



Letter to the Editor

## High rate of confirmatory penicillin MIC test after oxacillin disk screening for invasive pneumococcal disease



Dear Editor,

Pneumococcal infection is associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality, especially in children and the elderly, necessitating prompt reporting of penicillin susceptibility for effective management of patients [1,2]. According to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines, an oxacillin zone size  $\geq 20$  mm demonstrates susceptibility to penicillin, whereas the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of penicillin and cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, or meropenem should be determined for isolates with an oxacillin zone size  $\leq 19$  mm [3]. We found that the rate of confirmatory MIC testing was high during the Korea Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (Kor-GLASS) project [4]. Therefore, we evaluated the efficiency of the oxacillin disk-diffusion test as a screening method.

A total of 217 non-duplicated *S. pneumoniae* isolates obtained between 2016 and 2022 in Korea were included in this study. All pneumococcal isolates were isolated from blood cultures. The collection and analysis of all strains were conducted using Kor-GLASS. For susceptibility testing, 1- $\mu$ g oxacillin disks (BD 2321319; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and the MicroScan MICroSTREP panel (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Sacramento, CA, USA) were used. The oxacillin disk test was performed on Mueller–Hinton agar supplemented with 5 % defibrinated sheep blood (Micromedia, Korea), and the test samples were incubated for 20 h at 35 °C in a 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The results were interpreted according to the CLSI guidelines for non-meningitis ( $S \leq 2$   $\mu$ g/mL). If the oxacillin zone size of inhibition was  $\geq 20$  mm, the *S. pneumoniae* isolate was considered susceptible to penicillin. *S. pneumoniae* ATCC 49619 was used for quality control in this study. We analyzed the efficiency of the oxacillin disk test by calculating the number of confirmatory MIC tests and by comparing the results of the oxacillin disk zone size with the MICs of penicillin.

Of the 217 isolates, 44 showed an oxacillin zone size  $\geq 20$  mm, and all were susceptible to penicillin in the MIC tests, as expected. The MIC values for all isolates were  $\leq 0.03$   $\mu$ g/mL, except for one isolate that showed an MIC value of 0.12  $\mu$ g/mL (Table 1). On the basis of these results, we concluded that the oxacillin disk test is a good predictive tool. However, only 20.3 % (44/217) of the isolates that demonstrated an oxacillin zone size of  $\geq 20$  mm were determined to be penicillin-susceptible by the oxacillin disk test, whereas the remaining 173 isolates (79.7 %) with a zone size of  $\leq 19$  mm showed indeterminate findings requiring confirmatory MICs. Thus, 390 tests (217 oxacillin-screening tests and 173 confirmatory MIC tests) were eventually conducted to confirm penicillin

susceptibility in 217 *S. pneumoniae* isolates. Surprisingly, among the 36 recently collected isolates from 2021 to 2022, only one showed an oxacillin zone size  $\geq 20$  mm. Thus, almost all recent strains required confirmatory MICs tests, which increased costs, required more labor, and delayed the final report.

Among the 173 isolates showing a zone size of  $\leq 19$  mm, 55.0 % ( $n = 95$ ), 35.3 % ( $n = 61$ ), and 9.8 % ( $n = 17$ ) were confirmed to be susceptible, intermediate, and resistant to penicillin, respectively. Interestingly, most strains showed no inhibition zone around the oxacillin disk, with the exception of 13 strains (disk diameter = 6 mm). Horna et al. [5] reported that 253 of 302 strains with a zone size of  $\leq 19$  mm were confirmed as susceptible, similar to our findings. While Jette et al. [6] suggested that the absence of an inhibition zone around the oxacillin disk could be regarded as an indicator of resistance to penicillin, we confirmed that 83 of the 160 strains that showed no inhibition zones were susceptible to penicillin. We assume that this difference is due to the change in the breakpoint for penicillin in 2008.

**Table 1**

Comparison of zone of inhibition of the oxacillin disk diffusion test to minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of penicillin.

Zone diameter	Penicillin MIC ( $\mu$ g/mL)									Total
	$\leq 0.03$	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	$> 4$	
Oxacillin (mm)										
40	1									1
38	1									1
34	1									1
33	2									2
30	5									5
29	3									3
28	9									9
25	7									7
24	3									3
23	4									4
22	2		1							3
20	4									4
17		1								1
16		1								1
15		1								1
13				1						1
11			2							2
10			1	1				1		3
8							1			1
6				4	1	20	43	48	12	128
Total	42	3	4	6	1	20	44	49	12	181

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2023.02.010>

1876-0341/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

In conclusion, oxacillin disk screening increases costs, delays reporting, and requires many additional MIC tests. In addition, since the oxacillin disk result may be the sole screening tool in developing countries, this approach may yield false predictions of resistance if the laboratory cannot perform confirmatory MICs tests. Therefore, we recommend that proceeding with the MIC test initially may be a more efficient approach than oxacillin disk screening for evaluating penicillin susceptibility of *S. pneumoniae*.

### Funding

This study was supported by the Research Program funded by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (grant 2020E210600) and a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), Ministry of Health & Welfare, Korea (grant number: HR21C1003).

### Ethical approval

Not required.

### Competing interests

None declared.

### References

- [1] Walker CLF, Rudan I, Liu L, Nair H, Theodoratou E, Bhutta ZA, et al. Global burden of childhood pneumonia and diarrhoea. *Lancet* 2013;381:1405–16.
- [2] Park DC, Kim SH, Yong D, Suh IB, Kim YR, Yi J, et al. Serotype distribution and antimicrobial resistance of invasive and noninvasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates in Korea between 2014 and 2016. *Ann Lab Med* 2019;39:537–44.
- [3] CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing M100, 31th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2021.
- [4] Kim D, Yoon EJ, Hong JS, Choi MH, Kim HS, Kim YR, et al. Major bloodstream infection-causing bacterial pathogens and their antimicrobial resistance in South Korea, 2017–2019: phase I report from Kor-GLASS. *Front Microbiol* 2021;12:799084.
- [5] Horna G, Molero ML, Benites L, Roman S, Carbajal L, Mercado E, et al. Oxacillin disk diffusion testing for the prediction of penicillin resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 2016;40:57–63.
- [6] Jette LP, Sinave C. Use of an oxacillin disk screening test for detection of penicillin- and ceftriaxone-resistant pneumococci. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999;37:1178–81.

Si Hyun Kim<sup>a</sup>, Gyu Ri Kim<sup>b</sup>, Young Ree Kim<sup>c</sup>, Young Ah Kim<sup>d</sup>,  
Hyun Soo Kim<sup>e</sup>, Kyeong Seob Shin<sup>f</sup>, Jong Hee Shin<sup>g</sup>, Young Uh<sup>h</sup>,  
Seok Hoon Jeong<sup>i</sup>, Namhee Ryoo<sup>j</sup>, Jeong Hwan Shin<sup>b,k,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory Science, Semyung University,  
Jecheon, the Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Inje University College of  
Medicine and Paik Institute for Clinical Research, Busan, the Republic  
Korea

<sup>c</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine, Jeju National  
University, Jeju, the Republic of Korea

<sup>d</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, National Health Insurance Service  
Ilsan Hospital, Goyang, the Republic of Korea

<sup>e</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Hallym University College of  
Medicine, Chuncheon, the Republic of Korea

<sup>f</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Chungbuk National University  
College of Medicine, Cheongju, the Republic of Korea

<sup>g</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Chonnam National University  
Medical School, Gwangju, the Republic of Korea

<sup>h</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College  
of Medicine, Wonju, the Republic of Korea

<sup>i</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine and Research Institute of Bacterial  
Resistance, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, the Republic of  
Korea

<sup>j</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Keimyung University School of  
Medicine, Daegu, the Republic of Korea

<sup>k</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, Inje University Busan Paik  
Hospital, Busan, the Republic of Korea

E-mail address: [jhsmile@paik.ac.kr](mailto:jhsmile@paik.ac.kr) (J.H. Shin).

Received 27 December 2022

Received in revised form 1 February 2023

Accepted 9 February 2023

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Laboratory Medicine, Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, 75 Bokjiro, Busanjin-gu, Busan, 47392, the Republic of Korea.