Timing and clinical outcomes of tracheostomy in patients with COVID-19

D. Ahn (D¹, G. J. Lee¹, Y. S. Choi^{2*}, J. W. Park³, J. K. Kim⁴, E. J. Kim^{5,*} and Y. H. Lee⁶

¹Department of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

²Department of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery, Yeungnam University, Daegu, Korea

³Department of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery, Keimyung University, Daegu, Korea

⁴Department of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery, Catholic University of Daegu, Daegu, Korea

⁵Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Catholic University of Daegu, Daegu, Korea

⁶Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

*Correspondence to: (Y.S.C.) Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Yeungnam University, 170, Hyeonchungro, Namgu, Daegu 42415, Korea (e-mail: choiys@ynu.ac.kr); (E.J.K.) Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Catholic University of Daegu, Duryugongwon-ro 17 gil 33, Namgu, Daegu 42472, Korea (e-mail: ejkim77@cu.ac.kr)

Dear Editor

Most tracheostomy guidelines for patients with COVID-19 infection recommend that tracheostomy be performed 21 days after intubation and with a negative test result to reduce the risk of tracheostomy-related COVID-19 transmission to healthcare workers^{1–5}. However, the practical feasibility of this recommendation regarding tracheostomy timing is questionable because positive test results can persist for several weeks. There is also a lack of comprehensive understanding about the clinical course of patients with COVID-19 who undergo tracheostomy, based on sufficient follow-up.

South Korea recorded its first case of COVID-19 on 20 January 2020; the initial outbreak occurred in Daegu city. The total number of confirmed cases in Daegu was 6945 at 11 August 2020, representing 47.4 per cent of total cases. From 17 February to 2 July 2020, 501 patients with a moderate to severe COVID-19 infection were treated in four tertiary referral hospitals in Daegu. Among these, 27 (5.4 per cent) had a tracheostomy, 19 men and 8 women of mean age 68.8 (range 26–85) years. The mean time from the onset of signs or symptoms to COVID-19 diagnosis was 3 (range 0–13) days, and the time from diagnosis to intubation was 6 (0–32) days.

The mean time from intubation to tracheostomy was 15.8 (range 6–42) days, and only 5 of the 27 patients underwent tracheostomy more than 21 days after intubation. COVID-19 tests before tracheostomy were positive in 26 patients. Open surgical tracheostomy (ST) and percutaneous dilatation tracheostomy (PDT) were performed in 20 and 7 patients respectively. Post-tracheostomy bleeding occurred in two patients who underwent PDT, and required open surgical intervention. No other major complications occurred. There was no tracheostomy-related COVID-19 transmission to healthcare workers (Table 1).

During a mean follow-up of 105.9 (range 25–166) days, negative conversion of COVID-19 occurred in 19 patients. The mean time to negative conversion was 43.1 (18–82) days. Eleven patients died from COVID-19 infection, and the time to death from diagnosis was 51.5 (25–110) days. Ventilator use was weaned in 11 patients; decannulation was possible in seven of these patients, and time to decannulation was 48.2 (20–92) days. In risk analyses, PDT was associated only with an increased risk of in-hospital death (univariable analysis: odds ratio (OR) 18.00, 95 per cent c.i. 1.72 to 188.08, P = 0.016; multivariable analysis: OR 26.52, 1.49 to 471.66, P = 0.026).

The results of this study demonstrated that it is not practically feasible to wait for 21 days after intubation. Moreover, this delay was helpful neither for appropriate management of acute respiratory distress nor to prevent possible tracheal stenosis resulting from prolonged intubation. Furthermore, as it took more than 6 weeks (43.1 days) to obtain a negative COVID-19 test result and there was no tracheostomy-related transmission of COVID-19, current guidelines would have provided little benefit in reducing the risk of tracheostomy-related transmission. Therefore, we believe that tracheostomy can be performed whenever indicated, regardless of time from intubation or COVID-19 test results.

Unlike ST, PDT does not involve meticulous surgical dissection of anatomical structures located between the skin and the trachea, but instead penetrates those structures with a large-bore guiding needle. Therefore, this procedure may injure the anterior jugular vein, strap muscle and thyroid gland. As critically ill patients with COVID-19 commonly require anticoagulation therapy, the risk of major bleeding after tracheostomy would be higher than in usual tracheostomy cases. Furthermore, risk analysis of this study demonstrated that the use of PDT was significantly associated with in-hospital death. Therefore, we suggest ST as a preferable technique for tracheostomy in patients with COVID-19 infection.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a research grant from the Daegu Medical Association COVID-19 Scientific Committee.

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of BJS Society Ltd. All rights reserved.

For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Table 1 Tracheostomy results and outcomes in patients who had a tracheostomy

	No. of patients $(n = 27)$
Time to tracheostomy (days)	
From intubation	15.8 (6–42)
>21 days after intubation	5
Positive COVID-19 test result before tracheotomy	26
Technique of tracheostomy	
Open surgical	20
Percutaneous dilatation	7
Complications	
Bleeding requiring intervention	2
Wound infection	0
Emphysema requiring intervention	0
Tracheostomy-related COVID-19 transmission to healthcare workers	0
Negative conversion of COVID-19	19
Time to negative conversion (days)*	43.1 (18–82)
<21 days from intubation	`з́
In-hospital death	11
Time to death from diagnosis (days)*	51.5 (25–110)
Weaning	11
Decannulation	7
Time to decannulation (days)*	48.2 (20–92)

*Values are mean (range).

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Heyd CP, Desiato VM, Nguyen SA, O'Rourke AK, Clemmens CS, Awad MI et al. Tracheostomy protocols during COVID-19 pandemic. Head Neck 2020;42:1297–1302
- Smith D, Montagne J, Raices M, Dietrich A, Bisso IC, Las Heras M et al. Tracheostomy in the intensive care unit: guidelines during COVID-19 worldwide pandemic. Am J Otolaryngol 2020;41: 102578
- 3. Rovira A, Dawson D, Walker A, Tornari C, Dinham A, Foden N et al. Tracheostomy care and decannulation during the COVID-19

pandemic. A multidisciplinary clinical practice guideline. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2020; DOI: 10.1007/s00405-020-06126-0 [Epub ahead of print]

- McGrath BA, Ashby N, Birchall M, Dean P, Doherty C, Ferguson K et al. Multidisciplinary guidance for safe tracheostomy care during the COVID-19 pandemic: the NHS National Patient Safety Improvement Programme (NatPatSIP). Anaesthesia 2020; DOI: 10.1111/anae.15120 [Epub ahead of print]
- COVIDTrach Collaborative. COVIDTrach; the outcomes of mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients undergoing tracheostomy in the UK: interim report. Br J Surg 2020; DOI: 10.1002/bjs.12020 [Epub ahead of print]