 (2012).
- Galatz, L. M., Griggs, S., Cameron, B. D. & Iannotti, J. P. Prospective longitudinal analysis of postoperative shoulder function : A ten-year follow-up study of full-thickness rotator cuff tears. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am. 83, 1052–1056. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004 623-200107000-00011 (2001).
- Jeong, J. Y., Khil, E. K., Kim, T. S. & Kim, Y. W. Effect of co-administration of atelocollagen and hyaluronic acid on rotator cuff healing. *Clin. Shoulder Elb.* 24, 147–155. https://doi.org/10.5397/cise.2021.00234 (2021).
- Audige, L., Blum, R., Muller, A. M., Flury, M. & Durchholz, H. Complications following arthroscopic rotator cuff tear repair: A systematic review of terms and definitions with focus on shoulder stiffness. Orthop. J. Sports Med. 3, 2325967115587861. https:// doi.org/10.1177/2325967115587861 (2015).
- Park, I., Lee, H. J., Kim, S. K., Park, M. S. & Kim, Y. S. Factors related to preoperative shoulder pain in patients with atraumatic painful rotator cuff tears. *Clin. Shoulder Elb.* 22, 128–134. https://doi.org/10.5397/cise.2019.22.3.128 (2019).
- Oh, S. Y., Jang, Y. H., Chae, I. S. & Kim, S. H. Prevalence and clinical impact of acromial cupping after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: Does acromioplasty matter?. Clin. Orthop. Surg. 13, 520–528. https://doi.org/10.4055/cios21010 (2021).
- 7. Lee, D. *et al.* Correlation between severity of synovitis and clinical features in rotator cuff tears. *Clin. Orthop. Surg.* **13**, 88–96. https://doi.org/10.4055/cios20002 (2021).
- Tan, Z. et al. Glenohumeral synovitis score predicts early shoulder stiffness following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. J. Orthop. 22, 17–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2020.03.050 (2020).
- Tauro, J. C. Stiffness and rotator cuff tears: Incidence, arthroscopic findings, and treatment results. Arthroscopy 22, 581–586. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2006.03.004 (2006).
- Koo, S. S., Parsley, B. K., Burkhart, S. S. & Schoolfield, J. D. Reduction of postoperative stiffness after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: Results of a customized physical therapy regimen based on risk factors for stiffness. *Arthroscopy* 27, 155–160. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.arthro.2010.07.007 (2011).
- 11. Parsons, B. O. *et al.* Does slower rehabilitation after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair lead to long-term stiffness?. *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.* **19**, 1034–1039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2010.04.006 (2010).
- McNamara, W. J., Lam, P. H. & Murrell, G. A. The relationship between shoulder stiffness and rotator cuff healing: A study of 1,533 consecutive arthroscopic rotator cuff repairs. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am. 98, 1879–1889. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.15.00923 (2016).
- Kim, I. B. & Jung, D. W. An intra-articular steroid injection at 6 weeks postoperatively for shoulder stiffness after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair does not affect repair integrity. Am. J. Sports Med. 46, 2192–2202. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546518777739 (2018).
- Chung, S. W., Huong, C. B., Kim, S. H. & Oh, J. H. Shoulder stiffness after rotator cuff repair: Risk factors and influence on outcome. Arthroscopy 29, 290–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2012.08.023 (2013).
- Blonna, D. et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism and diabetes as risk factors for postoperative stiff shoulder. Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc. 25, 2208–2216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-015-3906-z (2017).
- Chen, A. L., Shapiro, J. A., Ahn, A. K., Zuckerman, J. D. & Cuomo, F. Rotator cuff repair in patients with type I diabetes mellitus. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 12, 416–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1058-2746(03)00172-1 (2003).
- Cho, C. H., Bae, K. C. & Kim, D. H. Patients who have undergone rotator cuff repair experience around 75% functional recovery at 6 months after surgery. *Knee Surg. Sports Traumatol. Arthrosc.* 29, 2220–2227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-06019-z (2021).
- Goutallier, D., Postel, J. M., Bernageau, J., Lavau, L. & Voisin, M. C. Fatty muscle degeneration in cuff ruptures: Pre- and postoperative evaluation by CT scan. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199407000-00014 (1994).
 Thomazeau, H., Boukobza, E., Morcet, N., Chaperon, J. & Langlais, F. Prediction of rotator cuff repair results by magnetic resonance
- Thomazeau, H., Boukobza, E., Morcet, N., Chaperon, J. & Langlais, F. Prediction of rotator cuff repair results by magnetic resonance imaging. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199711000-00027 (1997).
- Davis, D. E. et al. Classifying glenohumeral synovitis: A novel intraoperative scoring system. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 26, 2047–2053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.06.003 (2017).
- Jo, C. H., Shin, J. S., Kim, J. E. & Oh, S. Macroscopic and microscopic assessments of the glenohumeral and subacromial synovitis in rotator cuff disease. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 16, 272. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-015-0740-x (2015).
- 22. Oh, J. H. *et al.* Moderate preoperative shoulder stiffness does not alter the clinical outcome of rotator cuff repair with arthroscopic release and manipulation. *Arthroscopy* 24, 983–991. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2008.06.007 (2008).
- Burrus, M. T., Diduch, D. R. & Werner, B. C. Patient-related risk factors for postoperative stiffness requiring surgical intervention after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg. 27, e319–e323. https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00929 (2019).
- Papalia, R. *et al.* Shoulder stiffness and rotator cuff repair. *Br. Med. Bull.* 104, 163–174. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/lds006 (2012).
 Brislin, K. J., Field, L. D. & Savoie, F. H. 3rd. Complications after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. *Arthroscopy* 23, 124–128. https://
- doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2006.09.001 (2007).
 26. Kim, J. H. *et al.* Does arthroscopic preemptive extensive rotator interval release reduce postoperative stiffness after arthroscopic
 - Kim, J. H. *et al.* Does arthroscopic preemptive extensive rotator interval release reduce postoperative stiffness after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair?: A prospective randomized clinical trial. *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 28, 1639–1646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse. 2019.05.021 (2019).
- Wakabayashi, I. et al. Does reaching the back reflect the actual internal rotation of the shoulder?. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 15, 306–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2005.08.022 (2006).

- Huberty, D. P. et al. Incidence and treatment of postoperative stiffness following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Arthroscopy 25, 880–890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2009.01.018 (2009).
- Cho, C. H., Bae, K. C. & Kim, D. H. Treatment strategy for frozen shoulder. *Clin. Orthop. Surg.* 11, 249–257. https://doi.org/10. 4055/cios.2019.11.3.249 (2019).
- Galatz, L. M., Ball, C. M., Teefey, S. A., Middleton, W. D. & Yamaguchi, K. The outcome and repair integrity of completely arthroscopically repaired large and massive rotator cuff tears. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am. 86, 219–224. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-20040 2000-00002 (2004).
- 31. Shindle, M. K. *et al.* Full-thickness supraspinatus tears are associated with more synovial inflammation and tissue degeneration than partial-thickness tears. *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.* **20**, 917–927. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2011.02.015 (2011).
- Abrams, G. D. *et al.* Association of synovial inflammation and inflammatory mediators with glenohumeral rotator cuff pathology. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 25, 989–997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2015.10.011 (2016).
- Gotoh, M. *et al.* Interleukin-1-induced subacromial synovitis and shoulder pain in rotator cuff diseases. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 40, 995–1001. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/40.9.995 (2001).

Author contributions

Conceptualization, C.H.C. and D.H.K.; investigation, K.C.B. and D.H.K.; data curation, C.H.C. and D.H.K.; writing—original draft preparation, D.H.K.; writing—review and editing, D.H.K.; supervision, K.C.B and C.H.C.; project administration, D.H.K.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Following are sources of funding for this work: Support was received from the National Research Foundation of Korea, funded by the Korean government (Grant number 2021R1F1A1047546).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.-H.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022