EMPIRICAL RESEARCH QUANTITATIVE

Revised: 8 December 2022

• WILEY

Factors affecting self-care among community-dwelling hypertensive older adults: A cross-sectional study

Soo Youn Jung 💿 | Kyoung Ja Moon 💿

College of Nursing, Keimyung University, Daegu, Korea

Correspondence

Kyoung Ja Moon, College of Nursing, Keimyung University, 1095 Dalgubeoldaero, Daegu 42601, Korea. Email: kjmoon2150@kmu.ac.kr

Funding information

This research was supported by the Bisa Research Grant of Keimyung University in 2021

Abstract

Aim: To examine self-care behaviours among older adults with hypertension and identify related factors, including cognitive function, religious belief and comorbidities. **Design:** A cross-sectional study.

Methods: Self-care behaviours included diet and health. Participants completed a survey including items on demographics, disease-related characteristics and self-care behaviours and underwent cognitive function tests. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, and multiple regression analysis was performed to analyse the factors affecting self-care.

Results: Regarding diet behaviour, older religious adults and those with higher scores on the Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version had higher levels of self-care scores according to the HBP-SC. Regarding health behaviour, older adults with no comorbidities had higher levels of self-care scores according to the HBP-SC.

Conclusion: Factors affecting self-care diet behaviour include religion and Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version scores and those affecting health behaviour include comorbidities among older adults with hypertension. Therefore, to improve their self-care behaviours, their religious practices and comorbidities should be considered, cognitive function should be assessed, and tailored education should be provided.

Implications for the Profession and/or Patient Care: This study investigated factors affecting self-care behaviours of hypertensive older adults in South Korea. The self-care was divided into diet and health behaviours. The factors influencing diet behaviour were religion and Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version scores, and the factor influencing health behaviour was comorbidities. We also investigated self-care behaviour patterns. Older adults with hypertension were good at controlling alcohol consumption and did not forget to fill prescriptions. However, they were poor at reading nutrition labels to check on sodium content and checking blood pressure at home. Therefore, nurses could develop interventions considering these influencing factors and behavioural patterns to improve self-care behaviours and enhance health for older adults with hypertension.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. *Nursing Open* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Impact: Hypertension in older adults affects their health conditions and performance of self-care behaviours. Nurses could assess self-care based on diet and health behaviours. Additionally, further developing tailored programmes is recommended considering factors like religious belief, cognitive function and comorbidities.
 Reporting Method: This study followed the STROBE guidelines.
 Patient or Public Contribution: This study used a convenience sample of 105 participants aged ≥ 65 years recruited from a Korean hospital.
 KEYWORDS aged, cognitive dysfunction, hypertension, self-care

1 | INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a risk factor for cardio-cerebrovascular disease, and its prevalence is increasing in ageing populations globally owing to poor lifestyle habits as well as social and environmental factors, thus making it a major health concern (Mills et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2013). In South Korea, 64.3% of older adults are hypertensive, which is about 60%–70% in various parts of the world, including Japan, Italy and the United States, indicating its high prevalence worldwide (Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020; Muli et al., 2020; Turana et al., 2021). The prevalence of hypertension among South Koreans aged 65 years or older in 2018 was 5.5 and 3.1 times higher than that of adults in their 30s and 40s, respectively, indicating that it increases with age, affecting over half of the population within this age group (Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020).

Self-care is defined as 'the actions individuals take to lead a healthy lifestyle; to meet their social, emotional and psychological needs; to care for their long-term condition; and to prevent further illness' (Kennedy et al., 2007). Self-care is accepted as a practical endeavour because it is directed towards specific regulations of human functioning and development through deliberate result-seeking actions under existent or changing environmental conditions (Denyes et al., 2001). In this article, we use a definition of self-care that addresses both prevention and management of chronic illness, with the core elements of self-care maintenance, monitoring and management (Riegel et al., 2012). Therefore, for older adults with hypertension, identifying the factors affecting self-care is necessary to lead a healthy lifestyle and regulate hypertension.

2 | BACKGROUND

The predominant type of hypertension among older adults is isolated systolic hypertension, in which the elasticity of blood vessels decreases due to ageing, with the resulting stiffness increasing systolic blood pressure and decreasing diastolic blood pressure (Oliveros et al., 2020). Therefore, older adults with hypertension are at a high risk of developing complications, such as cardio-cerebrovascular and kidney diseases. Lowering the blood pressure of patients prone to

What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?

- Self-care for hypertension could be assessed by dividing them into diet and health behaviours.
- 2. Cognitive function needs to be considered in self-care behaviours for older adults with hypertension.
- To improve self-care behaviours in hypertensive older adults, nurses could provide tailored programmes considering the influencing factors.

complications associated with hypertension may reduce mortality. Therefore, engaging in healthy diet and lifestyle habits is important to maintain health and cope with hypertension (Benetos et al., 2019; Oliveros et al., 2020).

As hypertension is a chronic disease, implementing preventive self-care measures is important for patients to prevent and treat complications associated with it (Kushner & Sorensen, 2013). Hypertension self-care behaviours include following a diet and healthy lifestyle habits such as smoking cessation, drinking in moderation (e.g.,12 oz of regular beer or 5 oz of wine per day), home blood pressure monitoring, weight control, physical activity, medication compliance and stress management (Whelton et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018). Various environmental exposures, including components of diet and physical activity, influence blood pressure. Additionally, diet is regarded as a risk factor for hypertension and a non-pharmacological intervention strategy (Whelton et al., 2018). Diet and obesity can be controlled to an extent by following the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet (Filippou et al., 2020). Adopting the DASH diet, which is rich in vegetables, fruits and low-fat dairy products, was found to significantly reduce blood pressure (Shimbo, 2016).

The blood pressure guidelines of the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Society of Hypertension (ESH) regard hypertension in older adults as a special consideration owing to the age, frailty and comorbidities of the patients (Whelton et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018). Older adults are at higher risk of falls, cognitive decline and comorbidities, and thus, their blood pressure can decrease owing to consumption of multiple medications (Whelton et al., 2018). Therefore, self-care to maintain blood pressure through non-pharmacological interventions, such as having a healthy lifestyle, is important (Whelton et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018).

Older adults with hypertension may experience self-care limitations owing to their impaired cognitive function or may have a significantly increased prevalence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Anto et al., 2019; Oliveros et al., 2020; Whelton et al., 2018). Cognitive impairment has the potential to not only interfere with but also hinder blood pressure self-monitoring (Lovell et al., 2019). Therefore, testing cognitive function is important to identify the self-care ability of older adults.

Effective performance of self-care behaviours necessary for preventing or managing hypertension requires identifying multiple individual barriers (Riegel et al., 2012). According to past studies, factors that affect self-care behaviours in older adults include diabetes, marital status, education, age and gender in the United States (Douglas & Howard, 2015); age, gender, employment status, diabetes and obesity in South Korea (Kim & Son, 2012); age, educational level and living situation in Poland (Uchmanowicz et al., 2018); and gender, educational level, economic status and religious beliefs in Taiwan (Bai et al., 2009). Moreover, older adults with chronic diseases may experience problems with self-care behaviours because of cognitive deficits (Sinclair et al., 2000; Uchmanowicz et al., 2017). However, there are few studies on cognitive function as an influencing factor in older adults with hypertension (Klymko et al., 2011). Therefore, identifying the factors essential for promoting self-care behaviours in older adults with hypertension is necessary.

Previous studies investigated the influencing factors for hypertension self-care. Some studies in Korea used a questionnaire for the self-care behaviour of hypertensive patient and identified empowerment, social support, depression, perceived severity, income and gender as influencing factors (Chang & Lee, 2015; Jeong et al., 2017; Lee, 1995). Using 'the Hill-Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy Scale (Hill-Bone CHBPTS)' measured adherence and identified age, educational level and living with the family (Kim et al., 2000; Uchmanowicz et al., 2018). Furthermore, sex, age, educational status and disease duration were identified as risk factors using the Hypertension Self-Care Activity Level Effects (H-scale) (Genremichael et al., 2019; Warren-Findlow & Seymour, 2011). However, few studies have investigated the factors affecting selfcare in older adults with hypertension. Therefore, more studies focusing on such factors are needed.

In this study, the Hypertension Self-Care Behaviour Scale (HBP-SC) has been divided into diet and health (An et al., 2017). The HBP-SC behaviour items are associated with self-care maintenance, monitoring and management as the core elements of self-care. Self-care maintenance refers to those behaviours performed to preserve health or maintain physical stability by preparing healthy food, smoking cessation and taking medication as prescribed. Self-care monitoring is a process of routine, vigilant body monitoring by

monitoring blood pressure. Self-care management involves an evaluation of changes in physical signs and symptoms by regularly visiting a doctor (Riegel et al., 2012). Hence, this study investigates self-care and analyses the subcategories of diet and health behaviours in community-dwelling older adults with hypertension. Furthermore, it investigates and determines the factors affecting self-care, including cognitive function.

3 | THE STUDY

3.1 | Aim and objective

- Determining self-care and analysing the subcategories of diet and health behaviours in community-dwelling older adults with hypertension.
- Investigating the factors affecting self-care, including cognitive function.

4 | METHODS

4.1 | Design

This cross-sectional study was conducted in S General Hospital, with an average of 800 outpatients per day, located in Sangju City (small and medium-sized city with a population of 100,000), South Korea, from October 2019 to May 2020. The study participants comprised 105 community-dwelling adults aged 65–91 years. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for observational studies were followed (Appendix S1).

4.2 | Sampling and recruitment

The participants were adults aged 65 years or older who visited the outpatient clinic at S General Hospital, received treatment for hypertension and agreed to participate in this study by providing written consent after its purpose was explained.

4.3 | Sample size and power

The number of participants required for this study was calculated to be 103 after performing a multiple regression analysis with a significance level of 0.05, a power of 0.80 and an effect size of 0.15 (medium) using G*Power and seven predictors (i.e., demographic status, education level, body mass index [BMI], disease-related characteristics, comorbidities, Korean Mini-Mental State Examination [K-MMSE] and Montreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version [MoCA-K]) (Douglas & Howard, 2015; Faul et al., 2009; Goldstein et al., 2017; Green, 1991; Harkness et al., 2014; Jeong et al., 2017). Considering a dropout rate of 10%

NursingOpen

e selected. Of them, participants were inparticipants were inindicates normal cognition, ≥ 18 to ≤ 23 points indicate MCI, and ≤ 17 points indicate dementia. In terms of reliability, the K-MMSE had Cronbach's α of 0.90 in a recent study (Jung et al., 2021) and 0.74 in this study. The MoCA-K is a tool for screening MCI that was modified and translated into Korean to be suitable for the Korean culture and linguistic characteristics by Kang et al. (2009), based on the original MoCA developed by Nasreddine et al. (2005). This tool comprises items on visuospatial ability/executive function, language ability, memory/delayed recall, attention, abstraction and orientation, amounting to a total score of 30 points. One point is added to a respondent's score if they have 6 years or less of formal education.

amounting to a total score of 30 points. One point is added to a respondent's score if they have 6 years or less of formal education. A score of 23 points or higher is considered normal, whereas 22 points or lower is indicative of MCI. The reliability of the MoCA-K revealed Cronbach's α of 0.84 during its translation and modification and 0.79 in this study.

4.6.3 | Hypertension self-care scale

The HBP-SC Behaviour Scale was translated and modified for Korean older adults by An et al. (2017) based on the Hypertension Self-Care Profile developed by Han et al. (2014). It comprises 20 items, including 11 diet-related items that assess the respondents' habits of checking nutrition labels, sodium intake, total calories and alcohol consumption, and nine items related to health behaviour including exercise, smoking cessation, antihypertensive medication compliance and regular health screening. Each item is rated on a 4point Likert scale, with scores ranging from 20 to 80 points. Higher scores indicate better self-care behaviours.

The reliability of the HBP-SC Behaviour Scale revealed Cronbach's α of 0.92 for HBP-SC (total score), 0.91 for HBP-SC diet and 0.85 for HBP-SC health behaviour in a prior study (An et al., 2017); in this study, Cronbach's α values were 0.73, 0.77 and 0.65, respectively.

4.7 | Data analysis

Descriptive statistical analyses were performed to investigate the general characteristics, hypertension-related characteristics and cognitive function of the participants. The differences in their self-care behaviours based on their characteristics were analysed using a *t*-test or analysis of variance. A post hoc test was performed using Scheffé's method. The correlations between the participants' characteristics and hypertension-related selfcare behaviours were analysed using Pearson's correlation coefficients. Multiple regression analysis (enter linear regression, with an exit threshold of 0.10, β estimations and tests to zero by *t*-test) was used to explore the factors affecting participants' hypertension-related self-care behaviours. The multicollinearity

(Sharma et al., 2020), 110 participants were selected. Of them, five had incomplete information; thus, 105 participants were included in this study.

4.4 | Population and sample

4.4.1 | Inclusion and/or exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: participants had to (1) be adults aged 65 years or older who could communicate verbally and nonverbally (gestures), (2) have a systolic blood pressure of \geq 140 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure of \geq 90 mmHg after taking rest for at least 5 min in the outpatient clinic (Whelton et al., 2018), (3) have been diagnosed with hypertension by an internist and (4) have been taking antihypertensive drugs for more than 6 months. The exclusion criterion was participants with secondary hypertension. Secondary causes of hypertension include primary aldosteronism, renovascular hypertension, Cushing's syndrome and hypothyroid hypertension, which are treated through surgical or medical elimination (Streeten et al., 1990).

4.5 | Data collection

Data were collected after explaining the study's purpose and process to the hospital authorities and obtaining their permission. After receiving consent from the participant' or their family member, a one-on-one survey using a questionnaire that examined the participants' characteristics, cognitive function and hypertension-related self-care behaviours was conducted by the researcher in a counselling room next to the internal medicine outpatient clinic.

4.6 | Instrument with validity and reliability

4.6.1 | Population characteristics

The demographic characteristics section of the survey included eight items regarding age, sex, educational level, religion, marital status, living with family, primary caregiver and BMI. The disease-related section consisted of three items regarding the duration of hypertension, number of hypertensive drugs used and comorbidities.

4.6.2 | Cognitive function measures

Older adults' cognitive function was measured using the K-MMSE and MoCA-K (Shen et al., 2016; Trzepacz et al., 2015). The K-MMSE is a tool for screening dementia that was modified and translated into Korean by Kang et al. (1997) based on the MMSE developed by Folstein et al. (1975). It consists of items related to time and of independent variables was examined by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF). To avoid multicollinearity bias in linear regression, variables with VIF exceeding 10 must be discarded (Schroeder et al., 1990). In this study, the VIF of each independent variable was less than 10.

The general significance level was set to 0.05. The reliability of the HBP-SC Behaviour Scale, K-MMSE and MoCA-K was analysed based on their Cronbach's α values. The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows (version 22.0; IBM Corporation).

4.8 | Ethical considerations

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of K University located in Daegu City, South Korea (40525-201906-HR-029-02). After the purpose, procedure and time required for this study were explained to the participants or a family member, informed consent for study participation was obtained. This study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

5 | RESULTS

5.1 | Demographic, disease-related and cognitive characteristics

The participants' characteristics and cognitive function are shown in Table 1. The majority of participants, 56.2%, were women. Their mean age was 76.17 years, with the highest proportion, 49.5%, being in their 70s. Most participants, 74.3%, had received no or only primary education. Overall, 61.9% reported having religious affiliations specifically, Buddhism 30.5%, Catholicism 8.6% and Christianity 22.9%, whereas 38.1% did not. In terms of BMI, 39.0% had normal weight, 33.4% were overweight and 27.6% were obese. Regarding their marital status, 57.1% had a spouse, and regarding living with family, 65.7% lived with their families. Although 34.3% of participants mentioned their spouses as a primary caregiver, 43.8% mentioned themselves. In terms of disease-related characteristics, the mean duration of hypertension was 10.85 years, and the mean number of antihypertensive medications was 1.46. Moreover, 85.7% of the participants had multiple disorders. The types of comorbidities included diabetes 45.7%, cerebrovascular disease 23.8%, cardiovascular disease 13.3% and kidney disease 7.6% (multiple responses). In terms of their K-MMSE scores, 41% of participants were normal, 53.3% had MCI, and 5.7% had dementia, with scores ranging from 10 to 30 points (mean, 22.83 points). MoCA-K scores were obtained for 99 participants, excluding the six with dementia as identified by the K-MMSE. The results revealed that 29.5% of the participants were normal and 64.8% had MCI, with MoCA-K scores ranging from 11 to 29 points (mean, 19.82 points).

5.2 | Differences in self-care behaviours by characteristics

Comparisons of hypertension self-care behaviours based on demographic and disease-related characteristics are shown in Table 2. The HBP-SC diet scores for those with and without religious affiliations were 29.35 and 27.70 points, respectively (F = 2.36; p = 0.020). The HBP-SC health behaviour scores were higher among those with secondary education or higher educational qualifications (F = 3.20; p = 0.001), married individuals (F = 2.76; p = 0.007) and those living with their families (t = 3.16; p = 0.002). In terms of comorbidities, the HBP-SC health behaviour scores were higher among those without comorbidities (F = 2.72; p = 0.008), whereas the HBP-SC behaviour (total) scores were higher among those with secondary education or higher educational qualifications (t = -3.28; p = 0.004), those living with their families (F = 2.08; p = 0.040) and those without comorbidities (F = 2.13; p = 0.036).

The characteristics of each item on the HBP-SC Behaviour Scale are shown in Table 3. With respect to HBP-SC diet, the score for the item 'Practice moderation in drinking alcohol daily' was the highest at 3.76 points, whereas the score for the item 'Read nutrition labels to check information on sodium content' was the lowest at 1.15 points. With respect to HBP-SC health behaviour, the score for the item 'Not forgetting to fill your prescriptions' (reversely scored) was the highest at 3.90 points, whereas the score for the item 'Do you check your blood pressure at home?' was the lowest at 2.17 points. The mean scores for HBP-SC diet, health behaviour and behaviour (total) were 28.72, 29.04 and 57.78 points, respectively.

5.3 | Relationship between self-care behaviours and related factors

MoCA-K scores were significantly correlated with HBP-SC diet scores (r = 0.27; p < 0.010), HBP-SC health behaviour scores (r = 0.32; p < 0.010) and HBP-SC behaviour (total) scores (r = 0.35; p < 0.001) in older adults with hypertension. K-MMSE scores were significantly correlated with HBP-SC health behaviour scores (r = 0.26; p < 0.010) (Table 4).

5.4 | Factors affecting self-care Behaviours among older adults with hypertension

Only significant independent variables were included in the multiple linear regression analysis. Examining the fit of the regression model revealed that the VIF was in the range of 1.02–6.99 (smaller than 10), and it appropriated multicollinearity between the variables.

The results of the multiple regression analysis to determine factors affecting self-care behaviours among older adults with hypertension revealed that religion ($\beta = 0.27$; SE = 0.69; p = 0.007) and MoCA-K scores ($\beta = 0.31$; SE = 0.08; p = 0.002) significantly affected HBP-SC diet, and the explanatory power of these factors was

TABLE 1 Demographic, disease-related and cognitive characteristics (N = 105). Characteristics Categories n(x) M± sD (range) Demographic Gender Femala 59 (56.2) Male 64 (43.3) 7.47± 6.54 (65-91) Age (vers1) 56-09 21 (20.0) 70.47± 6.54 (65-91) Age (vers1) 65-07 21 (20.0) 70.47± 6.54 (65-91) Bell 90000 21 (20.0) 70.47± 6.54 (65-91) Ferries 800 32 (30.5) 50000 Education 10000 21 (22.9) 7.47± 6.54 (65-91) Type of religions Buddhiom 32 (30.5) 23.72± 3.43 Carloticitism 9 (80.4) (14.0-97.5) (14.0-97.5) BMI (kg/m ³) ⁶ Buddhiom 35 (33.4) (14.0-97.5) Carcegiver types 50 souce (1) 45 (42.9) (14.0-97.5) Living with family Vers 69 (65.7) (14.0-97.5) Carcegiver types 50 souce (1) 61 (43.8) 10.45± 7.66 (1-40) Education 11 42 (40.9) 10.45± 7.66 (1-40) BMI (meridition 12 26 (24.8) 10.0 10.85± 7.66 (1-4)	JUNG and MOON		NursingC	Open Open Acces	-WILEY 3897
Demographic Gender Paide 846438 Age (years) 65-69 21200 76.17±6.54(65-91) Age (years) 65-69 21200 76.17±6.54(65-91) Religion 7017±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) Religion 7017±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) Religion 7017±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) Religion 7017±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) 76.17±6.54(65-91) Religion 7014 76.14 76.14 76.14 Religion 7014 76.14 76.14 76.14 Religion 7014 76.14 76.14 76.14 Religion 7014 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 Religion 7000 76.13 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 Religion 7000 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.14 76.1	TABLE 1 Demographic, disease-related and cognitive characteristics ($N = 105$).	Characteristics	Categories	n (%)	$M \pm SD$ (range)
GenderFemale956.2)Mai46(38)Age (years)62(30)20.702145.170-792145.1Education70.77Education82(30.5)Education82(30.5)Figion82(30.7)Type of religion81041.1Non02(38.1)Christianity21(20.2)Marital status80(30.1)BMI (kg/m ²)*NoraNora01(32.1)BMI (kg/m ²)*NoraOverweight35(34)Christianity13(30.2)Education50048(1)BMI (kg/m ²)*80048(1)Marital status50048(1)Education21(20.2)Marital status80438.1Caregiver types201467Education21(20.1)Porace-related21(20.1)Mumber of HBP*10Mumber of HBP*21(20.1)Mumber of HBP*21(20.1) <tr< td=""><td></td><td>Demographic</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>		Demographic			
Male40 (40,3)Age (years)63-6721 (20,0)70-7923 (24,5)8023 (24,5)EducationPrimary ornone21 (25,7)ReligionNormal40 (93,1)Type of religionsBuddhism21 (23,0)Type of religionsBuddhism21 (23,0)Type of religionsBuddhism21 (23,0)Normal40 (93,1)21 (23,0)Type of religionsBuddhism21 (23,0)Normal40 (23,1)14 (24,0)BMI (ps/m ³) ¹ Normal41 (23,0)Soute (-1)35 (34,0)140-39.5)Okase29 (27,6)140-39.5)Marital statusSpouse (-1)43 (24,0)Soute (-1)Spouse (-1)43 (42,0)Boute (-1)21 (20,0)140-39.5)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)33 (34,3)Soute (-1)21 (20,0)140-39.5)Diracar-related11 (24,0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (4) (40,0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (4) (24,0)1.65 0.81 (-1)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (20,0)1.65 0.81 (-1)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (24,0)1.65 0.81 (-1)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (20,0)1.65 0.81 (-1)Number of HBP ⁶ 10 (24,00)1.65 0.81 (-1)Number of HBP ⁶ 1		Gender	Female	59 (56.2)	
Age (years)65-9921 (20.0)76.17 ± 6.54 (65-91)70-7952 (49.5)B032 (30.5)EducationPrimary or none76 (74.3)Religion80 (dolta)27 (25.7)Religion80 (dolta)40 (38.1)Type of religions80 (dolta)21 (30.5)BM (fkg/m ²)*Autholiciant91 (8.4)Christianity40 (29.9)23.72 ± 3.43Ourweight35 (3.4)(14.0-39.5)BM (fkg/m ²)*Normal40 (39.0)BM (fkg/m ²)*Spouse (-1)45 (42.9)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)45 (42.9)Living with familyYes36 (3.3.3)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)45 (42.9)Caregiver typesSpouse (-2)45 (42.9)Plotaere-related21 (20.0)11 (10.4)Plotaere-related21 (20.0)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related11 (10.4)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related11 (10.4)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related12 (20.0)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related11 (10.4)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related11 (10.4)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related12 (20.0)14.6 ± 0.68 (1-4)Plotaere-related12 (2			Male	46 (43.8)	
20-7952 (49.5)Education120.35-Feducation120.75-ReligionVes65 (4.1)-No40 (38.1)Type of religions040hism21 (23.5)Type of religions040hism21 (23.5)Catholicism24 (22.9)14.10-39.5)Mornal40 (28.1)14.10-39.5)Mornal40 (38.1)14.10-39.5)Marria Istatus500ace (+)60 (57.1)Marria Istatus500ace (+)60 (57.1)Spouse (+)40 (36.2)14.0-39.5)Living with familyYes69 (65.7)Living with familyVes69 (57.7)Garegiver types500ace (+)21 (20.0)Caregiver types201440 (36.1)Disase-related21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Number of HBP ⁶ (years)1068 (64.8)14.65 ± 0.68 (1-4)Murdiation11 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation11 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation11 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation12 (10.0)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation13 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation13 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation13 (10.4)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation14 (10.3)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdiation14 (10.3)21 (20.0)10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Murdia		Age (years)	65-69	21 (20.0)	76.17±6.54 (65-91)
≥8022 (30.5)FducationPrimary or non72 (7.5.7)ReligionYes65 (6.19)Type of religionsBuddhism23 (20.5)Type of religionsBuddhism23 (20.5)BMI (kg/m ²)Ciristianity42 (29.5)BMI (kg/m ²)Normal42 (29.5)BMI (kg/m ²)Si (34.0)(1.037.5)BMI (kg/m ²)Si (34.0)(1.037.5)Ciriling with familySi (34.0)(1.037.5)Caregiver typesSi (34.0)(1.037.5)Bisease-relatedSi (24.0)(1.037.5)Disease-relatedSi (24.0)(1.037.5)Pinution of HBP ⁶ (years)Si (3.6)1.0.85±7.66 (140)Multiple responseSi (24.0)1.0.45±0.68 (14)Minutiple responseSi (24.0)(1.0.2)Types of comorbiditiesSi (24.0)(1.0.2)Multiple responseCarebrowacular2 (3.2)Multiple responseCarebrowacular2 (3.2)ComorbiditiesCarebrowacular2 (3.2)Multiple responseCarebrowacular2 (3.2)Multiple responseCarebrowacular2 (3.2)Ca			70-79	52 (49.5)	
EducationPrimary or nome98 (74.3) concorderReligion2023 concorderReligion40 (38.1)Type of religionsBuddhiam20.30 concorderRubeliciam20 (20.9)Christianity20 (20.9)Christianity20 (20.9)Marical statusObese20 (20.9)Marital statusObese (-0)5 (34.3)Caregiver types60 (63.3)500 (63.3)Caregiver types60 (63.3)500 (63.3)Enhold caregiver21 (20.0)500 (63.3)Caregiver types10 (64.0)10.65 (70.1)Discase-related21 (20.0)500 (63.1)Number of HBP ^b 10 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Number of HBP ^b 11 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Number of HBP ^b 12 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities12 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Types of comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (64.8)1.46 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities16 (67.3)1.62 (64.6)Comorbidities <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>≥80</td><td>32 (30.5)</td><td></td></td<>			≥80	32 (30.5)	
Secondary27 (25.7)Religion%565(1.7)Religion100303.1)Type of religionsBudthinn32 (30.5)Budthiranity24 (22.9)44 (22.9)Christianity40 (30.1)32.372.3.43BMI (kg/m ³) ⁴ Nornal41 (39.0)23.372.1.343BMI (kg/m ³) ⁴ Doeraweight30 (30.1)(14.0-39.5)Doeraweight30 (30.1)50.000(14.0-39.5)Marital statusSpouse (-)60 (57.1)(14.0-39.5)Caregiver typesSpouse (-)60 (63.2)(14.0-39.5)Caregiver typesSpouse (-)60 (43.3)(14.0-39.5)Caregiver typesSpouse (-)61 (43.3)(14.0-39.5)Duration of HBP ¹ (ser)21036 (40.3)1.085 ± 7.66 (1-40)Number of HBP ¹ 11063 (60.0)1.085 ± 7.66 (1-40)Number of HBP ¹ 12063 (64.8)1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ¹ 12063 (64.8)1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ¹ 12063 (64.8)1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ¹ 120110.131.60 ± 0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ¹ 120110.131.60 ± 0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ¹ 1201.61 ± 0.68 (1-4) <td></td> <td>Education</td> <td>Primary or none</td> <td>78 (74.3)</td> <td></td>		Education	Primary or none	78 (74.3)	
ReligionYes55 (51.9)No40(3.1)Type of religions2(30.5)Catholicism2(42.9)Catholicism4(32.9)Correction41(30)2.3.72±3.43Mormal11(30)2.3.72±3.43Mormal10(30)2.3.72±3.43Overweight5(33.4)(14.0-39.5)Marital statusSpouse (+1)61(5.7)Spouse (+1)60(5.7)500000Living with familyYes66(4.3)Caregiver typesSpouse (+1)61(4.3)Caregiver types10000036(4.3)Caregiver types1010001.0.85±7.66 (1-40)Direase-related212.001.45±0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ^b (yeas)111001.45±0.68 (1-4)Number of HBP ^b (yeas)111001.45±0.68 (1-4)Comorbidities110001.45±0.68 (1-4)Types of comorbidities100001.45±0.68 (1-4)Marcia25(3.2)1.45±0.68 (1-4)Comorbidities101001.45±0.68 (1-4)Types of comorbidities101001.45±0.68 (1-4)Cardiovascular213.201.45±0.68 (1-4)Types of comorbidities1.40±0.68 (1-4)1.45±0.68 (1-4)Comorbidities1.40±0.68 (1-4)1.41Comorbidities1.40±0.68 (1-4)1.41Cardiovascular213.201.45±0.68 (1-4)Comorbidities1.40±0.68 (1-4)1.41Marcia1.411.41Comorbidities1.411.41Comorbidities1			Secondary	27 (25.7)	
No40(36.1)Type of religionsBuddham2(2.5)Catholicany2(2.2)Christianity2(2.2)BMI (kg/m ²)Nora40(3.1)Overweight25(3.3)(14.0-39.5)Overweight25(3.3)(14.0-39.5)Overweight25(3.3)(14.0-39.5)Marital statusSpouse (-)25(3.3)Byouse (-)26(3.2)-Living with familyYea69 (65.7)Caregiver typesSpouse (-)36 (34.3)Caregiver typesSpouse (-)21 (20.3)Caregiver typesChildren21 (20.3)Diraction of HBP ⁵ (years)Alf (-2)-Duration of HBP ⁵ (years)Alf (-2)1.0.85 ± 7.66 (1-40)Mumber of HBP ⁶ 164 (64.3)-Number of HBP ⁶ (years)Alf (-2)-Mumber of HBP ⁶ (years)Alf (-2)- <td></td> <td>Religion</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>65 (61.9)</td> <td></td>		Religion	Yes	65 (61.9)	
Type of religionsBuddhism2(30.5)Catholicim9(8.4)Chinitatin9(8.4)Chinitatin9(3.2)None40(38.3)BMI (kg/m ²)*Normal41(39.0)BMI (kg/m ²)*Spouse (-1)Obese29(37.4)Obese60(57.1)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)Caregiver typesSpouse (-1)Caregiver types21(20.0)Disease-related21(20.0)Disease-related21(20.0)Disease-related21(20.0)Disease-related21(20.0)Number of HBP ¹ (years)210Number of HBP ¹ (years)21(20.0)Number of HBP ¹ (years)21(20.0)Spouse46 (43.6)Number of HBP ¹ (years)21(20.0)Number of HBP ¹ (years)21(20.0)Normal21(20.0)Normal21(20.0)Normal21(20.0)Normal21(20.0) <td></td> <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>40 (38.1)</td> <td></td>			No	40 (38.1)	
Catholicism9(8.4)Christianity24 (22.9)Nome40 (38.1)Normal41 (39.0)23.72 \pm 3.43(14.0-39.5)Desc29 (27.6)Marital status60 (57.1)Spouse (-1)69 (65.7)Living with familyYesQoue (-1)69 (63.7)Caregiver types36 (34.3)Caregiver types50 (36.3)Caregiver types60 (63.3)Disease-related21 (20.0)Disease-related21 (20.0)Number of HBP ^b (years)510 (64.8)Number of HBP ^b (years)13 (64.8)1168 (64.8)1226 (24.8)Nomedication20 (85.7)Types of comorbidities (multiple response)10 (84.3)Types of comorbidities (multiple response)10 (13.3)Types of comorbidities (multiple response)21 (20.0)Normal13 (21.3)Types of comorbidities 		Type of religions	Buddhism	32 (30.5)	
Christianity24(22)None40(38.1)Normail40(39.2)BMI (kg/m ²) ⁴ 50000Derever (kg/m ²) ⁴ 50000Marital status500000 (+) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-			Catholicism	9 (8.6)	
None 40 (38.1) BMI (kg/m ³) ⁴ 1(1900) 23.72 ± 3.43 Overweight 35 (33.4) (14.0-39.5) Obese 29 (27.6) (14.0-39.5) Marital status Spouse (-) 60 (57.1) Fungy with family Yes 69 (65.7) Living with family Yes 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse 36 (36.0) 1.085 ± 7.66 (1-40) Self-care 21 (20.0) 1.085 ± 7.66 (1-40) 21 (20.0) Diration of HBP ^b (years) \$10 63 (60.0) 1.085 ± 7.66 (1-40) Number of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Number of HBP ^b 1 63 (60.2) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Types of comorbidities No 51 (14.3) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) fundities Vestor 26 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) funchicati			Christianity	24 (22.9)	
BMI (kg/m ²)* Normal 41 (92,0) 23.72±3.43 Overweight 55 (33.4) (14.0-39.5) Obese 29 (27.6) 54 (42.9) Marital status Spouse (-) 45 (42.9) Living with family Yes 69 (65.7) Living with family Yes 69 (65.7) Caregiver types Spouse (-) 36 (34.3) Children 21 (20.0) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) Employed caregiver 21.9) 21.14 Self-care 46 (43.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) Duration of HBP ⁶ (years) 21 26 (24.8) Number of HBP ⁶ (years) 21 26 (24.8) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) Modication 25 (23.8) 14.6±0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) Morea 48 (45.7) 11 (10.4) Types of comorbidities Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) (multiple response) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) (Midey 8 (45.1) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) Mc1 ⁴ 56 (53.3) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) Mc1 ⁴			, None	40 (38.1)	
Overweight 35 (3.4) (14.0-39.5) Obese 29 (27.6) Marital status Spouse (+) 60 (57.1) Spouse (-) 45 (42.9) Living with family Yes 69 (57.7) Caregiver types Spouse 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse 21 (20.0) Employed caregive 21 (20.0) Employed caregive 21 (30.0) Self-care 21 (30.0) Self-care 21 (30.0) Number of HBP ^b (years) s10 63 (60.0) 10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40) s11 42 (40.0) 10.85 ± 7.66 (1-40) 21 (30.0) medication 2 26 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Careliovascular 15 (14.3) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Careliovascular 25 (23.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Careliovascular 25		BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	Normal	41 (39.0)	23.72+3.43
Obese 29 (27.6) Marital status Spouse (+) 60 (57.1) Spouse (-) 45 (42.9) Living with family Yes 69 (65.7) Living with family Yes 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse (-) 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse (-) 36 (34.3) Children 21 (20.0)		2	Overweight	35 (33.4)	(14.0-39.5)
Marital status Spouse (-) 6.0 (57.1) Spouse (-) 45 (42.9) Living with family Yes 69 (65.7) No 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse (-) 46 (43.8) Children 21 (20.0) Employed caregiver 21 (9.7) Self-care 46 (43.8) Disease-related			Obese	29 (27.6)	
Number of HBP ^b Spouse (-) 45 (42.9) Living with family Yes 69 (65.7) No 36 (34.3) Caregiver types Spouse 36 (34.3) Children 21 (20.0) Employed caregiver 21.9 Effecare 46 (43.8) Disease-related 21.9 Duration of HBP ^b (years) 210 43 (40.0) Number of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) Number of HBP ^b (years) 240 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Participant 22 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Spouse (-) 11 (10.4) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) Types of comorbidities 10 (16.3) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Types of comorbidities Carebrovascular 14 (13.3) (multiple responses) Carebrovascular 14 (13.3) Cognitive function K-MMSE ^c Dimentia 61.7) MoCA-K ^a Normal 43 (4.0) 2.83 ± 4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 65 (63.3) Dise± 6.32 (11-29) MoCA-K ^a Normal 61.27) <td></td> <td>Marital status</td> <td>Spouse (+)</td> <td>60 (57 1)</td> <td></td>		Marital status	Spouse (+)	60 (57 1)	
Living with family No 36 (34.3) Caregiver types 500 (36) (34.3) Caregiver types 500 (36) (34.3) Caregiver types 500 (36) (34.3) Caregiver types 200 (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36) (36)		Fighter status	Spouse (-)	45 (42 9)	
Initial matrix Ics 5000000000000000000000000000000000000		Living with family	Vec	49 (45.7)	
Caregiver types 36 (34.3) Caregiver types 36 (34.3) Children 21 (2.0) Employed caregiver 2 (1.9) Self-care 46 (43.8) Disease-related 42 (40.0) Duration of HBP ^b (years) 111 42 (40.0) Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 48 (45.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-40) Mumber of HBP ^b Yeas 90 (85.7) 1.41 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.51 ± 0.			No	36 (34 3)	
Carciards (1) (2) (3) 50 (34.0) 60 (34.0) Children 21 (20.0) Employed caregiver 21 (20.0) Employed caregiver 46 (43.8) Duration of HBP ^b (years) ≤10 63 (60.0) 1.0.85±7.66 (1-40) ≥11 42 (40.0) 1.0.85±7.66 (1-40) 21 Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1 Comorbidities No 15 (14.3) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Cardiovascular 25 (23.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) 1.46±0.68 (1-30) Kidhey 8 (7.6) 0thers 62 (59.0) 1.40±0.61 (10-30) Cognitive function K MoCl ⁴ 56 (53.3) 1.40±0.61 (10-30) MoCA-K ^a Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) 1.40±0.51 (10-30) MoCA-K ^a Normal 51 (25.3) 1.40±0.51 (1		Caregiver types	Spouse	36 (34.3)	
Cinitation 21 (2.0) Employed caregiver 2 (1.9) Self-care 46 (43.8) Disease-related 211 Duration of HBP ^b (years) \$10 63 (60.0) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) 211 42 (40.0) 211 42 (40.0) Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 26 (24.8) 20 26 (24.8) 11 (10.4) 200 (85.7) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 200 (85.7) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 200 (40.0) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 200 (40.0) (multiple responses) Cardiovascular 25 (23.8) 200 (40.0) Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) 200 (40.0) Konmal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ⁴ 56 (53.3) 200 (40.0) Dementia 6 (5.7) 28.2±6.32 (11-29) MoCA-K ⁴ Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ⁴ 68 (64.8) 200 (40.0) 200 (4		Caregiver types	Children	21 (20 0)	
Self-care 46 (43.8) Disease-related 10.85 ±7.66 (1-40) Duration of HBP ^b (years) ≤10 63 (60.0) 10.85 ±7.66 (1-40) Number of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1 Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) 2 26 (24.8) 1.1 (10.4) 11 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1.1 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Mumber of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Mumber of HBP ^b Yes 90 (85.7) 1.46 ± 0.68 (1-4) Mumber of HBP ^b Yes 90 (85.7) 1.41 (13.3) Kimpy 8 (45.7) 1.41 (13.3) 2.283 ± 4.06 (10-30) MCI ⁴ 56 (53.3) 1.41 (13.2) 2.283 ± 4.06 (10-30) MoC			Employed caregiver	2 (1 9)	
Disease-related 510 63 (60.0) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) Duration of HBP ^b (years) 510 63 (60.0) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) Number of HBP ^b 1 42 (40.0) 1 Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 26 (24.8) ≥3 11 (10.4) 2 26 (24.8) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 141 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 141 (13.3) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 141 (13.3) (multiple responses) Cardiovascular 25 (23.8) 141 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) 0thers 62 (59.0) Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) 141 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) 0thers 62 (59.0) Cognitive function MCI ⁴ 56 (53.3) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MoCA-K ⁴ Normal 43 (42.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ⁴ 68 (64.8) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ⁴ 68 (64.8) Known deme			Self-care	2 (1.7)	
Duration of HBP ^b (years) \$10 \$3 (60.0) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) \$211 42 (40.0) \$211 42 (40.0) Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 11 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 10.85±7.66 (1-40) Number of HBP ^b 11 (10.4) 10.46±0.68 (1-4) 10.46±0.68 (1-4) Yoes 90 (85.7) 11 (10.4) 10.46±0.68 (1-4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 10.46±0.68 (1-4) Types of comorbidities No 15 (14.3) 10.46±0.68 (1-4) (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) 10.46±0.46 (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 14 (13.3) 10.46±0.46 Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) 10.46±0.46 K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCl ⁴ 56 (53.3) 10.82±6.32 (11-29) MoCA-K ^e Normal 6 (5.7) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCl ⁴ 68 (64.8)<		Disease-related	Jen-Care	40 (43.0)	
bination of HBP ¹ 210 33 (60.0) 10.63±7.30 (12-40) Number of HBP ¹ 1 42 (40.0) Number of HBP ¹ 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 2 ≥3 11 (10.4) 2 26 (24.8) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 2 No 15 (14.3) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) (multiple responses) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) Cognitive function MCI ^d 56 (53.3) MoCA-K ^e Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MoCl ^d 68 (64.8) 10.62.7) 19.82±6.32 (11-29)		Duration of HPD ^b (veare)	<10	62 (60 0)	10.95 , 7.66 (1.40)
Number of HBP ^b 1 68 (64.8) 1.46±0.68 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 26 (24.8) 23 11 (10.4) 2 26 (24.8) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 26 (24.8) No 15 (14.3) 25 (23.8) 26 (24.8) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 25 (23.8) (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) 25 (23.8) Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) 25 (25.0) Cognitive function K 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MoCA-K ^e Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MoCI ^d 56 (53.3) 22.83±4.05 (10-30) MoCA-K ^e Normal 43 (42.0) 22.83±4.05 (10-30) MoCI ^d 68 (54.8) 24.05 (21-29) 26 (25.7)		Duration of HBP (years)	≤10 \11	42 (40.0)	10.85 ± 7.88 (1-40)
Number of HBP 1 68 (64.8) 1.48±0.86 (1-4) medication 2 26 (24.8) 26 (24.8) ±3 11 (10.4) 20 (85.7) 11 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) 14.04 Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) 14.04 (multiple responses) Diabetes 48 (45.7) 14.04 Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) 14.04 14.04 Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) 14.04 14.04 Cognitive function Kidney 8 (7.6) 14.04 K-MMSE ^C Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) 19.82±6.32 (11-29)		Number of LIDD ^b	211	42 (40.0)	1 4 4 . 0 4 9 (1 4)
2 20 (24.6) >23 11 (10.4) Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) No 15 (14.3) Types of comorbidities Diabetes 48 (45.7) (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Cardiovascular 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) 90 90 MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) 48 (45.7) 40		medication	2	26 (24.0)	1.40±0.00 (1-4)
Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) No 15 (14.3) Types of comorbidities (multiple responses) Diabetes 48 (45.7) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 22.83 ± 4.06 (10-30) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83 ± 4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82 ± 6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Horder 46 (5.7)			2	20 (24.8)	
Comorbidities Yes 90 (85.7) No 15 (14.3) Types of comorbidities (multiple responses) Diabetes 48 (45.7) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 43 (41.0) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) MoCA-K ^e Normal 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		Company 1411	≥3 V	11 (10.4)	
No 15 (14.3) Types of comorbidities (multiple responses) Diabetes 48 (45.7) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 56 (53.3) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		Comordiaitles	res	90 (85.7)	
Types of comorbidities (multiple responses) Diabetes 48 (45.7) (multiple responses) Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		T C LUNC		15 (14.3)	
Cerebrovascular 25 (23.8) Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 22.83 ± 4.06 (10-30) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83 ± 4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82 ± 6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		(multiple responses)	Diabetes	48 (45.7)	
Cardiovascular 14 (13.3) Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function 52 (59.0) K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) 56 (53.2)		(maniple responses)	Cerebrovascular	25 (23.8)	
Kidney 8 (7.6) Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function K-MMSE ^c K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Logona (5.7) Logona (5.7)			Cardiovascular	14 (13.3)	
Others 62 (59.0) Cognitive function			Kidney	8 (7.6)	
K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)			Others	62 (59.0)	
K-MMSE ^c Normal 43 (41.0) 22.83±4.06 (10-30) MCI ^d 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		Cognitive function			
MCI ^a 56 (53.3) Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		K-MMSE ^c	Normal	43 (41.0)	22.83±4.06 (10-30)
Dementia 6 (5.7) MoCA-K ^e Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)			MCI ^u	56 (53.3)	
MoCA-Ke Normal 31 (29.5) 19.82±6.32 (11-29) MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)			Dementia	6 (5.7)	
MCI ^d 68 (64.8) Known dementia 6 (5.7)		MoCA-K ^e	Normal	31 (29.5)	19.82±6.32 (11-29)
Known dementia 6 (5.7)			MCI ^d	68 (64.8)	
			Known dementia	6 (5.7)	

^aBody mass index.

^bHigh blood pressure.

^cKorean Mini-Mental State Examination.

^dMild cognitive impairment.

 $^{\rm e}{\rm Montreal}$ Cognitive Assessment-Korean version.

	ouis by deniographic and a	מוצבמצב-ו בומרבת כוומו מכי		·/cot						
Characteristics		HBP-SC (diet behaviour) M ± SD	t (F)	a	HBP-SC (health behaviour) M ± SD	t (F)	d	HBP-SC (Total score) M ± SD	t (F)	đ
Demographic										
Gender	Female	28.73 ± 3.34	0.02	0.987	28.66 ± 2.15	-1.96	0.053	57.41 ± 4.22	-0.90	0.372
	Male	28.72 ± 3.88		-	29.52 ± 2.34			58.26 ± 5.54		
Age (years)	65-69	29.85 ± 4.99	1.58	0.210	29.00±2.27	0.44	0.645	58.85 ± 6.33	1.19	0.308
	70-79	28.71 ± 3.30			29.23 ± 2.24			57.98±4.60		
	≥80	28.06 ± 2.83			28.76 ± 2.33			56.82 ± 4.10		
Education	Primary or none	28.24 ± 3.19	-2.40	0.063	28.64 ± 2.20	3.20	0.001	56.91 ± 4.34	-3.28	0.004
	Secondary	30.11 ± 4.26			30.19 ± 2.06			60.30 ± 5.37		
Religion	Yes	29.35 ± 3.81	2.36	0.020	28.86 ± 2.39	-1.02	0.310	58.22 ± 5.16	1.18	0.242
	No	27.70 ± 2.89			29.33 ± 2.03			56.90 ± 4.41		Access
BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	Normal	28.66 ± 3.69	0.59	0.626	29.24 ± 2.44	1.21	0.301	57.93 ± 4.89	1.16	0.317
	Overweight	29.23 ± 3.67		-	29.26 ± 2.17			58.51 ± 5.00		
	Obese	28.21 ± 3.30			28.48 ± 2.09			56.69 ± 4.53		
Marital status	Spouse (+)	28.95 ± 3.86	0.75	0.456	29.55 ± 2.10	2.76	0.007	58.52 ± 5.13	1.82	0.072
	Spouse (-)	28.42 ± 3.17			28.36 ± 2.32			56.80±4.27		
Living with family	Yes	28.94 ± 3.91	0.86	0.388	29.52 ± 2.17	3.16	0.002	58.48 ± 5.05	2.08	0.040
	No	28.31 ± 2.80			28.11 ± 2.16			56.44 ± 4.14		
Caregiver types	Spouse	28.94 ± 4.24	0.31	0.817	29.47 ± 2.30	1.05	0.373	58.44 ± 5.74	0.41	0.747
	Children	28.14 ± 3.62			29.24 ± 2.32			57.38 ± 4.58		
	Employed caregiver	30.00 ± 0.00			29.00 ± 2.83			59.00 ± 2.83		
	Self-care	28.76 ± 3.06			28.61 ± 2.19			57.39 ± 4.27		
Disease-related										
Duration of HBP ^b (years)	≤10	28.52 ± 3.47	-0.70	0.485	29.05 ± 2.51	0.53	0.958	57.60 ± 4.86	-0.46	0.647
	≥11	29.02 ± 3.74			29.02 ± 1.85			58.05 ± 4.85		
Number of HBP ^b	1	28.91 ± 3.71	0.46	0.635	29.00 ± 2.42	0.15	0.858	57.93 ± 5.10	0.29	0.749
medication	2	28.62 ± 2.83			29.23 ± 1.48			57.85 ± 2.91		
	≥3	27.82 ± 4.38			28.82 ± 2.89			56.73 ± 6.81		
Comorbidities	Yes	28.57 ± 3.47	1.11	0.271	28.80 ± 2.15	2.72	0.008	57.38 ± 4.63	2.13	0.036
	No	29.67 ± 4.12			30.47 ± 2.48			60.20 ± 5.47		

TABLE 2 HBP-SC behaviours by demographic and disease-related characteristics (N = 105).

20541058, 2023, 6, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/nop2.1647 by Keimyung University Medical, Wiley Online Library on [31/05/2023], See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use: O A articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

NursingOpen

13%. In contrast, while having comorbidities ($\beta = -20$; SE = 0.60; p = 0.032) significantly affected the HBP-SC health behaviour, education, marital status, living with family, types of comorbidities, K-MMSE scores and MoCA-K scores were insignificant. The explanatory power of the model was 22% (Table 5).

6 | DISCUSSION

This study identified factors affecting HBP-SC behaviours, including cognitive function, among older adults with hypertension and analysed overall HBP-SC behaviours, stratified into diet and health behaviour categories. The factors affecting HBP-SC diet in older hypertensive patients were religion and MoCA-K scores, whereas the factor affecting HBP-SC health behaviours was having comorbidities.

Religion is an important aspect of older adults' lives (Kim et al., 2008). Religion may be related to physical health owing to constraining health-injurious behaviours, social integration and consolation (Ferraro & Kim, 2014; Huebner, 2022). Regarding physical health, the beneficial effects of religious involvement extend to self-rated health and physical functioning (Page et al., 2020). Several studies suggest that religious people may experience health benefits owing to healthier diets and lower rates of heavy alcohol consumption (Hill et al., 2006; Sabaté, 2004). Religion as a factor affecting HBP-SC diet behaviour corresponded with the findings of a previous study, which revealed that older adults who frequently attend religious events were more likely to practice health-promoting behaviours (Musich et al., 2018). Moreover, it plays an important role by offering them beneficial instructions about health practices such as diet and exercise (Naewbood et al., 2012). In particular, faith community nurses could provide health-related services to diverse population and advocate for appropriate levels of care for vulnerable populations, such as older adults (Huebner, 2022). Thus, as religion may affect self-care behaviours in older hypertensive adults, health interventions including diet should be designed based on this finding to promote self-care (Saffari et al., 2019). As the possible mechanism underlying religion and hypertension is complex and can partially explain the differing results among various populations, further research on this topic is required (Meng et al., 2019).

This study revealed that lower MoCA-K scores were associated with lower HBP-SC diet, comparable to the results of a previous study (Harkness et al., 2014), which showed that lower MoCA scores indicated poorer self-care behaviours among older adults with cardiovascular disease (Lovell et al., 2019). People with MCI were found to be less healthy, lived unhealthy lifestyles and performed significantly worse in preparing meals. The deterioration in the ability to engage in diet behaviour depends on cognitive function (Ahn et al., 2009; Jiang & Xu, 2014). Hence, screening for cognitive impairment is needed to ensure the prevention of potential self-care deficits (Cameron et al., 2017). In addition, tailored self-care behaviour education programmes that carefully consider older adults' cognitive function are needed to manage hypertension (Rovner et al., 2020).

TABLE 2 (Continued)

		HBP-SC (diet			HBP-SC (health			HBP-SC (Total score)		
Characteristics		behaviour) M ± SD	t (F)	d	behaviour) M \pm SD	t (F)	d	$M \pm SD$	t (F)	р
Types of	Diabetes	29.23 ± 3.56			28.83 ± 2.30			58.10 ± 5.00		
comorbidities(multiple	Cerebrovascular	28.96 ± 2.98			29.72 ± 1.77			58.72 ± 3.82		
responses/	Cardiovascular	29.07 ± 3.61			29.00 ± 2.51			58.14 ± 5.05		
	Kidney	29.00 ± 4.18			29.00 ± 2.51			58.00 ± 5.73		
	Others	28.48 ± 3.43			28.77 ± 2.10			57.27 ± 4.56		
and the second sec										

Body mass index. 'High blood pressure.

WILEY_NursingOpen

3900

TABLE 3 Comparison of the means obtained using the HBP-SC behaviour items.	
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Domain	Items	M±SD
HBP-SC	1. Read nutrition fact labels to check for information on sodium content?	1.15 ± 0.41
(Diet behaviour)	2. Replace traditional high-salt foods (e.g., canned soups, Oodles of Noodles) with low-salt products (e.g., homemade soups, fresh vegetables)?	2.70±0.76
	3. Limit use of high-salt condiments (e.g., ketchup)?	2.60 ± 0.68
	4. Eat less than one teaspoon of table salt per day (6 g)?	2.58 ± 0.68
	5. Eat less food that is high in saturated fats (e.g., red meat, butter) and trans fats (e.g., shortening, lard)?	2.93±0.58
	6. Use broiling, baking, or steaming instead of frying when cooking?	3.04 ± 0.57
	7. Read nutrition labels to check info on saturated fats (e.g., butter, red meat) and trans fats (e.g., lard, shortening)?	1.19 ± 0.54
	8. Replace traditional high-fat foods (e.g., deep fried chicken) with low-fat products (e.g., baked chicken)?	3.01 ± 0.49
	9. Limit total calorie intake from fat (less than 65g) daily?	3.01 ± 0.61
	10. Eat five or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily?	2.63 ± 0.67
	11. Practice moderation in drinking alcohol daily (two glasses or less for men; one glass or less for women)?	3.76 ± 0.60
HBP-SC (Health behaviour)	12. Take part in regular physical activity (e.g., 30 min of walking 4-5 times per week)?	2.45 ± 0.92
	13. Practice non-smoking?	3.80 ± 0.66
	14. Check your blood pressure at home?	2.17 ± 0.98
	15. Not forgetting to take your blood pressure medicine? (reverse)	3.88 ± 0.51
	16. Not forgetting to fill your prescriptions? (reverse)	3.90 ± 0.48
	17. Keep your weight down?	2.93 ± 0.67
	18. Monitor situations that cause a high level of stress (e.g., arguments, death in the family) resulting in blood pressure elevation?	2.94±0.39
	19. Engage in activities that can lower stress (e.g., deep breathing, meditation)?	3.02 ± 0.37
	20. See a doctor regularly?	3.85 ± 0.46
HBP-SC (Diet behaviour score)	M±SD	28.72±3.57
HBP-SC (Health behaviour score)	M±SD	29.04±2.26
HBP-SC (Total score)	M±SD	57.78±4.84

	HBP-SC	diet behaviour)	HBP-SC (health behaviour)		HBP-SC	(Total score)
Variables	r	р	r	р	r	р
MoCA-K ^a scores	0.27	<0.010	0.32	<0.010	0.35	< 0.001
K-MMSE ^b scores	0.13	0.175	0.26	<0.010	0.22	< 0.050
Age	-0.10	0.324	-0.04	0.699	-0.09	0.360
Duration of HBP ^c	0.08	0.397	-0.03	0.764	0.05	0.646
Number of HBP ^c	-0.09	0.361	0.00	0.991	-0.06	0.524

^aMontreal Cognitive Assessment-Korean version.

^bKorean Mini-Mental State Examination.

^cHigh blood pressure.

With respect to comorbidities being a factor affecting HBP-SC health behaviour, a previous study indicated that the presence of comorbidities in older adult patients with heart failure and TABLE 4 Correlation between HBP-SC behaviours and factors (N = 105).

cognitive impairment negatively affected their self-care behaviours (Uchmanowicz et al., 2017), which corresponds with the findings of this study. However, this study differed in terms of the participants,

JUNG and MOON NursingOpen		ngOpen	-WILEY 3901						
TABLE 5 Factors a	ffecting HBP-SC behav	viours ($N = 10$	05).				Open Access		
Variables	Categories	В	SE	β	р	R ²	Adj R ²	F (p)	
HBP-SC (Diet	Religion ^a	1.93	0.69	0.27	0.007	0.14	0.13	8.05 (0.001)	
behaviour)	MoCA-K	0.24	0.08	0.31	0.002				
HBP-SC	Education ^a	0.32	0.64	0.06	0.622	0.31	0.22	0.3.51	
(Health behaviour)	Marital status ^a	0.26	0.59	0.06	0.655			(<0.001)	
	Living with family ^a	1.04	0.57	0.21	0.072				
	Comorbidities ^a	-1.92	0.76	-0.29	0.013				
	Diabetes ^a	-0.04	0.46	-0.01	0.932				
	Cerebrovascular ^a	0.69	0.55	0.13	0.092				
	Cardiovascular ^a	0.17	0.69	0.02	0.806				
	Kidney ^a	0.16	0.81	0.02	0.840				
	Others ^a	0.25	0.52	0.05	0.627				
	K-MMSE scores	0.11	0.15	0.18	0.458				
	MoCA-K scores	0.02	0.13	0.04	0.866				
HBP-SC	Education ^a	1.48	1.41	0.14	0.299	0.23	0.15	2.67 (0.007)	
(Total score)	Living with family ^a	1.43	1.04	0.14	0.174				
	Comorbidities ^a	-3.21	1.68	-0.23	0.060				
	Diabetes ^a	1.24	1.01	0.13	0.225				
	Cerebrovascular ^a	2.19	1.23	0.19	0.078				
	Cardiovascular ^a	0.20	1.53	0.01	0.897				
	Kidney ^a	0.50	1.80	0.03	0.780				
	Others ^a	-0.05	1.15	-0.01	0.968				
	K-MMSE scores	-0.11	0.34	-0.08	0.752				
	MoCA-K scores	0.34	0.28	0.31	0.233				

^aDummy variables: religion (no religion: 0; Christian, Catholic and Buddhist: 1), education level (below primary school: 0; middle school graduate or above: 1), marital status (no: 0; yes: 1), living with family (no: 0; yes: 1), comorbidities (no: 0; yes: 1), diabetes (no: 0; yes: 1), cerebrovascular (no: 0; yes: 1), cardiovascular (no: 0; yes: 1), kidney (no: 0; yes: 1) and other (no: 0; yes: 1).

which only included older adults with hypertension. Moreover, older adults with hypertension and comorbidities have a poor ability to care for themselves. Comorbidities are barriers to self-care owing to physical limitations, a lack of knowledge, financial constraints, aggravation of existing conditions and multiple problems with medications (Bayliss et al., 2003). Considering these findings, comorbidities in older adults with hypertension should be considered when attempting to improve self-care.

The item analysis results of the HBP-SC diet revealed that the score for the item 'Practice moderation in drinking alcohol daily' was the highest; this resulted in low alcohol consumption, which is consistent with previous studies (Kim & Son, 2012; Uchmanowicz et al., 2018). The score for the item 'Read nutrition labels to check information on sodium content when purchasing food' regarding self-care maintenance was the lowest. This result highlighted the importance of enhancing awareness among Korean older adults to check nutrition labels when purchasing food. However, the results of a study (Han et al., 2014) in the United States revealed that the score for the item 'Read the nutrition labels when purchasing food' was the highest, indicating a difference between Korean and American older adults. Another study revealed that the use of nutrition labels developed in an easy format for older adults had positive effects (Jackey et al., 2017). Therefore, conducting further studies on the effects of

simple nutrition labelling for older adults with hypertension in South Korea is necessary to ensure a reduction in their sodium intake.

The results of the item analysis of the HBP-SC health behaviour revealed that the score for the item 'Not forgetting to fill your prescriptions' was the highest. As the National Health Insurance in South Korea covers outpatient care and prescription pharmaceuticals, community-dwelling hypertensive patients commonly visit outpatient clinics (Park, 2015). In contrast, the score for 'check my blood pressure at home' was the lowest. 'Check my blood pressure at home' indicates a routine process for self-care monitoring. A study revealed that continuous blood pressure monitoring at home, along with data entry into a mobile device and personalized interventions, was effective in controlling blood pressure levels (Buis et al., 2020). Thus, a home-based blood pressure tele-monitor to control hypertension or a national healthcare approach that provides home blood pressure monitors could be explored for older adults in South Korea (Hong et al., 2017).

Strength and limitations of the work 6.1

This study is significant for the following reasons: First, HBP-SC behaviours were classified into two categories: diet and health behaviours. In other studies, dietary behaviour was measured as a

WILEY_^{NursingOpen}

part of self-care (Han et al., 2014; Uchmanowicz et al., 2018). The importance of diet has been increasingly emphasized. The adoption of a healthy diet, such as the DASH diet, has been found to prevent obesity (a risk factor for hypertension) and reduced blood pressure (Shimbo, 2016). The ACC/AHA and ESC/ESH blood pressure guidelines suggested weight loss, a healthy diet such as the DASH diet, reducing sodium intake, enhancing potassium intake, increasing physical activity and controlling alcohol consumption as important, non-drug intervention strategies for hypertension, most of which are related to dietary habits (Whelton et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2018).

Second, cognitive function was assessed using the K-MMSE and the MoCA-K, both of which are validated tools for assessing older adults with hypertension. Those who had normal cognitive function according to the K-MMSE were identified and classified as having MCI using the MoCA-K. The factors affecting their self-care behaviours were then analysed. Studies have shown that hypertension among older adults not only causes complications but also reduces cognitive function (Jeong et al., 2017) and that those with MCI have higher rates of progression to dementia than those without MCI (Abdelhafiz et al., 2018; Goldstein et al., 2013; Hughes et al., 2020). Therefore, it is important to detect cognitive impairment with optimal screening before initiating an intervention. Nurses can contribute to improving self-care through health education and coaching for older adults with high blood pressure and cognitive problems (Jeffs et al., 2017; Yorozuya et al., 2019).

Despite these insightful findings, this study had some limitations. Cronbach's α value of the HBP-SC Behaviour Scale and explanatory power of this study were lower than those observed during the development of the original tool (An et al., 2017) and in another study that employed the same scale (Kim, 2019). Another study that involved older adults with normal cognitive function included participants with K-MMSE scores of 25 points or higher (Kim, 2019), which were higher than those of the participants in this study, whose mean K-MMSE score was 22.83 points. Therefore, the differences in Cronbach's α values and explanatory power may be owing to the differences in the cognitive function of the participants in the two studies.

Previous studies found some subjective data such as resilience, motivation, depression, self-efficacy and empowerment (Chang & Lee, 2015; Jeong et al., 2017). Subjective data were not included in this study. Therefore, future studies including these data are needed.

Since this study only considered data from hypertensive older adults who visited a single general hospital, the generalizability of its results may be limited.

6.2 | Recommendations for further research

A tailored education programme could be designed to improve the diet and health behaviour of HBP-SC, considering factors such as religion belief, cognitive function and comorbidities (Naewbood et al., 2012; Rovner et al., 2020). Future studies that identify the influencing factors, including subjective data on diet and health behaviours, are required to provide more effective education programmes for older adults with hypertension.

7 | CONCLUSION

Factors affecting self-care diet behaviour include religion belief and MoCA-K scores, and those affecting health behaviour include comorbidities among older adults with hypertension. Therefore, to improve their self-care behaviours, their religious practices and comorbidities should be accounted for, their cognitive function should be assessed, and tailored education should be imparted.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization or/and methodology: KJM, SYJ. Data collection or/and analysis: SYJ, KJM. Writing: original draft or/and review and editing: SYJ, KJM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Bisa Research Grant of Keimyung University in 2021.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Author elects to not share data.

RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of K University located in Daegu City, South Korea (40525-201906-HR-029-02).

ORCID

Soo Youn Jung D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1245-9834 Kyoung Ja Moon D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3475-739X

REFERENCES

- Abdelhafiz, A. H., Marshall, R., Kavanagh, J., & El-Nahas, M. (2018). Management of hypertension in older people. *Expert Review* of Endocrinology and Metabolism, 13(4), 181–191. https://doi. org/10.1080/17446651.2018.1500893
- Ahn, I. S., Kim, J. H., Kim, S., Chung, J. W., Kim, H., Kang, H. S., & Kim, D. K. (2009). Impairment of instrumental activities of daily living in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Psychiatry Investigation*, 6(3), 180–184. https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2009.6.3.180
- An, N., Jun, Y., & Song, Y. (2017). Psychometric properties of the hypertension self-care behavior scale for elders with hypertension in Korea. Journal of Korean Academy of Fundamentals of Nursing, 24(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.7739/jkafn.2017.24.1.1
- Anto, E. J., Siagian, L. O., Siahaan, J. M., Silitonga, H. A., & Nugraha, S. E. (2019). The relationship between hypertension and cognitive

function impairment in the elderly. *Open Access Macedonian Journal* of *Medical Sciences*, 7(9), 1440–1445. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.300

- Bai, Y. L., Chiou, C. P., & Chang, Y. Y. (2009). Self-care behaviour and related factors in older people with type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 18(23), 3308-3315. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02992.x
- Bayliss, E. A., Steiner, J. F., Fernald, D. H., Crane, L. A., & Main, D. S. (2003). Descriptions of barriers to self-care by persons with comorbid chronic diseases. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 1(1), 15–21. https:// doi.org/10.1370/afm.4
- Benetos, A., Petrovic, M., & Strandberg, T. (2019). Hypertension management in older and frail older patients. *Circulation Research*, 124(7), 1045–1060. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCR ESAHA.118.313236
- Buis, L. R., Roberson, D. N., Kadri, R., Rockey, N. G., Plegue, M. A., Danak, S. U., Guetterman, T. C., Johnson, M. G., Choe, H. M., & Richardson, C. R. (2020). Understanding the feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy of a clinical pharmacist-led mobile approach (BPTrack) to hypertension management: Mixed methods pilot study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(8), e19882. https:// doi.org/10.2196/19882
- Cameron, J., Gallagher, R., & Pressler, S. J. (2017). Detecting and managing cognitive impairment to improve engagement in heart failure self-care. *Current Heart Failure Reports*, 14(1), 13–22. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11897-017-0317-0
- Chang, A. K., & Lee, E. J. (2015). Factors affecting self-care in elderly patients with hypertension in Korea. International Journal of Nursing Practice, 21(5), 584–591. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12271
- Denyes, M. J., Orem, D. E., & Bekel, G. (2001). Self-care: A foundational science. Nursing Science Quarterly, 14(1), 48–54. https://doi. org/10.1177/089431840101400113
- Douglas, B. M., & Howard, E. P. (2015). Predictors of self-management behaviors in older adults with hypertension. Advances in Preventive Medicine, 2015, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/960263
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149–1160. https://doi. org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149
- Ferraro, K. F., & Kim, S. (2014). Health benefits of religion among black and White older adults? Race, religiosity, and C-reactive protein. *Social Science & Medicine*, 120, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. socscimed.2014.08.030
- Filippou, C. D., Tsioufis, C. P., Thomopoulos, C. G., Mihas, C. C., Dimitriadis, K. S., Sotiropoulou, L. I., Chrysochoou, C. A., Nihoyannopoulos, P. I., & Tousoulis, D. M. (2020). Dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet and blood pressure reduction in adults with and without hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Advances in Nutrition, 11(5), 1150–1160. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmaa041
- Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). 'Mini-mental state': A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 12(3), 189–198. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6
- Genremichael, G. B., Berhe, K. K., Beyene, B. G., & Gebrekidan, K. B. (2019). Self-care practices and associated factors among adult hypertensive patients in Ayder comprehensive specialized hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2018. BMC Research Notes, 12(1), 1–6. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13104-019-4502-y
- Goldstein, F. C., Hajjar, I. M., Dunn, C. B., Levey, A. I., & Wharton, W. (2017). The relationship between cognitive functioning and the JNC-8 guidelines for hypertension in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biomedical Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 72(1), 121–126. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glw181
- Goldstein, F. C., Levey, A. I., & Steenland, N. K. (2013). High blood pressure and cognitive decline in mild cognitive impairment.

Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 61(1), 67–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12067

3903

- Green, S. B. (1991). How many subjects does it take to do a regression analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 26(3), 499–410. https:// doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2603_7
- Han, H. R., Lee, H., Commodore-Mensah, Y., & Kim, M. (2014). Development and validation of the hypertension self-care profile: A practical tool to measure hypertension self-care. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 29(3), E11-E20. https://doi.org/10.1097/ JCN.0b013e3182a3fd46
- Harkness, K., Heckman, G. A., Akhtar-Danesh, N., Demers, C., Gunn, E., & McKelvie, R. S. (2014). Cognitive function and self-care management in older patients with heart failure. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 13(3), 277–284. https://doi. org/10.1177/1474515113492603
- Hill, T. D., Burdette, A. M., Ellison, C. G., & Musick, M. A. (2006). Religious attendance and the health behaviors of Texas adults. *Preventive Medicine*, 42(4), 309–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ypmed.2005.12.005
- Hong, J., Kim, J., Kim, S. W., & Kong, H. J. (2017). Effects of home-based tele-exercise on sarcopenia among community-dwelling elderly adults: Body composition and functional fitness. *Experimental Gerontology*, 87, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.11.002
- Huebner, C. A. (2022). Bridging the transition to assisted living: A framework for faith community nursing. *Journal of Christian Nursing*, 39(2), 122–125. https://doi.org/10.1097/CNJ.0000000000940
- Hughes, D., Judge, C., Murphy, R., Loughlin, E., Costello, M., Whiteley, W., Bosch, J., O'Donnell, M. J., & Canavan, M. (2020). Association of blood pressure lowering with incident dementia or cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA, 323(19), 1934–1944. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.4249
- Jackey, B. A., Cotugna, N., & Orsega-Smith, E. (2017). Food label knowledge, usage and attitudes of older adults. *Journal of Nutrition in Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 36(1), 31–47. https://doi. org/10.1080/21551197.2017.1280868
- Jeffs, L., Kuluski, K., Law, M., Saragosa, M., Espin, S., Ferris, E., Merkley, J., Dusek, B., Kastner, M., & Bell, C. M. (2017). Identifying effective nurse-led care transition interventions for older adults with complex needs using a structured expert panel. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 14(2), 136–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/wvn.12196
- Jeong, H. M., Lee, M. H., & Kim, H. Y. (2017). Factors influencing selfcare in elders with hypertension living at home. *Journal of Korean Academy of Fundamentals of Nursing*, 24(1), 72–83. https://doi. org/10.7739/jkafn.2017.24.1.72
- Jiang, C., & Xu, Y. (2014). The association between mild cognitive impairment and doing housework. Aging and Mental Health, 18(2), 212– 216. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2013.823376
- Jung, D., De Gagne, J. C., Lee, H., & Lee, M. (2021). Factors associated with eating performance in older adults with dementia in long-term care facilities: A cross-sectional study. BMC Geriatrics, 21(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02315-6
- Kang, Y., Na, D. L., & Hahn, S. (1997). A validity study on the Korean minimental state examination (K-MMSE) in dementia patients. *Journal* of the Korean Neurological Association, 15(2), 300–308.
- Kang, Y., Park, J., Yu, K. H., & Lee, B. C. (2009). A reliability, validity, and normative study of the Korean-Montreal cognitive assessment (K-MoCA) as an instrument for screening of vascular cognitive impairment (VCI). Korean Journal of Clinical Psychology, 28(2), 549–562. https://doi.org/10.15842/kjcp.2009.28.2.013
- Kennedy, A., Rogers, A., & Bower, P. (2007). Support for self care for patients with chronic disease. BMJ, 335(7627), 968–970. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.39372.540903.94
- Kim, H. R., & Son, H. G. (2012). Prevalence of hypertension and its risk factors among aged 65 and over in Korea. Journal of Korean Biological Nursing Science, 14(4), 282–290. https://doi.org/10.7586/ jkbns.2012.14.4.282

WILEY_NursingOpen

- Kim, K., Mcintosh, W. M., Kubena, K. S., & Sobal, J. (2008). Religion, social support, food-related social support, diet, nutrition, and anthropometrics in older adults. *Ecology of Food and Nutrition*, 47(3), 205– 228. https://doi.org/10.1080/03670240802035068
- Kim, M. T., Hill, M. N., Bone, L. R., & Levine, D. M. (2000). Development and testing of the hill-bone compliance to high blood pressure therapy scale. *Progress in Cardiovascular Nursing*, 15(3), 90–96. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7117.2000.tb00211.x
- Kim, T. H. (2019). Effects of BeHaS program on physiological, psychological factor, self-efficacy, and self-care behaviour in older adults with hypertension [unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Choongnam National Universidad.
- Klymko, K. W., Artinian, N. T., Price, J. E., Abele, C., & Washington, O. G. (2011). Self-care production experiences in elderly African Americans with hypertension and cognitive difficulty. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*, 23(4), 200–208. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7599.2011.00605.x
- Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). National Health Statistics: The 3rd year of the 7th national health and nutrition examination survey (2018). Ministry of Health and Welfare, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Kushner, R. F., & Sorensen, K. W. (2013). Lifestyle medicine: The future of chronic disease management. *Current Opinion in Endocrinology*, *Diabetes and Obesity*, 20(5), 389–395. https://doi.org/10.1097/01. med.0000433056.76699.5d
- Lee, Y. W. (1995). A study of the effect of an efficacy expectation promoting program on self efficacy and self care. *Korean Journal of Adult Nursing*, 7(2), 212–227.
- Lovell, J., Pham, T., Noaman, S. Q., Davis, M. C., Johnson, M., & Ibrahim, J. E. (2019). Self-management of heart failure in dementia and cognitive impairment: A systematic review. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders*, 19(1), 99. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-019-1077-4
- Meng, Q., Zhang, X., Shi, R., Liao, H., & Chen, X. (2019). Correlation between religion and hypertension. *Internal and Emergency Medicine*, 14(2), 209–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-018-1791-4
- Mills, K. T., Stefanescu, A., & He, J. (2020). The global epidemiology of hypertension. Nature Reviews. Nephrology, 16(4), 223–237. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0244-2
- Muli, S., Meisinger, C., Heier, M., Thorand, B., Peters, A., & Amann, U. (2020). Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in older people: Results from the population-based KORA-age 1 study. BMC Public Health, 20(1), 1049. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-020-09165-8
- Musich, S., Wang, S. S., Kraemer, S., Hawkins, K., & Wicker, E. (2018). Purpose in life and positive health outcomes among older adults. *Population Health Management*, 21(2), 139–147. https://doi. org/10.1089/pop.2017.0063
- Naewbood, S., Sorajjakool, S., & Triamchaisri, S. K. (2012). The role of religion in relation to blood pressure control among a Southern California Thai population with hypertension. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 51(1), 187–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1094 3-010-9341-z
- Nasreddine, Z. S., Phillips, N. A., Bédirian, V., Charbonneau, S., Whitehead, V., Collin, I., Cummings, J. L., & Chertkow, H. (2005). The Montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: A brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 53(4), 695–699. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415. 2005.53221.x
- Oliveros, E., Patel, H., Kyung, S., Fugar, S., Goldberg, A., Madan, N., & Williams, K. A. (2020). Hypertension in older adults: Assessment, management, and challenges. *Clinical Cardiology*, 43(2), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1002/clc.23303
- Page, R. L., Peltzer, J. N., Burdette, A. M., & Hill, T. D. (2020). Religiosity and health: A holistic biopsychosocial perspective. *Journal of Holistic Nursing*, 38(1), 89–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/08980 10118783502

- Park, J. M. (2015). Equity of access under Korean national long-term care insurance: Implications for long-term care reform. International Journal for Equity in Health, 14(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12939-015-0210-y
- Riegel, B., Jaarsma, T., & Stromberg, A. (2012). A middle-range theory of self-care of chronic illness. Advances in Nursing Science, 35(3), 194– 204. https://doi.org/10.1097/ANS.0b013e318261b1ba
- Rovner, B. W., Casten, R. J., Piersol, C. V., White, N., Kelley, M., & Leiby, B. E. (2020). Improving glycemic control in African Americans with diabetes and mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 68(5), 1015–1022. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jgs.16339
- Sabaté, J. (2004). Religion, diet and research. British Journal of Nutrition, 92(2), 199-201. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20041229
- Saffari, M., Lin, C. Y., Chen, H., & Pakpour, A. H. (2019). The role of religious coping and social support on medication adherence and quality of life among the elderly with type 2 diabetes. *Quality of Life Research*, 28(8), 2183–2193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-019-02183-z
- Schroeder, M. A., Lander, J., & Levine-Silverman, S. (1990). Diagnosing and dealing with multicollinearity. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 12(2), 175–187. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945990 01200204
- Sharma, S. K., Mudgal, S. K., Thakur, K., & Gaur, R. (2020). How to calculate sample size for observational and experimental nursing research studies. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy* and Pharmacology, 10(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.5455/njppp 2020.10.0930717102019
- Shen, Y. J., Wang, W. A., Huang, F. D., Chen, J., Liu, H. Y., Xia, Y. L., Han, M., & Zhang, L. (2016). The use of MMSE and MoCA in patients with acute ischemic stroke in clinical. *International Journal* of Neuroscience, 126(5), 442–447. https://doi.org/10.3109/00207 454.2015.1031749
- Shimbo, D. (2016). Dietary and lifestyle factors in hypertension. *Journal* of Human Hypertension, 30(10), 571–572. https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2016.57
- Sinclair, A. J., Girling, A. J., & Bayer, A. J. (2000). Cognitive dysfunction in older subjects with diabetes mellitus: Impact on diabetes selfmanagement and use of care services. All Wales research into elderly (AWARE) study. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 50(3), 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-8227(00)00195 -9
- Streeten, D. H., Anderson, G. H., Jr., & Wagner, S. (1990). Effect of age on response of secondary hypertension to specific treatment. *American Journal of Hypertension*, 3(5 Pt 1), 360–365. https://doi. org/10.1093/ajh/3.5.360
- Trzepacz, P. T., Hochstetler, H., Wang, S., Walker, B., Saykin, A. J., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2015). Relationship between the Montreal cognitive assessment and mini-mental state examination for assessment of mild cognitive impairment in older adults. BMC Geriatrics, 15, 107. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1287 7-015-0103-3
- Turana, Y., Tengkawan, J., Chia, Y. C., Shin, J., Chen, C. H., Park, S., Tsoi, K., Buranakitjaroen, P., Soenarta, A. A., Siddique, S., Cheng, H. M., Tay, J. C., Teo, B. W., Wang, T. D., & Kario, K. (2021). Mental health problems and hypertension in the elderly: Review from the HOPE Asia network. *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, 23(3), 504–512. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14121
- Uchmanowicz, B., Chudiak, A., Uchmanowicz, I., Rosińczuk, J., & Froelicher, E. S. (2018). Factors influencing adherence to treatment in older adults with hypertension. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 13, 2425–2441. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S182881
- Uchmanowicz, I., Jankowska-Polańska, B., Mazur, G., & Sivarajan Froelicher, E. (2017). Cognitive deficits and self-care behaviors I n elderly adults with heart failure. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 12, 1565–1572. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S140309

_____NursingOpen

- Warren-Findlow, J., & Seymour, R. B. (2011). Prevalence rates of hypertension self-care activities among African Americans. *Journal* of the National Medical Association, 103(6), 503–512. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0027-9684(15)30365-5
- Whelton, P. K., Carey, R. M., Aronow, W. S., Casey, D. E., Collins, K. J., Dennison Himmelfarb, C., DePalma, S. M., Gidding, S., Jamerson, K. A., Jones, D. W., MacLaughlin, E. J., Muntner, P., Ovbiagele, B., Smith, S. C., Spencer, C. C., Stafford, R. S., Taler, S. J., Thomas, R. J., Williams, K. A., Sr., ... Wright, J. T. (2018). 2017 ACC/AHA/ AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 71(19), e127-e248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.006
- Williams, B., Mancia, G., Spiering, W., Agabiti Rosei, E., Azizi, M., Burnier, M., Clement, D. L., Coca, A., de Simone, G., Dominiczak, A., Kahan, T., Mahfoud, F., Redon, J., Ruilope, L., Zanchetti, A., Kerins, M., Kjeldsen, S. E., Kreutz, R., Laurent, S., ... ESC Scientific Document Group. (2018). 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The task force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). *European Heart Journal*, 39(33), 3021–3104. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339

- World Health Organization 2013. (2013). A Global Brief on Hypertension: Silent Killer, Global Public Health Crisis: World Health Day. https:// apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/79059
- Yorozuya, K., Kubo, Y., Tomiyama, N., Yamane, S., & Hanaoka, H. (2019). A systematic review of multimodal non-pharmacological interventions for cognitive function in older people with dementia in nursing homes. Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 48(1–2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1159/000503445

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Jung, S. Y., & Moon, K. J. (2023). Factors affecting self-care among community-dwelling hypertensive older adults: A cross-sectional study. *Nursing Open*, 10, 3892–3905. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1647</u>