RESEARCH Open Access

(2022) 21:66

Association of lipid profile with obesity among breast cancer survivors: a cross-sectional study

Akinkunmi Paul Okekunle^{1,2}, Ga-Eun Yie¹, Sihan Song¹, Zisun Kim³, Hyun Jo Youn⁴, Jihyoung Cho⁵, Jun Won Min⁶, Yoo Seok Kim⁷ and Jung Eun Lee^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: The role of lipid metabolism in obesity and cancer manifestations cannot be underestimated, but whether alterations in lipid metabolism can manipulate the vasculature to promote obesity among breast cancer (BC) survivors is yet to be clearly understood. This study quantified plasma lipid and particle sizes using high-throughput proton (¹H) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and tested their associations with obesity among breast cancer (BC) survivors.

Methods: A total of 348 (225 premenopausal and 123 postmenopausal) BC survivors enrolled from five hospitals in Korea were included. We assessed thirty-four plasma lipid biomarkers using ¹H NMR, and obesity status was defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 25 kg/m² or greater. Generalized linear and logistic regression models were applied to estimate the least-square means of BMI (kg/m²) and odds ratio (OR)s of obesity, respectively, and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI)s across plasma lipid levels.

Results: Mean (SD) values of BMI was 23.3 (3.2) kg/m² and 90 (25.9%) had BMI of \geq 25 kg/m². BMI levels increased with increasing total triglycerides (TG), TG in lipoproteins and very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) subfractions. However, BMI levels decreased with increasing tertiles of high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol (C) and HDL particle size (HDL-p). Similar associations were observed in the logistic regression models. The increasing and decreasing BMI trends with TG and HDL profiles respectively were predominantly limited to premenopausal BC survivors.

Conclusions: Increasing levels of plasma total TG and TG in lipoproteins were associated with increasing levels of BMI among premenopausal BC survivors. High HDL-C levels and large HDL-p were inversely associated with obesity among premenopausal BC survivors. Due to the cross-sectional design of this study, longitudinal studies are necessary to examine the association between obesity and lipid profile among BC survivors.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Lipids, Obesity, Metabolomics, ¹H proton NMR, Menopause

Introduction

Obesity is a public health challenge with a significant impact on the health and wellbeing of populations worldwide [1]. It is a significant risk factor for cancers [2], and cancer-specific mortality [3], accounting for an estimated 2.4 million deaths and 70.7 million disability-life adjusted years worldwide in 2017 [4] with a compelling cost of care [5]. It has been linked with increased breast cancer



^{*}Correspondence: jungelee@snu.ac.kr

¹ Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Human Ecology, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

(BC) risk among postmenopausal women [6–8] with poor BC-specific survival [1, 9] but was inversely related to BC risk among premenopausal women [6, 10]. Anomalies in lipid metabolism are a common phenomenon in obesity [11].

Lipid metabolism cannot be underestimated in biologically vital intra-cellular processes, which are critical for normal metabolism and signal transduction [12, 13]. Similarly, lipid transduction may be essential to BC carcinogenesis and survivorship [14, 15]. Several reports [13, 16, 17] have documented the role of lipid metabolism in carcinogenesis, but there are limited data on the dynamics of lipid metabolism in cancer survival and recurrence. Whether adiposity could trigger changes in the vasculature to promote abnormal lipid metabolism among breast cancer (BC) survivors is yet to be clearly understood.

Understanding the association of obesity with plasma lipids among BC survivors will assist researchers and clinicians with vital information to discriminate the role of lipid metabolism in BC survival and recurrence. Similarly, discerning the contribution(s) of obesity to lipids metabolism among BC survivors and if peradventure it can manipulate the vasculature to promote the recurrence of tumour microenvironment might be vital in understanding the pathophysiology of lipid metabolism, adiposity and BC carcinogenesis.

Even though obesity has been linked with BC caralterations in lipid cinogenesis, adiposity-related composition(s) among BC survivors are yet to be explicitly enumerated. Exploring the significance of obesity in disordered plasma lipids and their sub-fraction(s) among BC survivors might help discern metabolic distinctions relevant for improving the quality of life and care of BC survivors. Obesity increases the risk of postmenopausal BC but is inversely associated with the risk of premenopausal BC in epidemiologic studies [6]. The mechanism through which adiposity confers different risks across menopausal status remains unknown, and investigating lipid profiles according to obesity status might help understand the complex biological nature of this phenomenon. Therefore, this study quantified plasma lipids using high-throughput proton (¹H) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and tested if they were associated with obesity among pre-and post-menopausal BC survivors in Korea.

Methods

Study population and design

This study is a cross-sectional report among female BC survivors enrolled in five hospitals in Korea between 2012 and 2019 (blood collection from 2015 to 2019). The Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of each hospital approved this study, and all participants provided written informed consent before participating in the study. All

procedures performed in this study followed the ethical standards of the IRBs, the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Participants were diagnosed with primary BC stage I-III according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) criteria [18] and underwent BC surgery at least six months before enrollment. Out of 1184 BC survivors enrolled in the study, 463 participants' plasma samples were analyzed for metabolomic analysis. We further excluded participants for the following reasons; diagnosis of other forms of cancers before study enrolment (n=14), BC recurrence or metastasis before enrolment or diagnosis with AJCC stage 0 or missing (n=32) or missing information on body mass index (BMI) (n=69). As a result, a total of 348 BC survivors (of which 123 were postmenopausal BC survivors) were included in the final analysis of this cross-sectional study.

Sociodemographic, lifestyle and history of diseases

Recruitment and data collection of socio-demographic and lifestyle factors, health-behaviour, quality of life, dietary intake and clinical characteristics of participants in this study has been reported elsewhere [19-23]. Participants provided information on socio-demographic factors, including age (in years), marital status, and level of education. The age of participants was reported in years. Marital status was categorized as 'never married' (i.e. never been in any form of marital relationship), 'married/ cohabiting' (i.e. in a marital relationship or living with a partner in a consensual relationship) and 'divorced/ separated/widowed' (i.e. not in any form of marital relationship or union as a result of divorce or separation or death). Highest education completed was classified as 'middle school or less', 'high school' and 'college education and above'. Smoking status was classified as 'never' if the participant reported no cigarette use or 'ever smoked' where the participant reported past or current cigarette use. Current alcohol use was reported as the current alcohol drinking status of the participant, and family history of BC was defined as any self-reported history of BC or breast diseases in first- or second-degree relatives. Participants reported information or diagnosis of chronic disease(s), including high blood pressure, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and stroke. Furthermore, participants provided information on the type, frequency and duration of various exercises and total metabolic equivalent (MET)-hour/week was estimated by summing all METhour/week of different types of exercise reported according to the Compendium of Physical Activities [24].

Anthropometric assessment

Participants provided information on current weight (in kg) and height (in cm) through a questionnaire at

enrollment. Body mass index (BMI) was estimated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m^2). In this study, obesity was defined as having a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² for populations in the Asia–Pacific region according to the World Health Organization (Western Pacific Regional Office), the International Association for the Study of Obesity, and the International Obesity task force [25].

Measurement of Lipid profile using High-throughput proton (¹H) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) Metabolomics

Participants voluntarily provided consent for blood samples drawn by trained personnel. Blood samples were processed, sub-aliquoted, transported on dry ice for storage at - 80°C and later shipped for metabonomic analyses. High-throughput ¹H NMR metabolomics (Nightingale Health Ltd, Helsinki, Finland) was employed to determine the concentration of various plasma lipids and their subfractions. Details of the principle and method of determination have been reported elsewhere [26, 27]. The ¹H NMR method simultaneously quantified different low-molecular metabolites, including lipids, amino acids, ketone bodies and gluconeogenesis-related metabolites in molar concentration units. Details of the experimentation, applications, lipid extraction, determination, quantification, sub-class quantification and normalization in the ¹H NMR Metabolomics procedure have been described elsewhere [27-29]. This study quantified total lipid and particle concentrations in fourteen lipoprotein subclasses and various subclass-specific lipid concentrations as total cholesterol and all the low-density lipoprotein subclasses (Supplementary Table 1). Representative coefficients of variations (CV) over thousands of samples for the metabonomic analysis were low-density lipoprotein cholesterol – LDL-C (2.3%), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol – HDL-C (2.3%), total cholesterol – TC (2.1%) and triglycerides – TG (1.2%). CVs for other plasma lipids were typically below 5%.

Statistical analysis

Characteristics of BC survivors were compared by obesity status (BMI \geq 25 kg/m²) using the chi-square test and independent-sample t-test for discrete and continuous data, respectively. Using a generalized linear model, we estimated and compared the least-square (LS) means and 95% confidence interval (CIs) of BMI across tertiles of plasma lipid concentrations. Change(s) in LS means and 95% CIs were assessed when including variables in the final model of the multivariate adjustment. Model 1 was adjusted for age (years, continuous) and menopausal status before BC diagnosis (no, yes). In Model 2, model 1 was additionally adjusted for highest education completed (middle school or less,

high school, college education and above), ever smoked (no, yes), current alcohol use (no, yes) and family history of breast cancer (no, yes). Model 3 was additionally adjusted for a history of high blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus and stroke (no, yes) and physical activity (continuous, MET-hours/week). Test for trend was carried out by assigning the median value of tertile distribution as a continuous variable in the models. Also, the odds ratio (OR)s and 95% CIs of having obesity by tertile distribution of plasma lipid concentrations were estimated using logistic regression adjusting for the same covariates in the generalized linear models. Bonferroni's correction was conducted for all P values in regression models to avoid the inflation of type I error. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) at a two-sided P-value < 0.05.

Results

Characteristics of BC survivors in the study

Characteristics of BC survivors by obesity status (BMI \geq 25 kg/m²) are presented in Table 1. Age, age at BC diagnosis, menopausal status at BC diagnosis, marital status, education, smoking and alcohol use differed insignificantly according to obesity status among BC survivors. Physical activity levels were higher among BC survivors with a BMI of < 25 kg/m² than those with a BMI of \geq 25 kg/m² among BC survivors with BMI of \geq 25 kg/m² and 21.9 \pm 1.9 kg/m² among those with BMI of < 25 kg/m².

LS means and 95% CIs of BMI across the distribution of plasma lipid concentrations

Multivariable-adjusted LS means and 95% CIs of BMI across tertile distribution of plasma lipids are presented (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2). Overall, LS means of BMI (in kg/m²) decreased across increasing tertiles distribution of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) subfractions of cholesterol, cholesteryl esters and lipoprotein particle sizes. For example, LS means of BMI increased across increasing tertile of average diameter for highdensity lipoprotein particles (HDL-p); 23.9 (23.4, 24.5), 23.5 (22.9, 24.0) and 22.5 (21.9, 23.1; P for trend < 0.001). However, LS means of BMI increased across increasing tertile of very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) in subfractions of phospholipids, free cholesterol, total lipids, lipoprotein particle and lipoprotein particle sizes. For example, LS means and 95% CI of BMI across tertile distribution of average diameter for very low-density lipoprotein particles (VLDL-p) were 22.7 (22.2, 23.3), 23.3 (22.7, 23.8), and 23.9 (23.3, 24.4; P for trend=0.009). BMI levels trend increasingly with increasing total TG and subfractions. When we stratified the analysis by

Table 1 Characteristics of breast cancer survivors according to BMI of 25 kg/m² or greater

	$BMI \ge 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$		
Characteristics	No	Yes	<i>P</i> -value
N	258	90	
Age ^a (years), mean (SD)	52.2 (8.4)	52.2 (8.1)	0.94
Age at BC diagnosis (years), mean (SD)	48.7 (8.2)	48.9 (8.2)	0.81
Menopausal status before BC diagnosis, n (%)	89 (34.5)	34 (37.8)	0.58
Marital status, n (%)			
Never married	13 (5.1)	4 (4.5)	0.89
Married/Cohabiting	206 (79.8)	74 (82.2)	
Divorced/Separated/Widowed	39 (15.1)	12 (13.3)	
Highest education completed, n (%)			
Middle School	58 (22.5)	28 (31.1)	0.08
High School	134 (51.9)	48 (53.3)	
College education and above	66 (25.6)	14 (15.6)	
Ever smoker, n (%)	21 (8.1)	10 (11.1)	0.39
Current alcohol drinker, n (%)	56 (21.7)	16 (17.7)	0.42
Family history of BCb, n (%)	175 (67.8)	59 (65.6)	0.69
Presence or history of chronic disease ^c , n (%)	35 (13.6)	17 (18.9)	0.22
Physical Activity (MET-hours/week), mean (SD)	34.2 (35.4)	25.8 (28.9)	0.03
Height (cm), mean (SD)	158.4 (5.1)	157.6 (7.1)	0.34
BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)	21.9 (1.9)	27.4 (2.2)	< 0.001

Continuous data are presented as mean (SD) and compared using the t-test

Categorical data are presented as n (%) and compared using the chi-squared test

menopausal status before BC diagnosis, we found that the significant associations were only limited to premenopausal BC survivors. When a Bonferroni corrected *P*-value threshold was used, p for trends for total-TG, VLDL-TG and HDL-p remained significant.

Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval of obesity status (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) distribution of plasma lipid concentrations

Multivariable-adjusted ORs and 95% CIs of obesity status across tertile distribution of plasma lipids among all BC survivors are presented in Table 3. The odds of obesity increased with increasing tertiles of total-TG and its subfractions. ORs and 95% CIs of obesity status in the third tertile of plasma lipid concentrations (using the first tertile as reference) were 1.95 (1.06, 3.59) for total TG, 2.21 (1.17, 4.16) for triglycerides in very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL-TG), and 1.36 (1.75, 2.46) for triglycerides in low-density lipoprotein (LDL-TG). The odds of obesity decreased with increasing tertiles of HDL-C, cholesteryl esters in high-density lipoprotein (HDL-CE), and HDL-p; ORs (95% CIs) comparing the third tertile with the first tertile were 0.46 (0.24, 0.88) for HDL-C, 0.45 (0.23, 0.86)

for HDL-CE, and 0.34 (0.18, 0.65) for HDL-p. When a Bonferroni corrected *P*-value threshold was used, *P* for trends for total-TG, HDL-CE, VLDL-L and HDL-p remained significant.

Furthermore, the analysis was stratified by menopausal status prior to BC diagnosis (Tables 4 and 5), and the OR (95% CI) for odds of obesity across tertiles of plasma lipid concentrations among premenopausal BC survivors trended similarly as in the overall results in the following plasma lipids; total TG, VLDL-TG, phospholipids in very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL-PL), free cholesterol in very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL-FC), total lipids in very-low-density lipoprotein (VDL-L), very low-density lipoprotein particles (VLDL-LP), VLDL-p. HDL-CE and HDL-p. However, there was no statistically significant association between plasma lipid concentrations and obesity among postmenopausal BC survivors.

Discussion

This study measured lipid profiles in plasma using the ¹H NMR Metabolomics procedure and assessed their relationship with obesity among BC survivors in Korea. First, we observed an obesity-related increase in total TG

^a age at recruitment

^b Family history of BC included a history of benign breast disease

^c Chronic diseases included high blood pressure, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus and stroke

Table 2 Multivariable adjusted least square (LS) means and 95% confidence interval (CI)s of BMI (kg/m²) according to the distribution of lipid profiles among all breast cancer survivors

Lipid Profile	LS means ^a and 95% CIs of BMI (kg/m²) by plasma lipid markers				
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	<i>P</i> -trend	
Cholesterol (mmol/L)					
Total-C	23.7 (23.1, 24.3)	23.2 (22.6, 23.8)	22.9 (22.4, 23.6)	0.05	
non-HDL-C	23.4 (22.9, 24.0)	23.3 (22.8, 23.9)	23.1 (22.5, 23.8)	0.56	
Remnant-C	23.3 (22.7, 23.9)	23.3 (22.7, 23.8)	23.4 (22.8, 23.9)	0.79	
VLDL-C	22.8 (22.3, 23.4)	23.6 (22.9, 24.1)	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	0.17	
Clinical LDL-C	23.7 (23.1, 24.3)	23.3 (22.8, 23.9)	22.8 (22.3, 23.4)	0.05	
LDL-C ^b	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	23.2 (22.6, 23.8)	23.0 (22.4, 23.6)	0.19	
HDL-C	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	22.7 (22.1, 23.3)	0.03	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)					
Total-TG	22.8 (22.2, 23.3)	22.9 (22.4, 23.5)	24.2 (23.6, 24.8)	< 0.001 ⁵	
VLDL-TG	22.6 (22.1, 23.2)	23.1 (22.5, 23.7)	24.2 (23.6, 24.7)	< 0.001 ⁵	
LDL-TG	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	23.1 (22.5, 23.7)	23.9 (23.3, 24.4)	0.009	
HDL-TG	22.9 (22.4, 23.6)	23.2 (22.7, 23.8)	23.7 (23.1,24.3)	0.008	
Phospholipids (mmol/L)					
Total-PL	23.5 (22.9, 24.0)	23.2 (22.6, 23.7)	23.3 (22.7, 23.9)	0.45	
VLDL-PL	22.8 (22.2, 23.3)	23.3 (22.7, 23.9)	23.8 (23.2, 24.4)	0.009	
LDL-PL	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	23.2 (22.6, 23.7)	23.1 (22.5, 23.6)	0.17	
HDL-PL	23.4 (22.9, 24.0)	23.5 (22.9, 24.0)	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	0.62	
Cholesteryl esters (mmol/L)					
Total-CE	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	23.4 (22.8, 23.9)	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	0.07	
VLDL-CE	22.9 (22.4, 23.5)	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	23.4 (22.8, 23.9)	0.34	
LDL-CE	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	0.35	
HDL-CE	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	23.6 (23.0, 24.2)	22.7 (22.1, 23.2)	0.01	
Free Cholesterol (mmol/L)	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,		
Total-FC	23.2 (22.6, 23.8)	23.7 (23.1, 24.2)	22.9 (22.4, 23.6)	0.74	
VLDL-FC	22.8 (22.3, 23.4)	23.3 (23.1, 24.3)	23.7 (23.1, 24.3)	0.04	
LDL-FC	23.9 (23.3, 24.5)	23.2 (22.7, 23.8)	22.8 (22.2, 23.3)	0.005	
HDL-FC	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	23.3 (22.7, 23.9)	23.0 (22.5, 23.6)	0.13	
Total Lipids (mmol/L)					
Total-L	23.4 (22.9, 24.1)	22.9 (22.4, 23.5)	23.5 (22.9, 24.0)	0.83	
VLDL-L	22.9 (22.3, 23.4)	23.0 (22.5, 23.6)	24.0 (23.4, 24.6)	0.002	
LDL-L	23.5 (22.9, 24.1)	23.4 (22.8, 23.9)	23.0 (22.5, 23.6)	0.34	
HDL-L	23.4 (22.8, 24.0)	23.6 (23.0, 24.2)	22.9 (22.4, 23.5)	0.19	
Lipoprotein particle (mmol/	, , ,	23.6 (23.6) 22)	22.5 (22.1, 25.5)	05	
Total-LP	23.2 (22.7, 23.8)	23.7 (23.2, 24.3)	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	0.39	
VLDL-LP	22.9 (22.4, 23.6)	23.1 (22.5, 23.6)	23.9 (23.3, 24.4)	0.01	
LDL-LP	23.3 (22.7, 23.9)	23.2 (22.7, 23.80	23.4 (22.8, 23.9)	0.89	
HDL-LP	23.3 (22.7, 23.8)	23.6 (23.1, 24.2)	22.9 (22.4, 23.6)	0.27	
Lipoprotein particle sizes (ni		20.0 (20.1) 2 1.2)	(0.27	
VLDL-p	22.7 (22.2, 23.3)	23.3 (22.7, 23.8)	23.9 (23.3, 24.4)	0.009	
LDL-p	23.7 (23.2, 24.3)	23.3 (22.7, 23.8)	22.9 (22.3, 23.5)	0.009	
HDL-p	23.9 (23.4, 24.5)	23.5 (22.9, 24.0)	22.5 (21.9, 23.1)	< 0.001 ⁶	

^a Models were adjusted for age (years, continuous), menopausal status at BC diagnosis (pre-menopause, post-menopause), highest education completed (middle school, high school, college education and above), ever smoked (no, yes), current alcohol use (no, yes), family history of breast cancer (no, yes), history of chronic disease (no, yes) and physical activity (MET-hours/week, continuous)

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ LDL-C was estimated from Friedewald's equation. Clinical LDL-C and LDL-C are the same biomarker but refer to different definition methods [30]

 $^{^{\}S}$ *P*-trend was signifineant at Bonferroni corrected *P*-value = 0.00147

Table 3 Multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR)s and 95% confidence interval (CI)s of BMI of 25 kg/m² or greater according to the distribution of lipid profiles among all breast cancer survivors

Lipid Profile	ORs ^a and 95% CIs of BMI of 25 kg/m ² or greater by plasma lipid markers				
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	<i>P</i> -trend	
Cholesterol (mmol/L)					
Total-C	1.00	1.07 (0.59, 1.95)	0.74 (0.39, 1.39)	0.25	
non-HDL-C	1.00	1.10 (0.60, 2.03)	0.99 (0.54, 1.83)	0.91	
Remnant-C	1.00	1.28 (0.69, 2.38)	1.29 (0.69, 2.38)	0.36	
VLDL-C	1.00	1.88 (0.99, 3.56)	1.86 (0.99, 3.50)	0.10	
Clinical LDL-C	1.00	0.80 (0.44, 1.44)	0.67 (0.36, 1.23)	0.33	
LDL-C ^b	1.00	0.84 (0.47, 1.52)	0.67 (0.36, 1.25)	0.27	
HDL-C	1.00	0.89 (0.50, 1.59)	0.46 (0.24, 0.88)	0.01	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)					
Total-TG	1.00	1.18 (0.62, 2.25)	1.95 (1.06, 3.59)	< 0.001 [§]	
VLDL-TG	1.00	1.63 (0.85, 3.13)	2.21 (1.17, 4.16)	0.02	
LDL-TG	1.00	0.81 (0.43, 1.52)	1.36 (1.75, 2.46)	0.31	
HDL-TG	1.00	1.01 (0.54, 1.91)	1.40 (0.77, 2.56)	0.09	
Phospholipids (mmol/L)					
Total-PL	1.00	0.97 (0.53, 1.76)	0.87 (0.47, 1.61)	0.57	
VLDL-PL	1.00	1.49 (0.78, 2.82)	1.98 (1.06, 3.68)	0.02	
LDL-PL	1.00	0.82 (0.45, 1.48)	0.66 (0.36, 1.23)	0.20	
HDL-PL	1.00	1.09 (0.61, 1.98)	0.65 (0.34, 1.23)	0.36	
Cholesteryl esters (mmol/L)		(,	, , , , , ,		
Total-CE	1.00	1.09 (0.60, 1.97)	0.70 (0.37, 1.33)	0.32	
VLDL-CE	1.00	1.50 (0.80, 2.81)	1.61 (0.87, 2.98)	0.23	
LDL-CE	1.00	0.94 (0.53, 1.70)	0.56 (0.30, 1.07)	0.52	
HDL-CE	1.00	0.93 (0.52, 1.65)	0.45 (0.23, 0.86)	< 0.001 [§]	
Free Cholesterol (mmol/L)		()			
Total-FC	1.00	1.77 (0.97, 3.24)	0.98 (0.52, 1.87)	0.91	
VLDL-FC	1.00	1.76 (0.93, 3.33)	2.02 (1.08, 3.80)	0.03	
LDL-FC	1.00	0.63 (0.35, 1.13)	0.52 (0.28, 0.98)	0.05	
HDL-FC	1.00	0.87 (0.48, 1.57)	0.64 (0.34, 1.19)	0.14	
Total Lipids (mmol/L)	1.00	0.07 (0.10, 1.57)	0.0 1 (0.0 1, 1.1.9)	· · · ·	
Total-L	1.00	0.95 (0.51, 1.75)	1.16 (0.62, 2.14)	0.81	
VLDL-L	1.00	1.23 (0.64, 2.35)	2.20 (1.19, 4.06)	< 0.001 [§]	
LDL-L	1.00	1.02 (0.57, 1.86)	0.78 (0.42, 1.46)	0.51	
HDL-L	1.00	1.15 (0.63, 2.09)	0.62 (0.33, 1.18)	0.12	
Lipoprotein particle (mmol/L)	1.00	1.13 (0.03, 2.03)	0.02 (0.55, 1.10)	0.12	
Total-LP	1.00	1.13 (0.62, 2.05)	0.70 (0.37, 1.31)	0.31	
VLDL-LP	1.00	1.36 (0.72, 2.58)	1.99 (1.07, 3.70)	0.04	
LDL-LP	1.00	0.95 (0.51, 1.76)	1.14 (0.63, 2.07)	0.75	
HDL-LP	1.00	1.14 (0.63, 2.06)	0.71 (0.38, 1.33)	0.73	
Lipoprotein particle sizes (nm)	1.00	1.14 (0.03, 2.00)	0.7 1 (0.36, 1.33)	0.22	
VLDL-p	1.00	1 72 (0 01 3 20)	210 (1 12 202)	0.03	
•		1.72 (0.91, 3.28)	2.10 (1.12, 3.93) 0.53 (0.29, 0.99)	0.03	
LDL-p HDL-p	1.00 1.00	0.56 (0.31, 1.03) 0.65 (0.36, 1.16)	0.34 (0.18, 0.65)	< 0.02	

^a Models were adjusted for age (years, continuous), menopausal status at BC diagnosis (pre-menopause, post-menopause), highest education completed (middle school, high school, college education and above), ever smoked (no, yes), current alcohol use (no, yes), family history of breast cancer (no, yes), history of chronic disease (no, yes) and physical activity (MET-hours/week, continuous)

^b LDL-C was estimated from Friedewald's equation. Clinical LDL-C and LDL-C are the same biomarker but refer to different definition methods [30]

 $^{^{\}S}$ P-trend was signifineant at Bonferroni corrected P-value = 0.00147

Table 4 Multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR)s and 95% confidence interval (CI)s of BMI of 25 kg/m² or greater according to the distribution of lipid profiles among premenopausal breast cancer survivors

Lipid Profile	ORs ^a and 95% CIs of BMI of 25 kg/m ² or greater by plasma lipid markers				
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	<i>P</i> -trend	
Cholesterol (mmol/L)					
Total-C	1.00	1.91 (0.87, 4.20)	0.90 (0.40, 2.02)	0.61	
non-HDL-C	1.00	1.82 (0.83, 3.99)	1.35 (0.62, 2.96)	0.54	
Remnant-C	1.00	2.10 (0.94, 4.71)	1.68 (0.76, 3.71)	0.26	
VLDL-C	1.00	3.04 (1.34, 6.94)	2.43 (1.05, 5.60)	0.08	
Clinical LDL-C	1.00	1.32 (0.62, 2.81)	0.86 (0.38, 1.91)	0.80	
LDL-C ^b	1.00	1.54 (0.72, 3.32)	0.95 (0.43, 2.13)	0.91	
HDL-C	1.00	0.99 (0.47, 2.07)	0.43 (0.19, 0.96)	0.04	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)					
Total-TG	1.00	1.99 (0.88, 4.50)	2.74 (1.23, 6.10)	0.003	
VLDL-TG	1.00	3.03 (1.34, 6.84)	2.81 (1.23, 6.46)	0.03	
LDL-TG	1.00	1.30 (0.58, 2.90)	2.16 (0.99, 4.70)	0.11	
HDL-TG	1.00	1.04 (0.47, 2.31)	1.74 (0.80, 3.80)	0.09	
Phospholipids (mmol/L)					
Total-PL	1.00	1.26 (0.58, 2.76)	0.97 (0.45, 2.09)	0.96	
VLDL-PL	1.00	2.27 (1.00, 5.15)	2.86 (1.27, 6.43)	0.01	
LDL-PL	1.00	1.41 (0.66, 3.03)	0.90 (0.40, 1.99)	0.78	
HDL-PL	1.00	1.25 (0.58, 2.70)	0.63 (0.29 1.38)	0.54	
Cholesteryl esters (mmol/L)					
Total-CE	1.00	2.27 (1.02, 5.03)	0.98 (0.43, 2.21)	0.80	
VLDL-CE	1.00	2.49 (1.10, 5.62)	2.25 (0.99, 5.11)	0.09	
LDL-CE	1.00	1.05 (0.49, 2.25)	0.58 (0.27, 1.26)	0.69	
HDL-CE	1.00	1.16 (0.55, 2.43)	0.46 (0.20, 1.03)	0.04	
Free Cholesterol (mmol/L)		(,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Total-FC	1.00	2.72 (1.24, 5.99)	1.18 (0.51, 2.68)	0.64	
VLDL-FC	1.00	2.08 (0.93, 4.63)	2.50 (1.13, 5.56)	0.03	
LDL-FC	1.00	1.20 (0.56, 2.56)	0.70 (0.31, 1.57)	0.41	
HDL-FC	1.00	0.99 (0.46, 2.11)	0.59 (0.27, 1.29)	0.18	
Total Lipids (mmol/L)		, ,	, , , , , ,		
Total-L	1.00	1.23 (0.56, 2.72)	1.33 (0.61, 2.91)	0.50	
VLDL-L	1.00	1.77 (0.77, 4.07)	3.24 (1.45, 7.21)	0.002	
LDL-L	1.00	2.40 (1.10, 5.26)	1.15 (0.51, 2.62)	0.72	
HDL-L	1.00	1.59 (0.73, 3.45)	0.72 (0.31, 1.61)	0.28	
Lipoprotein particle (mmol/L)		(,,	, , , , ,		
Total-LP	1.00	1.01 (0.47, 2.17)	0.69 (0.32, 1.50)	0.67	
VLDL-LP	1.00	2.03 (0.88, 4.65)	2.99 (1.33, 6.69)	0.02	
LDL-LP	1.00	1.51 (0.69, 3.33)	1.50 (0.70, 3.24)	0.33	
HDL-LP	1.00	1.04 (0.48, 2.25)	0.77 (0.36, 1.66)	0.44	
Lipoprotein particle sizes (nm)		,,	(,,		
VLDL-p	1.00	2.12 (0.93, 4.85)	3.16 (1.41, 7.03)	0.004	
LDL-p	1.00	0.83 (0.40, 1.71)	0.53 (0.24, 1.18)	0.08	
HDL-p	1.00	0.60 (0.28, 1.26)	0.28 (0.13, 0.64)	< 0.001 [§]	

^a Models were adjusted for age (years, continuous), highest education completed (middle school, high school, college education and above), ever smoked (no, yes), current alcohol use (no, yes), family history of breast cancer (no, yes), history of chronic disease (no, yes) and physical activity (MET-hours/week, continuous)

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ LDL-C was estimated from Friedewald's equation. Clinical LDL-C and LDL-C are the same biomarker but refer to different definition methods [30]

 $^{^{\}S}$ P-trend was signifineant at Bonferroni corrected P-value = 0.00147

Table 5 Multivariable adjusted odds ratio (OR)s and 95% confidence interval (CI)s of BMI of 25 kg/m² or greater according to the distribution of lipid profiles among postmenopausal breast cancer survivors

Lipid Profile	ORs ^a and 95% CIs	ORs ^a and 95% CIs of BMI of 25 kg/m ² or greater by plasma lipid markers				
	Tertile 1	Tertile 2	Tertile 3	<i>P</i> -trend		
Cholesterol (mmol/L)						
Total-C	1.00	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	0.72 (0.36, 1.41)	0.33		
non-HDL-C	1.00	0.47 (0.15, 1.42)	0.63 (0.21, 1.89)	0.55		
Remnant-C	1.00	0.62 (0.21, 1.84)	0.76 (0.26, 2.29)	0.86		
VLDL-C	1.00	0.82 (0.26, 2.57)	0.90 (0.30, 2.73)	0.81		
Clinical LDL-C	1.00	0.28 (0.09, 0.89)	0.52 (0.17, 1.60)	0.34		
LDL-C ^b	1.00	0.43 (0.14, 1.26)	0.44 (0.14, 1.41)	0.20		
HDL-C	1.00	0.96 (0.35, 2.60)	0.61 (0.19, 2.05)	0.21		
Triglycerides (mmol/L)						
Total-TG	1.00	0.58 (0.10, 1.19)	0.76 (0.27, 2.18)	0.96		
VLDL-TG	1.00	0.33 (0.09, 1.21)	0.80 (0.26, 2.49)	0.89		
LDL-TG	1.00	0.37 (0.11, 1.23)	0.51 (0.18, 1.43)	0.43		
HDL-TG	1.00	1.23 (0.35, 4.21)	0.79 (0.28, 2.25)	0.96		
Phospholipids (mmol/L)						
Total-PL	1.00	0.76 (0.28, 2.10)	0.69 (0.22, 2.16)	0.31		
VLDL-PL	1.00	0.48 (0.15, 1.56)	0.65 (0.21, 2.05)	0.73		
LDL-PL	1.00	0.43 (0.15, 1.26)	0.44 (0.14, 1.41)	0.16		
HDL-PL	1.00	0.94 (0.34, 2.59)	0.73 (0.22, 2.37)	0.44		
Cholesteryl esters (mmol/L)						
Total-CE	1.00	0.53 (0.19, 1.48)	0.54 (0.16, 1.78)	0.32		
VLDL-CE	1.00	0.69 (0.22, 2.14)	0.77 (0.26, 2.24)	0.54		
LDL-CE	1.00	0.85 (0.31, 2.30)	0.57 (0.31, 2.30)	0.29		
HDL-CE	1.00	0.80 (0.30, 2.13)	0.59 (0.17, 1.80)	0.18		
Free Cholesterol (mmol/L)						
Total-FC	1.00	0.96 (0.34, 2.75)	0.79 (0.25, 2.51)	0.71		
VLDL-FC	1.00	1.08 (0.33, 3.52)	1.08 (0.32, 3.55)	0.98		
LDL-FC	1.00	0.25 (0.08, 0.78)	0.51 (0.17, 0.78)	0.16		
HDL-FC	1.00	0.86 (0.28, 2.64)	0.86 (0.28, 2.64)	0.62		
Total Lipids (mmol/L)						
Total-L	1.00	0.69 (0.24, 1.99)	0.85 (0.28, 2.54)	0.66		
VLDL-L	1.00	0.46 (0.14, 1.50)	0.80 (0.26, 2.42)	0.98		
LDL-L	1.00	0.31 (0.11, 0.95)	0.57 (0.18, 1.75)	0.30		
HDL-L	1.00	0.81 (0.29, 2.24)	0.49 (0.15, 1.62)	0.24		
Lipoprotein particle (mmol/L						
Total-LP	1.00	1.59 (0.56, 4.52)	0.65 (0.20, 2.15)	0.35		
VLDL-LP	1.00	0.71 (0.23, 2.15)	0.74 (0.24, 2.25)	0.73		
LDL-LP	1.00	0.44 (0.14, 1.38)	0.65 (0.23, 1.88)	0.40		
HDL-LP	1.00	1.40 (0.50, 3.87)	0.60 (0.18, 2.00)	0.41		
Lipoprotein particle sizes (nm						
VLDL-p	1.00	0.98 (0.32, 3.02)	0.68 (0.22, 2.15)	0.39		
LDL-p	1.00	0.25 (0.08, 0.83)	0.53 (0.18, 1.56)	0.18		
HDL-p	1.00	0.66 (0.23, 1.88)	0.46 (0.14, 1.55)	0.26		

^a Models were adjusted for age (years, continuous), highest education completed (middle school, high school, college education and above), ever smoked (no, yes), current alcohol use (no, yes), family history of breast cancer (no, yes), history of chronic disease (no, yes) and physical activity (MET-hours/week, continuous)

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ LDL-C was estimated from Friedewald's equation. Clinical LDL-C and LDL-C are the same biomarker but refer to different definition methods [30]

and TG subfraction concentrations in VLDL among BC survivors. Second, we found decreased BMI trends were associated with increasing plasma HDL-CE and HDL-p. Third, of these associations, plasma HDL-p was inversely associated with obesity primarily among premenopausal BC survivors only after Bonferroni correction.

In tandem with our observation, some epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that the HDL-p (compared with other lipid biomarkers) is reliable for predicting populations at risk of cardiovascular diseases [31–33]. Similarly, lower HDL-p profiles have been reported among metabolically unhealthy subjects [34], subjects with coronary artery disease [35], impaired insulin sensitivity [36] and increased carotid media thickness [32]. Even in normal-weight subjects, structural alterations in HDL-p were associated with worsening metabolic health [34]. Furthermore, low HDL-p has been a reliable indicator for hypertriglyceridaemia among abdominally obese subjects [33].

Different mechanisms [32, 34, 37, 38] explain the beneficial inverse association of obesity-related HDL-p alterations. First, a feasible explanation is a relationship between HDL particle subfractions-2b (HDL-2b) and fractional rate cholesterol esterification (FER-HDL). FER-HDL is a suitable measure for defining HDL potential to esterify free cholesterol [37] and positively correlates with BMI [38]. When free VLDL/LDL are unesterified, they are traditionally stored as excess fat in the fatty tissues [39]. However, FER-HDL inversely correlates with HDL-2b [38]. Second, the inverse association of HDL-p with obesity might be related to the ATP-binding cassette transporters-mediated release of large HDL particles to avert cellular lipid accretion in the hepatic cells and macrophages [40–42]. Third, HDL particle subfractions 2b have been reported to exert anti-inflammatory potential by negatively manipulating lymphocyte activation and exhibiting an inverse relationship with inflammation markers (such as; high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, interleukin-6) among metabolically unhealthy obese subjects [34].

Our study revealed that VLDL subfractions were elevated among premenopausal BC survivors with high BMI. Of particular importance, we observed that higher VLDL concentrations in lipoprotein particle size was associated with higher odds of obesity. This observation was common to findings from other studies where elevated VLDL subclasses were observed among obese adolescents [43, 44]. The significance of high LDL in tumour carcinogenesis has been reported [13, 16, 17]. The role of VLDL profile as a risk factor for atherosclerosis [45], myocardial infarction [46], how it manipulates ATP-binding cassette transporters [47, 48] and its oxidative stress-mediation capacity [49] to promote the anomalous accumulation of triglycerides [48] have been documented.

Furthermore, our study showed notable differences in the association of obesity with plasma lipids between pre-and post-menopausal BC survivors and could imply variances in the aetiology of plasma lipid metabolism between pre-and post-menopausal BC survivors. The significance of menopause in the association of plasma lipids with adiposity is still unclear. However, a plausible rationale might be age- and hormonal-related (due to menopause) functional abnormalities in lipid metabolism hypothesized in some reviews [50-53]. Postmenopausal estrogen deficiency has been linked to a constellation of adverse metabolic changes that include dysregulated lipid metabolism [52] and may further be responsible for alterations in the secretion of pancreatic enzymes vital in lipid metabolism [50]. For example, low paraoxonase-1 activity (a hydrolytic enzyme involved in various functions to prevent lipid oxidation [54]) has been reported among healthy postmenopausal women compared to premenopausal women [55].

On the contrary, a clinical trial has reported a null association between cholesterol-based particles, nonesterified fatty acids and measures of adiposity among healthy postmenopausal women [56]. Limited evidence supports that these alterations are exclusively related to ageing and hormonal changes. More importantly, our study presents evidence alluding to the significance of obesity in the alteration of low-molecular-weight subfractions of plasma lipid profiles among BC survivors that might be pertinent for effective decision-making in improving the quality of life and care of BC survivors. The importance of early identification of populations at risk of alterations in lipid metabolism that could predispose to cardiovascular abnormalities cannot be undervalued in improving the quality of life and care of BC survivors. More studies are necessary to clarify these associations. Anthropometric measure at enrollment was self-reported in this study. However, weight at breast cancer diagnosis was measured using a standard instrument and strongly correlated with the selfreported weight at study enrollment (Pearson's r = 0.93, p < 0.001), suggesting the self-reported weight data were reliable. BMI is a reasonable surrogate for screening body fatness, but it could be subject to misclassification in the overall body composition assessment. We are constrained by limited data on the percentage body fat and free fat mass. This study cannot infer causal associations between lipid profile and obesity due to the cross-sectional design. The generalizability of our findings across a multi-ethnic population is limited, given that our subjects were exclusively Koreans. Longitudinal studies across diverse ethnic backgrounds might clarify the causal associations of plasma lipid biomarkers with obesity among BC survivors.

Conclusion

In this study, higher TG and VLDL subfractions of plasma lipids were associated with higher odds of obesity, but higher plasma HDL-p was inversely related to the odds of obesity predominantly among premenopausal BC survivors.

Abbreviations

AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; BC: Breast cancer; BMI: Body mass index; CV: Coefficients of variations; CI: Confidence interval; ¹H: High-throughput proton; LS: Least-square; MET: Metabolic equivalent task; NMR: Nuclear magnetic resonance; OR: Odds ratio; TC: Total cholesterol; HDL-C: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; non-HDL-C: Total cholesterol minus HDL-C; Remnant-C: Remnant cholesterol (non-HDL, non-LDL -cholesterol); VLDL-C: Very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Clinical LDL-C: Clinical low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein cholesterol – derived from the Friedewald's equation for estimating LDL (56); TG: Total triglycerides; VLDL-TG: Triglycerides in very-low-density lipoprotein; LDL-TG: Triglycerides in low-density lipoprotein; HDL-TG: Triglycerides in high-density lipoprotein; Total-PL: Total phospholipids in lipoprotein particles; VLDL-PL: Phospholipids in very-low-density lipoprotein; LDL-PL: Phospholipids in low-density lipoprotein; HDL-PL: Phospholipids in highdensity lipoprotein; Total-CE: Total esterified cholesterol; VLDL-CE: Cholesteryl esters in very low-density lipoprotein; LDL-CE: Cholesteryl esters in low-density lipoprotein; HDL-CE: Cholesteryl esters in high-density lipoprotein; Total-FC: Total free cholesterol; VLDL-FC: Free cholesterol in very-low-density lipoprotein; LDL-FC: Free cholesterol in low-density lipoprotein; HDL-FC: Free cholesterol in high-density lipoprotein; Total-L: Total lipids in lipoprotein particles; VLDL-L: Total lipids in very-low-density lipoprotein; LDL-L: Total lipids in low-density lipoprotein; HDL-L: Total lipids in high-density lipoprotein; Total-LP: Total concentration of lipoprotein particles; VLDL-LP: Very low-density lipoprotein particles; LDL-LP: Low-density lipoprotein particles; HDL-LP: High-density lipoprotein particles; VLDL-p: Average diameter for very low-density lipoprotein particles; LDL-p: Average diameter for low-density lipoprotein particles; HDL-p: Average diameter for high-density lipoprotein particles.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-022-01674-2.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Table 1. A list of lipid biomarkers assayed. **Supplementary Table 2.** Multivariable adjusted least square (LS) means and 95% confidence interval (Cl)s of BMI (kg/m2) according to the distribution of lipid profiles among all breast cancer survivors.

Acknowledgements

We thank the volunteers and staff of the study across several sites in Korea for their dedication and commitment during the recruitment process of this study.

Authors' contributions

APO and JEL conceptualized and designed the study; JEL obtained funding; GY, SS, ZK, HJY, JC and JWM conducted the data acquisition and curation; APO conducted analysis; GY contributed to the data analysis and interpretation; GY, SS, ZK, HJY, JC, JWM and YSK contributed to the interpretation; APO drafted the manuscript, and JEL revised the manuscript; All authors read and approved the final version to be published and agreed to be accountable for the work.

Fundina

This research was supported by the Brain Pool Program supported through the National Research Foundation of Korea, funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (2020H1D3A1A04081265). Also, the data collection and recruitment of this work were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. 2014R1A2A2A01007794, 2019R1F1A1061017 and 2021R1F1A1062476). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, or decision to prepare and publish the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The data for this study cannot be made publicly available because it is an ongoing study, and during the signing of consent, the participants were not informed that their information would be stored in a publicly accessible database. However, other researchers may collaborate with the study team upon request. Requests to access the data may be sent to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Soonchunhyang University Hospital (SCHBC2014-12–004-001), Jeonbuk National University Hospital (CUH2014-05–002-005 and CUH2018-02–004-004), Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center (DSMC2015-03–026), Dankook University Hospital (DKUH 2016–07-001–002) and Chosun University Hospital (CHOSUN 2016–06 and CHOSUN 2018–06) approved this study, and all participants provided written informed consent before participating in the study. All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the IRBs and the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Food and Nutrition, College of Human Ecology, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea. ²Research Institute of Human Ecology, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea. ³Department of Surgery, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Bucheon 14584, Korea. ⁴Department of Surgery, Jeonbuk National University Medical School, Jeonju 54907, Korea. ⁵Department of Surgery, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu 42601, Korea. ⁶Department of Surgery, Dankook University Hospital, Dankook University College of Medicine, Cheonan 31116, Korea. ⁷Department of Surgery, Chosun University Hospital, Chosun University College of Medicine, Gwangju 61453, Korea.

Received: 13 January 2022 Accepted: 12 July 2022 Published online: 02 August 2022

References

- Barone I, Giordano C, Bonofiglio D, Andò S, Catalano S. The weight of obesity in breast cancer progression and metastasis: clinical and molecular perspectives. Semin Cancer Biol. 2020;60:274

 –84.
- Hopkins BD, Goncalves MD, Cantley LC. Obesity and cancer mechanisms: cancer metabolism. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(35):4277–83.
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. Adults New England J Med. 2003;348(17):1625–38.
- Dai H, Alsalhe TA, Chalghaf N, Riccò M, Bragazzi NL, Wu J. The global burden of disease attributable to high body mass index in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: an analysis of the global burden of disease study. PLoS Med. 2020;17(7):e1003198.
- Specchia ML, Veneziano MA, Cadeddu C, Ferriero AM, Mancuso A, lanuale C, et al. Economic impact of adult obesity on health systems: a systematic review. Eur J Public Health. 2014;25(2):255–62.
- Anderson GL, Neuhouser ML. Obesity and the risk for premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer. Cancer Prev Res. 2012;5(4):515–21.
- Yoo K-Y, Tajima K, Park S-K, Kang D, Kim S-U, Hirose K, et al. Postmenopausal obesity as a breast cancer risk factor according to estrogen and progesterone receptor status (Japan). Cancer Lett. 2001;167(1):57–63.
- Morimoto LM, White E, Chen Z, Chlebowski RT, Hays J, Kuller L, et al. Obesity, body size, and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer: the women's health initiative (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2002;13(8):741–51.

- Macciò A, Madeddu C. Obesity, inflammation, and postmenopausal breast cancer: therapeutic implications. Sci World J. 2011;11:806787.
- John EM, Sangaramoorthy M, Phipps AI, Koo J, Horn-Ross PL. Adult body size, hormone receptor status, and premenopausal breast cancer risk in a multiethnic population: the san francisco bay area breast cancer study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010;173(2):201–16.
- 11. Feingold KR. 2020. Obesity and Dyslipidemia. In: Kenneth R Feingold BA, Alison Boyce et al, editor. Adrenal Disease and Function: Endotext.
- 12. Yan J, Horng T. Lipid metabolism in regulation of macrophage functions. Trends Cell Biol. 2020;30(12):979–89.
- Broadfield LA, Pane AA, Talebi A, Swinnen JV, Fendt S-M. Lipid metabolism in cancer: new perspectives and emerging mechanisms. Dev Cell. 2021;56(10):1363–93.
- Cruz P, Mo H, McConathy W, Sabnis N, Lacko A. The role of cholesterol metabolism and cholesterol transport in carcinogenesis: a review of scientific findings, relevant to future cancer therapeutics. Front Pharmacol. 2013:4:119.
- 15. Gorin A, Gabitova L, Astsaturov I. Regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis and cancer signaling. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2012;12(6):710–6.
- Onwuka JU, Okekunle AP, Olutola OM, Akpa OM, Feng R. Lipid profile and risk of ovarian tumours: a meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2020;20(1):200.
- Touvier M, Fassier P, His M, Norat T, Chan DS, Blacher J, et al. Cholesterol and breast cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Br J Nutr. 2015;114(3):347–57.
- Amin MB, Edge S, Greene F, Byrd DR, Brookland RK, Washington MK, et al. (Eds.) AJCC (American Joint Committee on Cancer) Cancer Staging Manual (8th ed.). Springer; 2017.
- Kim N-H, Song S, Jung S-Y, Lee E, Kim Z, Moon H-G, et al. Dietary pattern and health-related quality of life among breast cancer survivors. BMC Womens Health. 2018;18(1):65.
- Shin W-k, Song S, Jung S-Y, Lee E, Kim Z, Moon H-G, et al. The
 association between physical activity and health-related quality
 of life among breast cancer survivors. Health Qual Life Outcomes.
 2017;15(1):132.
- Shin W-k, Song S, Hwang E, Moon H-G, Noh D-Y, Lee JE. Development of a FFQ for breast cancer survivors in Korea. British J Nutr. 2016;116(10):1781–6.
- Shin W-K, Kim Z, Youn HJ, Cho J, Lee JE. Determinants of plasma 25-Hydroxyvitamin D concentrations among breast cancer survivors in Korea. Nutrients. 2018;10(3):380.
- Youn J, Park S, Song S, Moon H-G, Noh D-Y, Jung S-Y, et al. Nutrient intakes from supplement and factors associated with supplement use among breast cancer survivors: A cross-sectional study. Eur J Cancer Care. 2021;30:e13447. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.13447.
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, Meckes N, Bassett DRJ, Tudor-Locke C, et al. 2011 Compendium of physical activities: a second update of codes and MET values. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(8):1575–81.
- Organization WH. The Asia-Pacific perspective: Redefining obesity and its treatment: Regional Office of the Western Pacific (WPRO) World Health Organization. 2000.
- Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Würtz P, Tukiainen T, Tynkkynen T, Laatikainen R, et al. High-throughput serum NMR metabonomics for cost-effective holistic studies on systemic metabolism. Analyst. 2009;134(9):1781–5.
- Inouye M, Kettunen J, Soininen P, Silander K, Ripatti S, Kumpula LS, et al. Metabonomic, transcriptomic, and genomic variation of a population cohort. Mol Syst Biol. 2010;6(1):441.
- Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Würtz P, Suna T, Ala-Korpela M. Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in cardiovascular epidemiology and genetics. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2015;8(1):192–206.
- Holmes MV, Millwood IY, Kartsonaki C, Hill MR, Bennett DA, Boxall R, et al. Lipids, lipoproteins, and metabolites and risk of myocardial infarction and stroke. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(6):620–32.
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem. 1972;18(6):499–502.
- Kontush A. HDL particle number and size as predictors of cardiovascular disease. Front Pharmacol. 2015;6:218.
- 32. Watanabe H, Söderlund S, Soro-Paavonen A, Hiukka A, Leinonen E, Alagona C, et al. Decreased High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) Particle Size,

- $Pre\beta$ -, and Large HDL Subspecies Concentration in Finnish Low-HDL Families. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26(4):897–902.
- Pascot A, Lemieux I, Prud'homme D, Tremblay A, Nadeau A, Couillard C, et al. Reduced HDL particle size as an additional feature of the atherogenic dyslipidemia of abdominal obesity. J Lipid Res. 2001;42(12):2007–14.
- Tang H, Xiang Z, Li L, Shao X, Zhou Q, You X, et al. Potential role of antiinflammatory HDL subclasses in metabolic unhealth/obesity. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2021;49(1):565–75.
- Duparc T, Ruidavets J-B, Genoux A, Ingueneau C, Najib S, Ferrières J, et al. Serum level of HDL particles are independently associated with longterm prognosis in patients with coronary artery disease: the GENES study. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):8138.
- Garvey WT, Kwon S, Zheng D, Shaughnessy S, Wallace P, Hutto A, et al. Effects of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes on lipoprotein subclass particle size and concentration determined by nuclear magnetic resonance. Diabetes. 2003;52(2):453–62.
- Superko HR, Pendyala L, Williams PT, Momary KM, King SB, Garrett BC. High-density lipoprotein subclasses and their relationship to cardiovascular disease. J Clin Lipidol. 2012;6(6):496–523.
- Dobiasova M, Stribrna J, Pritchard PH, Frohlich JJ. Cholesterol esterification rate in plasma depleted of very low and low density lipoproteins is controlled by the proportion of HDL2 and HDL3 subclasses: study in hypertensive and normal middle-aged and septuagenarian men. J Lipid Res. 1992;33(10):1411–8.
- Ebbert JO, Jensen MD. Fat depots, free fatty acids, and dyslipidemia. Nutrients. 2013;5(2):498–508.
- 40. Assmann G, Nofer J-R. Atheroprotective effects of high-density lipoproteins. Annu Rev Med. 2003;54(1):321–41.
- Wang N, Lan D, Chen W, Matsuura F, Tall AR. ATP-binding cassette transporters G1 and G4 mediate cellular cholesterol efflux to high-density lipoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101(26):9774–9.
- 42. Kennedy MA, Barrera GC, Nakamura K, Baldán Á, Tarr P, Fishbein MC, et al. ABCG1 has a critical role in mediating cholesterol efflux to HDL and preventing cellular lipid accumulation. Cell Metab. 2005;1(2):121–31.
- Magge SN, Stettler N, Koren D, Levitt Katz LE, Gallagher PR, Mohler ER III, et al. Adiponectin is associated with favorable lipoprotein profile, independent of BMI and insulin resistance, in adolescents. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(5):1549–54.
- Rajalahti T, Aadland E, Resaland GK, Anderssen SA, Kvalheim OM. Cardiometabolic associations between physical activity, adiposity, and lipoprotein subclasses in prepubertal Norwegian children. Nutrients. 2021;13(6):2095.
- Nakajima K, Tanaka A. Atherogenic postprandial remnant lipoproteins;
 VLDL remnants as a causal factor in atherosclerosis. Clin Chim Acta. 2018;478:200–15.
- Balling M, Afzal S, Varbo A, Langsted A, Davey Smith G, Nordestgaard BG. VLDL cholesterol accounts for one-half of the risk of myocardial infarction associated with apoB-containing lipoproteins. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76(23):2725–35.
- Liu M, Chung S, Shelness GS, Parks JS. Hepatic ABCA1 deficiency is associated with delayed apolipoprotein B secretory trafficking and augmented VLDL triglyceride secretion. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2017;1862(10, Part A):1035–43.
- Babashamsi MM, Koukhaloo SZ, Halalkhor S, Salimi A, Babashamsi M. ABCA1 and metabolic syndrome; a review of the ABCA1 role in HDL-VLDL production, insulin-glucose homeostasis, inflammation and obesity. Diabetes Metab Syndr. 2019;13(2):1529–34.
- Fang W, Chen Q, Cui K, Chen Q, Li X, Xu N, et al. Lipid overload impairs hepatic VLDL secretion via oxidative stress-mediated PKCδ-HNF4α-MTP pathway in large yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea). Free Radical Biol Med. 2021;172:213–25.
- Gaspard UJ, Gottal J-M, van den Brûle FA. Postmenopausal changes of lipid and glucose metabolism: a review of their main aspects. Maturitas. 1995;21(3):171–8.
- Fonseca MIH, da Silva IT, Ferreira SRG. Impact of menopause and diabetes on atherogenic lipid profile: is it worth to analyse lipoprotein subfractions to assess cardiovascular risk in women? Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2017;9(1):22.
- Carr MC. The emergence of the metabolic syndrome with menopause. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003;88(6):2404–11.

- 53. Ko S-H, Kim H-S. Menopause-associated lipid metabolic disorders and foods beneficial for postmenopausal women. Nutrients. 2020;12(1):202.
- 54. Litvinov D, Mahini H, Garelnabi M. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory role of paraoxonase 1: implication in arteriosclerosis diseases. N Am J Med Sci. 2012;4(11):523–32.
- 55. Mogarekar MR, Kulkarni SK. Small dense low density lipoprotein cholesterol, paraoxonase 1 and lipid profile in postmenopausal women: quality or quantity? Arch Med Res. 2015;46(7):534–8.
- 56. Lovegrove JA, Silva K, Wright JW, Williams CM. Adiposity, insulin and lipid metabolism in post-menopausal women. Int J Obes. 2002;26(4):475–86.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

