

# Effects of Health Education Using Virtual Reality for Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Park, SoMi<sup>1®</sup> · Chung, ChaeWeon<sup>2®</sup> · Kim, Gaeun<sup>3®</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wonju College of Nursing, Yonsei University, Wonju

<sup>2</sup>College of Nursing, Research Institute of Nursing Science, Seoul National University, Seoul

<sup>3</sup>College of Nursing, Research Institute of Nursing Science, Keimyung University, Daegu, Korea

**Purpose:** This study aimed to evaluate the effects of health interventions using virtual reality (VR) on improving knowledge, attitudes, and skills; and inducing behavioral change among adolescents. **Methods:** This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis following PRISMA guidelines. We searched Cochrane, MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, and Korean databases between database inception and April 10, 2021. Based on heterogeneity, a random- or fixed-effects model was used, as appropriate, to calculate effect sizes in terms of the standardized mean difference (SMD) and odds ratio (OR). Studies were selected if they verified the effects of health education using VR on adolescents; there was an appropriate control group; and if the effects of education were reported in terms of changes in knowledge, attitudes, skills, or behaviors, **Results:** This analysis included six studies (n = 1,086). The intervention groups showed greater responses in knowledge and attitudes (SMD = 0.57, 95% confidence interval (CI) [0.12 to 1.02]), skills related to health behavior (SMD = -0.45, 95% CI [-0.71 to -0.19]), and behavioral change after 12 months (OR = 2.36, 95% CI [1.03 to 5.41]). **Conclusion:** The results confirm the effectiveness of health interventions using virtual reality (VR). Although the analysis include a small number of studies, a case can be made for health interventions using VR to be utilized as educational methods and strategies to prevent risky behaviors among adolescents.

Key words: Adolescent; Health Education; Meta-Analysis; Systematic Review; Virtual Reality

# **INTRODUCTION**

Adolescence is an impressionable and important stage of life that lays the foundation for good growth and development. Adolescents learn desirable health patterns in diet, physical activity, peer relationships, and stress management; however, they are also vulnerable to risky behaviors and consequences, such as drinking, smoking, drug usage, unsafe sex, and pregnancy [1,2]. In a study of 148,839 adolescents, the prevalence of a healthy lifestyle was found to decrease as age increased; as adolescents became older, they engaged in less physical activity, consumed fewer fruits and vegetables daily, spent more time on screen-based activities, and showed a decreased abstinence from alcohol and tobacco consumption [3]. A stratified sample of 54,948 middle and high school students was also found to have more unhealthy lifestyle factors with increasing age: 37.5% of male and 29.1% of female students in Korea had experience consuming alcohol, and their smoking rates were 6.0% and 2.7%, respectively. Furthermore, 23.6%~27.0% of them reported consuming fast food more than thrice a week, and they spent 3.8 hours per weekday and 5.9 hours on weekends sitting

Address reprint requests to : Kim, Gaeun

Tel: +82-53-258-7658 Fax: +82-53-580-3916 E-mail: eun0325@kmu, ac. kr

College of Nursing, Research Institute of Nursing Science, Keimyung University, 1095 Dalgubeol-daero, Dalseo-gu, Daegu 42601, Korea

Received: January 4, 2023 Revised: February 23, 2023 Accepted: March 23, 2023 Published online April 30, 2023

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NoDerivs License. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0) If the original work is properly cited and retained without any modification or reproduction, it can be used and re-distributed in any format and medium.

unrelated to study [4]. As experiences during adolescence shape feeling, thinking, and decision-making until adulthood, it is critical to teach adolescents to promote health throughout their lifespan.

One of the factors with the strongest influence on adolescent lifestyles is utilization of the Internet and online communication via smartphones. Adolescents today are considered to be "digital natives," meaning that they cannot remember a time when digital media were not all around them [5]. The development of information and communications technology (ICT) has provided unhindered access to a variety of multimedia content and changed adolescents' lives. One of the main technologies in this regard is the smartphone. Recent studies have found that 92.0% and 93.5% of Korean middle and high school students, respectively, own smartphones [6] and use them primarily to consume media content for interpersonal communication via social network service (SNS) [7-9]. Undoubtedly, rapid changes in the media landscape have altered marketing patterns to suit adolescents. Initiation of tobacco and alcohol consumption, overweight and obesity, and sexual behavior are known to be influenced by the media [9].

Nonetheless, technology plays a role in influencing healthy decision making and motivating the use of health-promoting interventions, a notable example of which is virtual reality (VR). VR is a non-, semi-, or fully immersive computer simulation of a real or virtual place, in which the user becomes part of a created environment, performs tasks, or interacts with virtual objects and avatars in real time [10]. Various health education programs utilizing VR have recently been conducted among adolescent populations on pedestrian safety for accident prevention [11], exercise training [12], sexual risk behavior intervention [13], and suicide prevention [14]. As adolescents are familiar with ICT-based environments [15], VR simulations that provide a special presence and sensation of immersion may be more effective than existing strategies [16] to make adolescents interested in health issues and eventually induce them to engage in healthy behaviors.

Despite these trials, research has yet to establish whether VR-based health intervention programs are effective for adolescents. Some researchers still express concerns about the use of VR training in medical education as it may be viewed as less effective than traditional means of learning [17]. Few studies have considered the effects of VR on adolescent health. Overall, evidence for the effectiveness of health education using virtual reality is unclear. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of VR-based health interventions on adolescents. Specifically, it aimed to systematically review and meta-analyze the existing literature on the effects of VRbased health education on changes in knowledge, attitudes, skills, and behaviors and to compare the effect sizes of the included studies.

# **METHODS**

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) reporting guideline [18].

#### 1. Search strategy

Searches were performed in the MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, and Korean databases (KoreaMed, KMBASE, RISS, KISS, and Science ON-NDSL) between database inception and April 10, 2021. Search terms related to VR interventions and adolescents were used, including combinations of Medical Subject Heading terms, Emtree headings, and free-text words. The combined keywords and text words for adolescents, teenagers, virtual reality, and interventions were used. The full search strategy in MEDLINE was (((adolescent\* OR teen\* OR youth\*) OR ((junior OR high OR middle) adi3 school student\*)) AND ((virtual realit\* OR VR OR VRET) AND (program\* OR education\* OR exposure\* OR treatment\* OR therap\* OR intervention))). Additional studies were performed by screening the reference lists.

### 2. Study selection

Studies were selected according to the population, intervention, comparison, and outcome (PICO) framework. Eligible studies (1) included adolescents without diseases, (2) evaluated health education using VR methods, (3) compared the intervention with usual care, other care, or no treatment, (4) reported outcomes of interest (e.g., knowledge, attitudes, skills, and behavioral changes), and (5) were published in English or Korean. The literature included in the final analysis was decided by three researchers based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and conflicting opinions were reconciled through discussions. The study designs were limited to randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs. Because VR-based interventions have mainly been performed since 2000, only papers published after 2000 were considered. Data screening was performed from April 10, 2021, to April 25, 2021.

### 3. Data collection and extraction

Data collection and extraction took place from May 10, 2021, to May 30, 2021. We extracted data from the studies according to the Cochrane recommendations [19]. In each included study, the background (authors, publication year, country), information on participants (number of participants, eligibility), information on intervention (content, duration), comparators, and outcomes (knowledge, attitude, skills, behavioral changes) were extracted. Data extraction was conducted by three authors and discrepancies were resolved through discussion. The authors were contacted via email when outcome–related data were missing or unclear.

#### 4. Risk of bias

The internal validity of the included RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias 2.0 (RoB 2.0), which was based on the randomization process, bias in the intended interventions, missing outcome data, outcome measures, and selection of reported outcomes [20]. The risk of bias for the items overall was marked as low, with some concern, or high. For non–RCTs, the risk of bias was assessed using the Risk of Bias in Non–Randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS–I) tool. These include bias due to confounding factors, bias in the selection of participants in the study, bias in the classification of interventions, bias due to deviations from intended interventions, bias due to missing data, bias in measurement of outcomes, and bias in selection 179

of the reported results [21]. The risk of bias for each item was marked as low, moderate, serious, or critical. The three authors evaluated the risk of bias and reconciled conflicting opinions through discussion.

### 5. Statistical Analysis

The effects of the health interventions using VR were analyzed using RevMan ver. 5.3.3 (the Cochrane Collaboration, 2014. Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) and the Comprehensive Meta–Analysis version 2.2. As a measure of the effect size, the standardized mean difference (SMD) was calculated based on the scores of the intervention and control groups. The odds ratio (OR) was estimated for binary data. All tests used 95% confidence intervals (CIs) with a statistical significance level of p < .05.

A fixed- or random-effects model was selected to calculate the pooled effect measures for the included studies. If homogeneity was confirmed, the analysis was performed using a fixed-effects model, which assumes that the effect size of the population is the same and that the difference in the effect size of each study is due to sampling error. If heterogeneity was identified, a random effects model was used. The Mantel-Haenszel method and general inverse variance estimation method were applied to synthesize the results. The heterogeneity of the studies was tested using the Higgins  $I^2$  statistic, and  $I^2$  values of 25.0%, 50.0%, and 75.0% indicated low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. To further explore heterogeneity, pre-specified subgroup analyses were conducted according to outcome variables or program content. Although it was not possible to quantitatively assess publication bias with the small number of studies included in the meta-analysis (n < 10), we evaluated potential bias using funnel plots and Egger linear regression values for each outcome. A sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the robustness of the conclusions of the meta-analysis. Some parts violate the assumption of independence when calculating the overall effect size. However, because of the limited number of documents included in the analysis, the loss of information was minimized using the effect size as the unit of analysis.

### 6. Ethical considerations

The requirement for informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee of Yonsei University Bioethics Review Committee (IRB No. CR321319) because there was no sensitive information, and the survey was anonymously conducted.

# RESULTS

A literature search yielded 2,015 citations. After duplicates were removed, there were 1,347 references. During screening, 1,100 studies were excluded based on the selection criteria (351 after title screening and 749 after abstract screening). Of the remaining 247 studies, 241 were excluded

based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Therefore, six studies [22–27] met the selection criteria and were included in the final analysis (Figure 1).

## 1. Characteristics of included studies

Evidence of health interventions using VR has been summarized in six studies. The major characteristics of the six studies [22–27] are summarized in Table 1. Four RCTs [22,24,25,27] and two non–RCTs [23,26] with 1,086 participants were included (Table 1). The mean age of the subjects in the individual studies ranged from 12.9 to 16.0 years old. The target population recruited in the studies was predominantly healthy teenagers (e.g., never smokers), although one study included participants who had smoked at least one cigarette within 30 days. The publication years of the identified



Figure 1. Flowchart of the process of study selection for the systematic review and meta-analysis.

#### Table 1. Main Characteristics of Included Studies

Study	Study design	Country	N (M:F)	Mean age (mean ± SD)	Inclusion criteria	Intervention content	Intervention times/duration/ session	Control group	Outcome indicator	Measurement time/follow-up
Barson et al., 2022 [22]	RCT	Netherlands	40 (20:20) E: 20 (12:8) C: 20 (8:12)	16±0	<ul> <li>High- school students</li> <li>Participants with CPR training experience less than a year ago</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>- CPR training The VR resuscitation training: Immersive 306-degree virtual reality environment CPR training for correct sequence, option choose by a scenario + E-learning</li> </ul>	- VR training (10 min) + E-learning (30~45 min) - 1 time	- 2D video (10 min) + E-learning (30~45 min)	<ul> <li>Knowledge: CPR knowledge</li> <li>Skills: Taking the correct sequence of CPR steps</li> </ul>	- Post- intervention
Hadley et al., 2019 [24]	RCT	USA	88 (40:48) E: 46 (21:25) → 44 C: 42 (19:23) → 41	E: 12.9 ± 0.82 C: 13.0 ± 0.91	- Grades 6~8	<ul> <li>Sexual health education program IVREs + ER and risk reduction intervention:</li> <li>I) IVRE</li> <li>HIV/STD testing scene</li> <li>Purchasing condom scene</li> <li>Party scene (substance use &amp; sexual risk cues)</li> <li>Partner negotiating scene</li> <li>2) ER</li> <li>ER and risk reduction intervention about sexual health issues</li> </ul>	- 2 h/session - 4 times, weekly - 4 wk	<ul> <li>- RP + ER:</li> <li>1) RP</li> <li>Practice</li> <li>skills using</li> <li>traditional</li> <li>RP format</li> <li>2) ER</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Knowledge: HIV knowledge</li> <li>Attitudes: Self- efficacy for HIV prevention, condom use self- efficacy</li> <li>Skills: Difficulty accessing emotional strategies, emotional self- efficacy, affect dysregulation scale</li> </ul>	- Post- intervention/ 3 mo
Khalil et al., 2017 [25]	RCT	USA	$\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ (57:44) \end{array}$ E: 50 (30:20) $\rightarrow 40$ C: 51 (27:24) $\rightarrow 42$	13.44 ± 1.42	<ul> <li>Middle- or high-school students who were 12-18 years old</li> <li>Never smokers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Smoking prevention program A smoking prevention interactive experience (ASPIRE): Interactivity         <ul> <li>Two-way communication, control over the platform, clicking behavior; virtual environment</li> </ul> </li> <li>Entertainment         <ul> <li>Storytelling/narrative, music/sound effects, virtual characters or avatars</li> <li>Text, animations, videos, task-oriented activities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	- 40 min/1 time - 4 times, weekly - 4 wk	- Same health information without interactivity & entertainment	- Attitudes: Intention to smoke	- Post- intervention
Woodruff et al., 2007 [27]	RCT	USA	136 (73:63) E: 77 (39:38) C: 59 (34:25)	16.0 ± 1.47	- Teenage smokers - High- school students - Smoked at least one cigarette within 30 days	<ul> <li>Smoking prevention program Real-time smoking cessation counseling intervention (Internet virtual world chat room):</li> <li>Internet based, virtual reality world combined with motivational interviewing conducted in real-time by a smoking cessation counselor</li> <li>Active worlds (virtual environments in which participants can see each other as 3-dimensional figures [avatars])</li> </ul>	- 45 min/1 time - 7 times, weekly - 7 wk	- Online counseling	- Behavioral change: Smoking cessation (past week abstinence rates)	- Post- intervention/ 3, 12 mo

studies ranged from 2003 to 2020. Three studies were published in the United States [24,25,27]; the other countries included in the study were Korea, the Netherlands, and Sweden [22,23,26].

In terms of content, interventions using VR mainly include smoking cessation [25,27], sexual health education [24,26], and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) [22,23]. The dura– tion of the interventions ranged from a day to six months, with half- to two-hour session and one to seven sessions. Knowledge was measured in three studies [22,24,26], attitudes in two [24,25], skills in three studies [22-24], and behavioral changes in one [27]. The results of the knowledge and attitude scores are presented as mean values and standard deviations. Skills were evaluated by comparing the in-

٦	[a	hl	le	1.	Con	tir	าม	ed
	u			•••	COII	CI1	iu	cu

Study	Study design	Country	N (M:F)	Mean age (mean ± SD)	Inclusion criteria	Intervention content	Intervention times/duration/ session	Control group	Outcome indicator	Measurement time/follow-up
Creutz- feldt et al., 2009 [23]	Post-test only design with a comparison group (quasi)	Sweden	16 (7:9) E: 9 (4:5) C: 7 (3:4)	Not reported	- High- school students (10th grade)	<ul> <li>Cardiopulmonary resuscitation training</li> <li>VWMOS + Traditional style</li> <li>CPR course:         <ol> <li>VWMOS</li> <li>Lecture (10 min) + 4 scenarios (20 min), multiplayer online team training simulation (game development platform, avatars used in scenarios)</li> <li>Traditional-style CPR course</li> <li>Cardiac arrest scenario in a Laedal Sim Man patient simulator</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ol> <li>1) VWMOS         <ul> <li>30 min/ 1 time - 2 times</li> <li>6 months apart</li> </ul> </li> <li>2) Traditional- style CPR course</li> <li>120 min/1 time - 2 times</li> <li>6 mon apart</li> </ol>	- Traditional- style CPR course - 120 min/ 1 time - 2 times - 6 months apart	<ul> <li>Knowledge</li> <li>Skills: Correct on pulse check, correct ventilation: compression ratio, deviation from CPR guidelines</li> </ul>	- Post- intervention/ 18 mo
Park & Kang, 2003 [26]	Post-test only design with a comparison group (quasi)	Korea	705 (451:254) E: 522 (344:178) C: 183 (107:76)	NR	- Middle- school students	<ul> <li>Sexual health education program</li> <li>Online sex education program using interactive virtual reality:</li> <li>4 sessions: pornography addiction, masturbation, birth control, sexual intercourse (using flash animations)</li> </ul>	- 40 min/1 time - 4 times	- No treatment	- Knowledge and attitudes: Sexual knowledge and attitudes	- Post- intervention

RCT = Randomized controlled trial; F = Female; M = Male; C = Control group; E = Experimental group; CPR = Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; VR = Virtual reality; USA = United States of America; IVRE = Immersive Virtual Reality Environments; ER = Emotion regulation; HIV = Human immunodeficiency virus; STD = Sexually transmitted disease; ER = Emotion regulation; RP = Role play; VWMOS = Virtual World Multiplayer Online Simulation; NR = Not reported.

correct and correct answers with those of the control group. The results of the behavioral changes are presented using the improvement rate.

Four studies [22,24,25,27] compared the outcomes of a VR exposure intervention group with those of other treatments or conventional methods (two-dimensional videos, role-playing, or online counseling). In one study [26], the outcomes of VR teaching were compared with those of no treatment. One study [23] compared the outcomes of a combination of VR and conventional interventions with those of the conventional intervention alone. Studies on behavioral changes were analyzed according to the follow-up period, and the data were analyzed by considering each outcome index as a separate study.

### 2. Risk of vias

Figure 2 shows the results of the risk of bias assessment for the studies included in the analysis. Two RCTs [22,24] were considered to have low risk of bias, while the other RCTs [25,27] had some concerns regarding bias (Figure 2A). One nonrandomized study [23] was deemed to have low risk of bias, and another [26] was deemed to have moderate risk of bias. Overall, most studies were evaluated to raise some concerns in at least one domain, but were not at a high risk of bias.

# Effects of health interventions using VR for adolescents

The effects of using VR in health education on adolescents in terms of knowledge and attitudes (n = 7), skills (n = 5), and behavioral changes (n = 1) are shown in Figure 3.

#### 1) Knowledge or attitudes

Summary estimates from the meta-analysis of knowledge and attitudes in four studies [22–24,26] showed a statistically significant difference between the intervention and control groups (SMD = 0.57; 95% CI 0.12 to 1.02). However, heterogeneity was high ( $l^2 = 84.0$ ) (Figure 3A).



Figure 2. Assessment of risk of bias in the included studies. (A) Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), (B) non-RCTs.

A subgroup analysis of each indicator showed that knowledge was reported in three studies [22–24]. A statistically significant difference was found between the intervention and control groups in terms of knowledge (SMD = 0.54; 95% CI 0.08 to 1.00; p = .020, I<sup>2</sup> = 61.0). According to one study, a non-significant effect was found for attitudes (SMD = 0.06; 95% CI -0.37 to 0.49; p = .790) [24]. Knowledge and attitudes showed a positive effect (SMD = 1.10; 95% CI 0.12 to 1.02; p < .001) in one study [26] (Figure 3A).

#### 2) Skills

The overall pooled estimate (SMD = -0.45; 95% CI -0.71 to -0.19; p = .001) from three studies [22–24] for skills (measured in terms of errors) showed a significant difference between the intervention and control groups. However, heterogeneity among the studies was high (I<sup>2</sup> = 81.5).

As a result of the subgroup analysis according to educational content, a statistically significant difference was found for the outcomes of CPR training (SMD = -1.62; 95% CI -2.23 to -1.02; p < .001;  $I^2 = 0$ ) in one study [24]. However, a sexual health education program did not show a positive effect (SMD = -0.19; 95% CI -0.48 to 0.09; p > .05), with somewhat heterogeneous results (Figure. 3B).

#### 3) Behavior change

One study [27] reported behavioral changes (smoking cessation) in a VR-exposure group. The effect estimate showed improvement in the abstinence rate in the intervention group (OR = 2.36; 95% CI 1.03 to 5.41; p < .05).

#### 4. Publication bias

Publication bias was evaluated using a funnel plot and the

Α

	Ex	perimen	tal		Control			SMD	SMD
Study or subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 Knowledge									
Barsom et al., 2020 (3) [22]	83.11	29.1	20	47.46	29.1	20	13.1%	1.20 [0.52, 1.88]	
Barsom et al., 2020 (1) [22]	82.8	22.24	20	62.7	21.24	20	13.4%	0.91 [0.25, 1.56]	
Barsom et al., 2020 (2) [22]	82.52	11.94	20	77.95	7.46	20	13.7%	0.45 [- 0.18, 1.08]	
Creutzfeldt et al., 2009 [23]	6.2	1.46	9	6	1.15	7	9.8%	0.14 [- 0.85, 1.13]	
Hadley et al., 2019 [24]	24.8	5.44	44	24.51	58	41	16.0%	0.05 [- 0.37, 0.48]	<b>_</b>
Subtotal (95 % CI)			113			108	66.0%	0.54 [0.08, 1.00]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.16; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	= 10.30, df	f = 4 (p=0	).04); l <sup>2</sup> :	= 61%					-
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.31 (p	= 0.02)								
1.1.2 Attitude									
Hadley et al., 2019 [24]	43.37	7.48	44	42.91	8.03	41	16.0%	0.06 [- 0.37, 0.48]	
Subtotal (95 % CI)			44			41	16.0%	0.06 [- 0.37, 0.48]	
Heterogeneity: not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.27 (p	= 0.79)								
1 1 3 Knowledge & attitude									
Park et al 2003 [26]	53.3	0.56	522	12 01	0.08	160	18.0%	1 10 [0 02 1 28]	
Subtotal (95 % CI)	55.5	9.50	522	42.51	5.00	169	18.0%	1.10 [0.92, 1.20]	
Heterogeneity: not applicable			JLL			105	10.070	1.10 [0.32, 1.20]	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 11.77$ (t	p < 0.0000	)1)							
		,							
Total (95% CI)			679			318	100.0%	0.57 [0.12, 1.02]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.29; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	: 38.13, df	f = 6 (p <	0.0000	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 84	%		,0	[,]	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.47 (p	= 0.01)								-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for subgroup differences: Chl	, I <sup>2</sup> = 21.84,	df = 2 ( <i>p</i>	o < 0.000	$(01); I^2 = 9$	90.8%				
<b>3</b>									Favours Favours
									[control] [experimental]

#### В

Model	Iodel         Group by         Study name         Statistics for each study													
	Subgroup within study		Std diff in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	<i>p</i> -value					
	1.00	Creutzfeldt et al., 2009 [23]	- 2.058	0.622	0.386	- 3.276	- 0.840	- 3.311	0.001		+			1
	1.00	Barsom et al., 2020 [22]	- 1.478	0.357	0.127	<del>-</del> 2.177	- 0.778	- 4.142	0.000		+			
Fixed	1.00		- 1.622	0.309	0.096	- 2.228	- 1.015	- 5.240	0.000		-			
Random	1.00		- 1.622	0.309	0.096	- 2.228	- 1.015	- 5.240	0.000		-			
	2.00	Hadley et al. 2019 [24]	0.029	0.217	0.047	- 0.396	0.455	0.136	0.892				-	
	2.00	Hadley et al. 2019 [24]	- 0.466	0.220	0.048	- 0.897	- 0.035	- 2.119	0.034			_		
	2.00	Hadley et al. 2019 [24]	- 0.144	0.217	0.047	- 0.570	0.282	- 0.664	0.507		-			
Fixed	2.00		- 0.191	0.126	0.016	- 0.438	0.056	- 1.519	0.129					
Random	2.00		- 0.192	0.145	0.021	- 0.475	0.092	- 1.326	0.185		-			
Fixed	Overall		- 0.395	0.117	0.014	- 0.623	- 0.166	- 3.382	0.001			►		
Random	Overall		- 0.448	0.131	0.017	- 0.705	- 0.192	- 3.421	0.001			-		
										-2	-1	0	1	2
										Expe g	rimenta roup	al	Contro group	ol V

#### С

Study or subgroup	Experin Events	nental Total	Cont Events	trol Total	Weight	OR M−H, fixed, 95% CI	OR M−H, fixed, 95% Cl
Woodruff et al., 2007 [27]	25	77	10	59	100.0%	2.36 [1.03, 5.41]	
Total (95 % CI) Total events	25	77	10	59	100.0%	2.36 [1.03, 5.41]	
Heterogeneity: not applicable Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.02$ ( $p = 0.04$ )							0.02 0.1 0 10 50 Favours Favours [control] [experimental]

SD = Standard deviation; SMD = Standardized mean difference; CPR = Cardiopulmonary resuscitation; CI = Confidence interval; OR = Odds ratio.

Figure 3. Forest plot of the meta-analysis for virtual reality interventions to prevent health problems in adolescents. Each study is identified by the first author. The individual effect sizes are identified as the SMD with lower and upper limits (95% Cls). The overall summary effect size of the meta-analysis is noted as a diamond on the bottom line.
 (A) Knowledge and attitudes, (B) skills (1: CPR training, 2: sexual health education program), (C) behavioral change.



SMD = Standardized mean difference.

Figure 4. Funnel plot of the effects of virtual reality interventions. (A) Knowledge and attitudes, (B) skills.

results were unbiased and evenly distributed within the triangles (Figure 4). There was also no possibility of publication bias in the Egger's test (p < .05). Therefore, the risk of publication bias was considered to be low.

### 5. Sensitivity analysis

The pooled SMD was 0.45 to 0.69 for knowledge and attitudes and -0.41 to -0.89 for skills related to the prevention of health-related problems. These results were broadly concordant with those of the primary analysis. Excluding individual studies from the sensitivity analysis did not affect the initial effect size estimates. These results were broadly consistent with those of the primary analyses.

### DISCUSSION

Despite the relatively small number of studies included, this meta-analysis generated meaningful results. Furthermore, as far as we know, this is the first meta-analysis that evaluated the effectiveness of health interventions using VR for healthy adolescents. VR-based interventions were found to be more effective than interventions that did not use this technology.

Education is a strong factor in adolescent health, and new technologies offer the possibility of a healthier generation of adolescents. As ICT-based models have been adopted for health education, VR, which was initially used for military training [28] is now being applied to various health issues. Thus, this meta-analysis was conducted to identify the effectiveness of VR-based interventions for adolescents and to identify future avenues for their expansion in health education.

Among the six studies analyzed, three were recently published RCTs (since 2017), implying a current trend in VR utilization, as well as future expansion to broader situations with advances in simulation technology. This study focused on the outcome measures of knowledge, attitudes, skills, and behavioral change when evaluating the effectiveness of VRbased interventions because healthcare providers need these attributes to foster engagement with adolescents [9]. Despite the limited number of included studies, interventions using VR for sex education, smoking, and resuscitation were effective in increasing knowledge in these content areas. This finding, which is consistent with previous studies [29,30], suggests that vivid VR situations activate learners' interests and motivation and enhance their cognitive ability to recall the health information to which they are exposed [31].

Skill is a prerequisite for fostering behavioral changes; it encompasses not only direct skills related to educational content but also learners' perceived self-efficacy [32]. Two studies in this analysis indicated that VR was significantly effective in providing CPR training by immersing learners in the relevant procedures. VR-based education for skills training has been applied to the areas of laparoscopic surgery in medicine, training for scrub nurses in operating rooms [33], and tracheostomy care [34], and its effectiveness has been demonstrated. A systematic review also indicated that the use of VR has equaled or surpassed traditional skill acquisition methods [35]. Thus, VR-based education is a reliable modality for enhancing learners' skills.

An intervention on sex education measured skills but showed an insignificant effect [24]. As adolescents have already been exposed to extensive sexual content including pornography in the cyber-world [9], VR interventions seem to have a limited ability to influence their skills in the domain of sexuality, even though short-term application. However, virtual sex education programs radically change the dynamics of sexual knowledge, unlike parental or religious norms [36], and can be customized to reflect cultural, social, and other user preferences [37]. Recent studies have also recommended tailored guidance and advice on sex education using virtual simulations because of their enhanced capability and effectiveness through consistent interactions with experts [38]. Moreover, repeated application of VR scenarios [39] is advantageous for improving sexual health-related skills.

Health education aims to promote healthy behaviors and ultimately help sustain desirable changes. However, smoking cessation education often fails to demonstrate lasting effects. The relapse rate of smoking among 184 adolescents was 6.8%, which was higher than that among older individuals [40]. A previous meta-analysis also reported relapse rates ranging from 5.0% to 17.0% one year after smoking cessation [41]. Meanwhile, VR interventions could be used repeatedly and as a booster, not only to induce immediate behavioral changes but also to sustain these changes. The parallel application of VR experiences through avatars and real-time counseling through cyber chatrooms are also known to have significant effects [10,23,25,27]. In fact, the additional application of a booster program was effective in maintaining smoking cessation among high school students [42]. In addition to the effects of adding counseling services to virtual environments [43], the group in Woodruff's study [27] that received an intervention program combining VR with a real-time motivational interview with a smoking cessation counselor showed a statistically significantly higher smoking cessation rate (OR = 2.36; 95% CI 1.03 to 5.41) than the group that received conventional education. Furthermore, a meta-analysis demonstrated that real-time chatting services have significant effects on customer behavioral intentions and quality improvement [44]. Thus, the application of VR with additional or hybrid strategies is thought to enhance the effects of health education, particularly for adolescents.

VR is being utilized as a notable educational strategy in various fields. In medical education, VR-based health interventions conducted among adolescents are mostly about illness and symptom management, such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [45], internet gaming disorder [46], and dressing changes in patients with burns [47]. However, during adolescence, the desire for novelty increases along with the curiosity and courage to experiment with new and often unhealthy behaviors [48]. In this sense, VR-based health interventions are particularly useful because they involve content that could be dangerous to apply directly to the human body or that would be difficult to simulate in reality, such as suicide prevention [14], disaster situations [49], or claustrophobia [50]. Overall, VR-based health interventions are considered a good strategy, given the advantages of presence, immersion, and repeatability [16]. The positive effects of VR-based education are linked to the interest of adolescents as members of Generation Z in the gamification of education and prompt feedback on their results, which encourages immersion in the program [51].

Nonetheless, more research is needed on the effects of VR on the outcomes of interest at different levels of immersion and interaction. Most studies that evaluated the outcomes in our review reported incomplete or incomparable outcome data, thus primary studies focusing on these outcomes are needed. Finally, as most of the included studies reported post-intervention scores rather than changes in scores for outcomes, there is a need to standardize the methods to report the most meaningful and accurate data for outcomes. This study has several limitations. Potential bias was assessed using funnel plots and Egger's linear regression values, but a small number of studies were included in the meta-analysis.

Nursing education needs to adopt the current changes in VR technology and media to meet the needs of students and achieve the best learning outcomes. Furthermore, as health educators, nurses must identify and implement strategies and methods to strengthen the effectiveness of health education for both patient and public health.

# CONCLUSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis included six studies and attempted to identify the effects of VR programs on adolescents. Studies have also shown that health education using VR is effective for adolescents. In particular, VR programs can be useful in improving health promotion-related knowledge, skills, and behavioral changes. Therefore, health interventions using VR can be utilized as educational methods and strategies to prevent risky behaviors in adolescents.

# CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Kim, Gaeun has been the Editorial Board Member of JKAN since 2022 but has no role in the review process. Except for that, no potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None.

### **FUNDING**

This study received financial support from a National Research Foundation of Korea grant funded by the Korean government (2021R1A2C1003083).

# DATA SHARING STATEMENT

Please contact the corresponding author for data availability.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization or/and Methodology: Park SM & Kim G. Data curation or/and Analysis: Chung CW & Kim G. Funding acquisition: Park SM. Investigation: Chung CW. Project administration or/and Supervision: Park SM. Resources or/and Software: Kim G. Validation: Park SM. Visualization: Kim G. Writing original draft or/and review & editing: Park SM & Chung CW & Kim G.

### REFERENCES

 Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, Mokdad AA, El Bcheraoui C, Moradi-Lakeh M, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors for young people's health during 1990-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. The Lancet. 2016;387(10036): 2383-2401.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00648-6

- World Health Organization (WHO). Adolescent health [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 23]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/adolescent-health
- Marques A, Loureiro N, Avelar-Rosa B, Naia A, Matos MG. Adolescents' healthy lifestyle. Jornal de Pediatria. 2020; 96(2):217-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2018.09.002
- 4. Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA). The 16th(2020) Korea youth risk behavior survey [Internet]. Cheongju: Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency; 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 23]. Available from: www.kdca.go.kr/ yhs/yhshmpg/result/yhsresult/statsBookList.do
- Radovic A, Badawy SM. Technology use for adolescent health and wellness. Pediatrics. 2020;145(Suppl 2):S186–S194. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019–2056G
- 6.Kim YH. Analysis of mobile phone ownership and usage behavior of children and adolescents. KISDI STAT Report. 2018;18(20):1-7.
- Kim SJ, Park SG, Moon SH. Analysis on smartphone usage types of youth: Q methodological approach. Korean Journal of Youth Studies. 2015;22(12):1–26.

- 8. Chung B, Min S, Lee Y, Han Y. Internet usage survery of Korea 2019 [Internet]. Daegu: National Information Society Agency; 2020 [cited 2021 Oct 23]. Available from: https://www.nia.or.kr/common/board/Download.do?bcIdx-=21930&cbIdx=99870&fileNo=6
- 9. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: A Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. The Lancet. 2016;387(10036):2423–2478. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1
- Breitkreuz KR, Kardong-Edgren S, Gilbert GE, Anderson P, DeBlieck C, Maske M, et al. Nursing faculty perceptions of a virtual reality catheter insertion game: A multisite international study. Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 2021;53:49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2020.10.003
- Davis AL, Avis KT, Schwebel DC. The effects of acute sleep restriction on adolescents' pedestrian safety in a virtual environment. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2013;53(6):785–790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.07.008
- 12. Ng YL, Ma F, Ho FK, Ip P, Fu K. Effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality-enhanced exercise on physical activity, psychological outcomes, and physical performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Computers in Human Behavior. 2019;99:278-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.05.026
- Tschannen D, Yaksich JD, Aebersold M, Villarruel A. Fidelity after SECOND LIFE facilitator training in a sexual risk behavior intervention. Simulation & Gaming. 2016;47(1):130– 150. https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878115627279
- 14. Park S, Park C. Tangible counseling education system development for youth suicide prevention. In: Kim HS, Shin D, Lee W, Jeon S, Park NC, Jung H, et al., editors. Extended abstracts of HCI Korea 2016. Proceedings of HCI KOREA 2016 Conference; 2016 Jan 27-29; High1 Resort, Gangwon, Korea. Seoul: HCI Society of Korea; 2016. p. 25–27.
- 15. van Dijk MR, Koster MPH, Willemsen SP, Huijgen NA, Laven JSE, Steegers-Theunissen RPM. Healthy preconception nutrition and lifestyle using personalized mobile health coaching is associated with enhanced pregnancy chance. Reproductive Biomedicine Online. 2017;35(4):453-460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2017.06.014
- 16. So YH. The impact of academic achievement by presence and flow-mediated variables in a simulation program based on immersive virtual reality. Journal of Communication Design. 2016;57:57-69.
- 17. Dellazizzo L, Potvin S, Luigi M, Dumais A. Evidence on virtual reality-based therapies for psychiatric disorders: Meta-review of meta-analyses. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2020;22(8):e20889. https://doi.org/10.2196/20889
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An up-

dated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLoS Medicine. 2021;18(3):e1003583.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003583

- 19. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.2 [Internet]. London: Cochrane; 2021 [cited 2021 Feb 22]. Available from: https://training. cochrane.org/handbook
- 20. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: A tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.). 2016;355:i4919. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919
- Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.). 2019; 366:14898. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.14898
- 22. Barsom EZ, Duijm RD, Dusseljee-Peute LWP, Landman-van der Boom EB, van Lieshout EJ, Jaspers MW, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation training for high school students using an immersive 360-degree virtual reality environment. British Journal of Educational Technology. 2020;51(6):2050-2062. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13025
- 23. Creutzfeldt J, Hedman L, Medin C, Stengård K, Felländer-Tsai L. Retention of knowledge after repeated virtual world CPR training in high school students. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics. 2009;142:59–61. https://doi.org/10.3233/978–1–58603–964–6–59
- 24. Hadley W, Houck C, Brown LK, Spitalnick JS, Ferrer M, Barker D. Moving beyond role-play: Evaluating the use of virtual reality to teach emotion regulation for the prevention of adolescent risk behavior within a randomized pilot trial. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2019;44(4):425-435. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsy092
- 25. Khalil GE, Wang H, Calabro KS, Mitra N, Shegog R, Prokhorov AV. From the experience of interactivity and entertainment to lower intention to smoke: A randomized controlled trial and path analysis of a web-based smoking prevention program for adolescents. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2017;19(2):e44. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7174
- 26. Park YS, Kang MK. A study on the development and verification of effectiveness of online sex education program using interactive virtual reality techniques for adolescents. The Korea Journal of Youth Counseling. 2003;11(1):42–55.
- Woodruff SI, Conway TL, Edwards CC, Elliott SP, Crittenden J. Evaluation of an internet virtual world chat room for adolescent smoking cessation. Addictive Behaviors. 2007; 32(9):1769–1786.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2006.12.008

28. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, Vseteckova J, Nikolaou

CK, George PP, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: Systematic review and meta-analysis by the digital health education collaboration. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2019;21(1):e12959. https://doi.org/10.2196/12959

- 29. Chen FQ, Leng YF, Ge JF, Wang DW, Li C, Chen B, et al. Effectiveness of virtual reality in nursing education: Meta-analysis. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2020; 22(9):e18290. https://doi.org/10.2196/18290
- 30. Weser VU, Duncan LR, Pendergrass TM, Fernandes CS, Fiellin LE, Hieftje KD. A quasi-experimental test of a virtual reality game prototype for adolescent E-cigarette prevention. Addictive Behaviors. 2021;112:106639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106639
- 31. Ma M, Jain LC, Anderson P. Future trends of virtual, augmented reality, and games for health. In: Ma M, Jain LC, Anderson P, editors. Virtual, Augmented Reality and Serious Games for Healthcare 1. Berlin: Springer; 2014. p. 1–6.
- 32. Fisher JD, Fisher WA, Amico KR, Harman JJ. An information-motivation-behavioral skills model of adherence to antiretroviral therapy. Health Psychology. 2006;25(4):462– 473. https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.25.4.462
- 33. Bracq MS, Michinov E, Arnaldi B, Caillaud B, Gibaud B, Gouranton V, et al. Learning procedural skills with a virtual reality simulator: An acceptability study. Nurse Education Today. 2019;79:153-160.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.05.026

34. Bayram SB, Caliskan N. Effect of a game-based virtual reality phone application on tracheostomy care education for nursing students: A randomized controlled trial. Nurse Education Today. 2019;79:25-31.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.05.010

- 35. Rourke S. How does virtual reality simulation compare to simulated practice in the acquisition of clinical psychomotor skills for pre-registration student nurses? A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2020;102: 103466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.103466
- 36. Gutiérrez RA. Afterword: Virtual sex ed. Sexuality Research & Social Policy. 2011;8(1):73–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178–011–0044–3
- 37. Shafii T, Benson SK, Morrison DM, Hughes JP, Golden MR, Holmes KK. A pilot randomised controlled trial of an interactive computer-based intervention for sexual health in adolescents and young adults. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2015;91(Suppl 2):A33.

https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2015-052270.98

38. Permana RH, Suryani M, Adiningsih D, Paulus E. The storyboard development of virtual reality simulation (VRS) of nursing care in respiratory system disorders course. Indonesian Nursing Journal of Education and Clinic. 2018;3(2):121– 130. https://doi.org/10.24990/injec.v3i2.202 39. Foronda C, Gattamorta K, Snowden K, Bauman EB. Use of virtual clinical simulation to improve communication skills of baccalaureate nursing students: A pilot study. Nurse Education Today. 2014;34(6):e53-e57.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2013.10.007

- 40. Alboksmaty A, Agaku IT, Odani S, Filippidis FT. Prevalence and determinants of cigarette smoking relapse among US adult smokers: A longitudinal study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(11): e031676. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031676
- 41. Hughes JR, Peters EN, Naud S. Relapse to smoking after 1 year of abstinence: A meta-analysis. Addictive Behaviors. 2008;33(12):1516-1520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.05.012
- 42. Eckhardt L, Woodruff SI, Elder JP. Related effectiveness of continued, lapsed, and delayed smoking prevention intervention in senior high school students. American Journal of Health Promotion. 1997;11(6):418-421. https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-11.6.418
- 43. Witt KJ, Oliver M, McNichols C. Counseling via avatar: Professional practice in virtual worlds. International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling. 2016;38(3):218–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447–016–9269–4
- 44. Kilani N, Rajaobelina L. Impact of live chat service quality on behavioral intentions and relationship quality: A meta-analysis. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction. Forthcoming 2022 Nov 22.
- 45. Romero–Ayuso D, Toledano–González A, Rodríguez–Martínez MDC, Arroyo–Castillo P, Triviño–Juárez JM, González P, et al. Effectiveness of virtual reality–based interventions for children and adolescents with ADHD: A systematic review and meta–analysis. Children. 2021;8(2):70. https://doi.org/10.3390/children8020070
- 46. Shin YB, Kim JJ, Kim MK, Kyeong S, Jung YH, Eom H, et al. Development of an effective virtual environment in eliciting craving in adolescents and young adults with internet gaming disorder. PLoS One. 2018;13(4):e0195677. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195677
- 47. Lauwens Y, Rafaatpoor F, Corbeel K, Broekmans S, Toelen J, Allegaert K. Immersive virtual reality as analgesia during dressing changes of hospitalized children and adolescents with burns: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Children. 2020;7(11):194. https://doi.org/10.3390/children7110194
- 48. Miles DR, van den Bree MB, Gupman AE, Newlin DB, Glantz MD, Pickens RW. A twin study on sensation seeking, risk taking behavior and marijuana use. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2001;62(1):57–68.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0376-8716(00)00165-4

49. Smith SJ, Farra S, Ulrich DL, Hodgson E, Nicely S, Matcham W. Learning and retention using virtual reality in a decontamination simulation. Nursing Education Perspective. 2016;37(4):210-214.

https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NEP.000000000000035

50. Rahani VK, Vard A, Najafi M. Claustrophobia game: Design and development of a new virtual reality game for treatment of claustrophobia. Journal of Medical Signals and Sensors. 2018;8(4):231-237. https://doi.org/10.4103/jmss.JMSS\_27\_18

51. Verkuyl M, Hughes M. Virtual gaming simulation in nursing education: A mixed-methods study. Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 2019;29:9-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2019.02.001